

111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Columbus, Ohio 43215

T 614.228.2663 **TY** 800.750.0750

www.morpc.org

NOTICE OF A MEETING

COMMISSION MEETING MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION 111 LIBERTY STREET, SUITE 100 COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215 SCIOTO CONFERENCE ROOM

Thursday, April 14, 2016, 1:30 p.m.

AGENDA

- 1:30 p.m. 1. Pledge of Allegiance
- 1:31 p.m. **2. Welcome and Introductions** Eric Phillips (City of Marysville), MORPC Chair
- 1:35 p.m. **3.** Election of Officers and Executive Committee Eric Phillips (City of Marysville), MORPC Chair

1:40 p.m. 4. Consent Agenda

- a. Approval of March 10, 2016 Commission Meeting Minutes
- b. Proposed Resolution 03-16: "TO AFFIRM THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S SUPPORT OF FAIR HOUSING AND PROMOTING FAIR AND EQUAL HOUSING IN THE CENTRAL OHIO AREA"
- 1:42 p.m. 5. Executive Director's Report William Murdock, MORPC Executive Director

Special Guests and Presentations

1:55 p.m. 6. Member Spotlight: SWACO's E-waste Program – Kyle O'Keefe, SWACO Director of Innovation and Programs

Committees

- 2:00 p.m. **7. Sustainability Advisory Committee** Steve Stolte (Union County), Sustainability Advisory Committee Chair
 - Ozone Season Christina O'Keeffe, MORPC Energy & Air Quality Director
 - Central Ohio Greenways (COG) Board Strategic Plan Greg Lestini (City of Columbus), COG Board Member and Kerstin Carr, MORPC Planning & Environment Director

William Murdock, AICP Executive Director

Eric S. Phillips Chair

Matt Greeson Vice Chair Rory McGuiness Secretary Commission Meeting Agenda April 14, 2016 Page 2

| 2:10 p.m. | 8. Regional Policy Roundtable – Steve Campbell (City of Columbus), Regional Policy Roundtable Chair Legislative Update – Steve Tugend, Kegler Hill Brown & Ritter and Laura Koprowski, MORPC Public & Government Affairs Director |
|-----------|--|
| 2:20 p.m. | 9. Transportation Policy Committee (MPO) – Matt Greeson (City of Worthington), Newly Elected MORPC Chair a. Call to Order – Matt Greeson (City of Worthington), MORPC Chair |
| 2:21 p.m. | b. Metropolitan Planning Organization Report Transportation Systems & Funding – Nick Gill, Assistant Director |
| 2:30 p.m. | c. Consent Agenda Approval of March 10, 2016 Transportation Policy Committee Meeting Minutes Proposed Resolution T-1-16: "AMENDING THE BYLAWS OF THE TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE MID- OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION" Proposed Resolution T-4-16: "ADOPTING POLICIES FOR MANAGING MORPC-ATTRIBUTABLE FUNDS" |
| 2:31 p.m. | d. Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Update – Nick Gill, MORPC Assistant Transportation Systems & Funding Director |
| 2:40 p.m. | e. Draft FY 2017 Planning Work Program – Nick Gill, MORPC Assistant Transportation Systems & Funding Director |
| 2:50 p.m. | f. Adjourn Transportation Policy Committee (MPO) – Matt Greeson (City of Worthington), MORPC Chair |
| 2:51 p.m. | 10. Motion to Approve Actions of the Transportation Policy Committee |
| 2:55 p.m. | 11. Other Business |
| 3:00 p.m. | 12. Adjourn – Matt Greeson (City of Worthington), MORPC Chair |

PLEASE NOTIFY SHARI SAUNDERS AT 614-233-4169 OR <u>ssaunders@morpc.org</u> IF YOU REQUIRE SPECIAL ASSISTANCE.

The next Commission Meeting is Thursday, May 12, 2016 at 1:30 p.m. 111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Commission Meeting Agenda April 14, 2016 Page 3

> When you arrive in MORPC's lobby, a video screen will display the day's meetings. Each meeting will list a phone extension. Use the phone in the lobby to call the extension and someone will come escort you to the meeting.

> When parking in MORPC's parking lot, please be sure to park in a MORPC visitor space or in a space marked with an "M". Handicapped parking is available at the side of MORPC's building. MORPC is accessible by CBUS.



| 111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Columbus, Ohio 43215 | Memorandum | | |
|---|------------|--|--|
| T 614.228.2663 TTY 800.750.0750 | TO: | Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission Executive Committee Officers and Board Members | |
| www.morpc.org | FROM: | Rory McGuiness, Secretary | |
| | DATE: | April 8, 2016 | |

SUBJECT: Nomination of Executive Committee Members

BACKGROUND

In accordance with the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission Articles of Agreement, the Commission will be notified at least five (5) days prior to the Annual Meeting as to the nominees for MORPC's Officers and Executive Committee Team members. The Nominating Committee excerpt from the Articles is as follows:

"At least twenty (20) days prior to the annual meeting, the chair shall appoint the Nominating Committee, Said Nominating Committee having been duly appointed and confirmed shall, at least ten (10) days prior to the annual meeting of the COMMISSION, report the names of the candidates so nominated to the secretary. The secretary shall advise each member of the COMMISSION, in writing, at least five (5) days prior to the annual meeting as to the nominees so selected. At the annual meeting, the chair of the Nominating Committee shall report the names so nominated. After this report is presented, nominations from the floor shall be invited. Such nominations must be seconded. The secretary then shall prepare ballots properly identifying the nominees, said ballots shall be distributed and tallied during the annual meeting by a temporary committee appointed for that purpose."

The Five Nominating Committee Members are:

Rory McGuiness-Committee Chair, Deputy Director, City of Columbus Chris Bauserman, County Engineer, Delaware County Marilyn Brown, County Commissioner, Franklin County Ted Staton, City Manager, City of Upper Arlington Nancy White, Township Administrator, Mifflin Township

NOMINATING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Nominating Committee convened on February 5, 2016 and made the following recommendations:

The nominees for Officers for one-year Officer Terms (expires 2017):

Matt Greeson, Chair, City Manager, City of Worthington Rory McGuiness, Vice Chair, Deputy Director, City of Columbus Karen Angelou, Secretary, Council Member, City of Gahanna

William Murdock, AICP Executive Director

Eric S. Phillips Chair

Matt Greeson

Rory McGuiness Secretary

The nominees for Executive Committee are:

For renewed one year Executive Committee Terms (expires 2017):

- Joe Stefanov (2008), Chair of Reserve & Investment Advisory Committee City Manager, City of New Albany Derrick Clay (2007), Chair of Building Committee
- President/CEO-New Visions, Franklin County representative Marilyn Brown (2007), Chair of Compensation & Benefits Committee
- County Commissioner, Franklin County Eric Phillips (2007), Past Chair, Executive Director/Chamber CEO, Union County/Marysville Economic Development Partnership Matt Greeson (2009), Officer, City Manager, City of Worthington

For renewed two-year Executive Committee Terms (expires 2018):

Karen Angelou (2014), Council Member, City of Gahanna Michael Ebert (2014), Mayor, City of Canal Winchester Rob Platte (2014), Township Administrator, Etna Township Rory McGuiness (2014), Deputy Director, City of Columbus

Note: Several Executive Committee positions do not have expiring terms this year and therefore require no action by the Nominating Committee:

In the second year of a two-year Executive Committee Term (expires 2017) Tracie Davies (2014), Director, Public Utilities, City of Columbus Gary Merrell (2015), County Commissioner, Delaware County Kim Maggard (2015), Mayor, City of Whitehall

Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission

Commission Meeting Minutes

Thursday, March 10, 2016 1:30 p.m.

| Commission Members | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| Karen Angelou | Jenna Jackson | | Ellen Moss Williams |
| Jeff Benton | Erik Janas | | Robert Myers |
| Pat Blayney | Larry Jenkins | | Eric Phillips |
| Stacey Boumis | Tom Johnson | | Hannah Reed |
| Marilyn Brown | Anthony Jones | | Bryan Rhoads |
| Ron Bullard | Steve Kennedy | | Eric Richter |
| Greg Butcher | Benjamin King | | Dean Ringle |
| Steve Campbell | Bill LaFayette | | Jim Schimmer |
| Ben Collins | Laura Lanese | | Don Schonhardt |
| Mike Compton | Tim Lecklider | | Ashley Senn |
| Karl Craven | Greg Lestini | | Kent Shafer |
| John Eisel | Stephen Lutz | | David Simmons |
| Steve Gladman | Glenn Marzluf | | Joe Stefanov |
| Matt Greeson | Dana McDaniel | | Scott Tourville |
| Marsha Hall | Rory McGuiness | | Alan Vandewater |
| Dan Havener | Gary Merrell | | Christie Ward |
| Tom Homan | Bonnie Michael | | Nancy White |
| Associate Members | | | |
| Policy Committee Members | | | |
| David Paul | Thom Slack | | Curtis Stitt |
| Staff | | | |
| Rachel Beerman | Ciel Klein | | Nancy Reger |
| Kerstin Carr | Laura Koprowski | i | Shari Saunders |
| Mark Crosten | Eileen Leuby | | Maria Schaper |
| Mary Ann Frantz | William Murdock | | Bevan Schneck |
| Joe Garrity | Christina O'Keef | fe | Nate Vogt |
| Shawn Hufstedler | Rob Powell | | Thea Walsh |
| <u>Guests</u> | | | |
| Fred Redfern, Village of Crooksville | | Clyde Seidle, City o | f Hilliard |
| Cornell Robertson, FCEO | | Steve Tugend, Keg | ler Brown Hill & Ritter |
| | | | |

Chair Eric Phillips called the Commission Meeting to order at 1:30 p.m. followed by the pledge of allegiance. Chair Phillips appointed Marilyn Brown Chair of the Benefits & Compensation Committee.

Rory McGuiness presented the nominations from the Nominating Committee. The 2016 Nominating Committee members are Rory McGuiness (Chair), Chris Bauserman, Marilyn Brown, Ted Staton and Nancy White. The Nominating Committee convened on February 5, 2016 and makes the following recommendations:

> Nominees for Officers for one-year Officer Terms (expires 2017): Matt Greeson, Chair Rory McGuiness, Vice Chair Karen Angelou, Secretary

Nominees for renewed one year Executive Committee Terms (expires 2017): Joe Stefanov (2008) – Chair of Investment & Reserve Committee Derrick Clay (2007) – Chair of Building Committee Marilyn Brown (2007) – Chair of Compensation & Benefits Committee Eric Phillips (2007) – Past Chair Matt Greeson (2009) – Officer

Nominees for renewed two-year Executive Committee Terms (expires 2018): Karen Angelou (2014) Michael Ebert (2014) Rob Platte (2014) Rory McGuiness (2014)

The nominations will be voted on at the April Commission Meeting. Executive Committee members serving their second year of a 2-year term include Tracie Davies, Kim Maggard and Gary Merrell.

Consent Agenda

A motion was made and seconded to approve the consent agenda; motion passed.

Executive Director's Report - William Murdock, MORPC Executive Director

William Murdock invited Commission members to send programming ideas for future Commission meetings to MORPC.

The finalists for the Smart City Competition will be announced March 12, 2016. Rory McGuiness thanked MORPC and the Commission members for their help and support letters.

The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act announced two freight funding opportunities at the end of February. The Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) competitive grant, with funding up to \$500 million, is looking for multi-modal projects that elevate people in their lives. Applications are due April 29, 2016. The FAST Lane funding, or Section 1105, is specifically for freight and is set at \$800 million. Some awards will exceed \$25 million. Applications are due April 14, 2016. MORPC Team Members are available to help with your applications.

A number of MORPC Team Members and Commission Members attended the National Association of Regional Councils (NARC) National Conference of Regions February 7-9, 2016. They had the opportunity to share the 2016 Public Policy Agenda with every member of the Central Ohio legislative delegation as well as the head of freight for USDOT. The DC Fly-In was March 2, 2016. MORPC participates in the Fly-In which is organized by the Columbus Partnership and the Columbus Region Coalition. Meetings were held with the entire Central Ohio legislative delegation as well as with Transportation Secretary Foxx.

The insight2050 Communications Working Group is releasing an RFP to hire a consultant for residential engagement. The insight2050 Academy is in development. The academy is a 6-week leadership and engagement program focusing on demographics.

MORPC has been busy with member events including the Newly Elected Officials Breakfast, Creating Age-Friendly Communities for Associate Members and the New Strategies for Cities Forum. The cities forum featured Columbus Mayor Andrew Ginther and a panel of experts addressing new strategies for community

conversations that can be applied throughout all governments. A <u>video</u> of the forum is available on MORPC's website.

Registration ends March 21, 2016 for the National Safe Routes to School Conference. The conference is April 5-7, 2016 and includes internationally and nationally known speakers. Professional credits are available.

Create Great Communities Through Active Public Spaces will be held Thursday, April 7, 9:00-11:00 a.m. at MORPC. Gil Penalosa, one of the National Safe Routes to School keynotes is the presenter.

The 2016 State of the Region Luncheon is Friday, May 6 at the Hilton Columbus Downtown. This year's theme focuses on the aging demographic change. There is a membership reception from 10:30-11:30 a.m. Registration is open.

Committees

Regional Policy Roundtable - Steve Campbell, Regional Policy Roundtable Chair

Steve Campbell invited Commission members to Meet the Class Columbus 2016 on April 14, 2016, 5:30-7:00 p.m. at Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP. This is a networking reception to meet new elected officials who represent their businesses and communities throughout Central Ohio and to begin building or re-establishing relationships.

 Legislative Update – Steve Tugend, Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter and Laura Koprowski, MORPC Public & Government Affairs Director

Steve Tugend and Laura Koprowski gave the legislative update and highlighted the following issues:

- State capital bill
- o Ohio primary
- Visits to Washington, DC
- o State Transportation Task Force
- o 15th Anniversary Reception for Clean Ohio, April 19, 2016

See the <u>March 2016 Legislative Update</u> for other legislative news.

Sustainability Advisory Committee – Jim Schimmer, Sustainability Advisory Committee Vice-Chair

The Sustainability Advisory Committee discussed committee work and future expectations at their January 19, 2016 meeting. 2016 priorities include more action items with member dialogue and a focus on relevant policy issues in coordination with the Regional Policy Roundtable. The committee will also provide more direction to MORPC on high-profile programs and events like the Summit on Sustainability.

In February, the Central Ohio Greenways Board adopted its five year strategic plan. As part of the plan, the consultant conducted a number of research projects around the country on trail focused organizations to identify best practices. Our park and trail system is doing well; we want to make it best of class as we move forward.

A subcommittee has been formed to evaluate the Greenways & Water Quality Working Group. This subcommittee is co-chaired by Susan Ashbrook, Columbus Department of Public Utilities Assistant Director and Glenn Marzluf, Del-Co General Manager/CEO. Over the next six months, the committee will provide recommendations on the working group's structure and purpose.

The regional PACE district, Columbus Regional Energy Special Improvement District, was established in January through the City of Columbus. It was initiated with an eligible project and property owner, PNC Plaza, and financed through the Columbus-Franklin County Finance Authority. A formal announcement and reception was held Thursday, March 10, 2016. Christina O'Keeffe represents MORPC on the board which is actively talking to other communities to join. The idea is to have shared services across multiple jurisdictions.

The next Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting is April 19, 2016.

Transportation Policy Committee - Eric Phillips, MORPC Chair

Chair Eric Phillips called the Transportation Policy Committee Session to order at 2:00 p.m. The session adjourned at 2:20 p.m. Separate minutes are attached.

Approve Actions of the Transportation Policy Committee

A motion was made to approve the actions of the Transportation Policy Committee, second by Bill LaFayette; motion passed.

Chair Phillips introduced guest Village of Crooksville Mayor Fred Redfern and new members Tim Lecklider from the City of Dublin and Kent Shafer from the City of Delaware.

<u>Community Leader Spotlight: Global Institute for the Study of the Intelligent Community</u> – Dana McDaniel, City of Dublin City Manager

Dana McDaniel gave a presentation on the <u>Global Institute for the Study of the Intelligent Community</u>. The Intelligent Community Forum (ICF) is a New York-based think tank formed to study intelligent communities and how they are creating economies capable of prospering in the broadband economy. Dublin and Columbus have been named a Smart 21 Community and a Top 7 Community multiple times. The Columbus Region was a Smart 21 City in 2012 and Columbus was named the Intelligent Community of the Year for 2015. The 2016 Intelligent Community of the Year announcement will be made in Columbus during the ICF's Annual Summit, June 13-17. Dublin's dubLINK provides fiber to the curb and is now pushing into office buildings. The return on their investment of \$6 million is expected to be \$40 million. The Global Institute for the Study of the Intelligent Community first convened in October 2015 and met again in February 2016. The next steps are to develop a marketing plan to encourage other communities to participate in the process.

<u>Proposed Resolution 02-16</u>: "ADOPTION OF MORPC POSITIONS ON ENERGY MANDATES STUDY COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS" – Steve Campbell, Regional Policy Roundtable Chair and Jim Schimmer, Sustainability Advisory Committee Vice Chair

Steve Campbell and Jim Schimmer presented the <u>Energy Mandates Recommendations</u>. In 2013, Senate Bill 310 established The Ohio Energy Mandates Study Committee which held hearings to study Ohio's renewable energy, peak demand reduction and energy efficiency standards. In September 2015, the study committee released its report with recommendations concerning Ohio's Advanced Energy Initiative. The Regional Policy Roundtable, the Sustainability Advisory Committee and the Executive Committee reached a consensus legislative position for MORPC to take on each recommended action of the report. The recommendations are:

- Actively oppose the extension of SB 310 freeze indefinitely.
- Actively support appropriate credits to maximize advanced energy projects and recommend taking a closer look at details.
- Actively support a combination of mandates and incentives, with the idea that incentives will help meet the goals of the mandates.

Tom Homan made a motion to approve Resolution 02-16, a second was made; motion passed.

Other Business - Eric Phillips, MORPC Chair

Chair Phillips recognized and thanked the MORPC Commission members who serve on other MORPC Committees.

The Commission Meeting adjourned at 2:53 p.m.

Matt Greeson, Chair Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission



| 111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Columbus, Ohio 43215 | Memorandum | | |
|---|------------|---|--|
| T 614.228.2663 TTY 800.750.0750 | TO: | Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission Executive Committee Officers and Board Members | |
| www.morpc.org | FROM: | Christina O'Keeffe, Director Energy & Air Quality | |
| | DATE: | April 8, 2016 | |
| | SUBJECT: | Proposed Resolution 03-16: " TO AFFIRM THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S SUPPORT OF FAIR HOUSING AND PROMOTING FAIR AND EQUAL HOUSING IN THE CENTRAL OHIO AREA " | |

This month marks the 48th anniversary of the Fair Housing Act and the celebration of National Fair Housing Month. MORPC joins federal, state, and local agencies and our Central Ohio community in remembering why the Fair Housing Act was passed in 1968 and commits to educating Central Ohio residents on their housing rights.

Under the Federal Fair Housing Law, Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (Fair Housing Act), it is illegal to deny housing to any person because of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, physical or mental disabilities, or national origin. Under the Ohio Fair Housing Act, it is illegal to deny housing to any person because of race, color, religion, sex, disability, familial status, national origin, ancestry, or military status.

MORPC has operated major housing rehabilitation and minor home repair programs for low-and moderate-income families for more than 30 years. In addition, MORPC administers the Joint Columbus and Franklin County Housing Advisory Board, which reviews mortgage revenue bond requests for affordable housing developments to be approved by the Franklin County Board of Commissioners.

MORPC receives federal, state, and local public funds to administer these housing programs, which serve Central Ohio residents with a diverse range of backgrounds. The United States Congress has adopted a national policy to provide, within constitutional limitations, for fair housing throughout the United States. MORPC is committed to support fair housing laws and provide public information on fair housing and equal housing lending to applicants of all agency housing programs.

William Murdock, AICP Executive Director Eric S. Phillips Chair

Matt Greeson Vice Chair Rory McGuiness Secretary Memo Proposed Resolution 03-16 Page 2

This resolution recognizes the preservation of the fair housing rights of the residents of Central Ohio and links to MORPC's mission of economic prosperity, the priority of being a leader in regional, state, and national policies, as well as the strategy to expand and sustain the availability of affordable housing.

Attachment: Resolution 03-16

RESOLUTION 03-16

"TO AFFIRM THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION'S SUPPORT OF FAIR HOUSING AND PROMOTING FAIR AND EQUAL HOUSING IN THE CENTRAL OHIO AREA"

WHEREAS, the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission (MORPC) supports the principle of equal rights and privileges for all citizens and desires to give meaning to the laws of this state and the United States; and

WHEREAS, under the Federal Fair Housing Law, Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 as amended (known as the "Fair Housing Act"), it is illegal to deny housing to any person because of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, physical or mental disabilities, or national origin; and

WHEREAS, under the State of Ohio Fair Housing Law, Section 4112.02(H) of the Ohio Revised Code, it is illegal to deny housing to any person because of race, color, religion, sex, familial status, physical or mental disabilities, national origin, ancestry, or military status; and

WHEREAS, the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission supports fair housing laws and provides public information on fair and equal housing to applicants of MORPC's housing programs; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION:

- Section 1. That it is the policy of the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission to promote and provide fair housing materials to all applicants of MORPC's housing programs.
- Section 2. This resolution assists in the preservation of the fair housing rights of the residents of Central Ohio and links to MORPC's mission of economic prosperity, the priority of being a leader in regional, state, and national policies, as well as the strategy to expand and sustain the availability of affordable housing.
- Section 3. The Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission shall publicize this resolution and the agency's commitment to fair housing to its employees and the general public.
- Section 4. The Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission shall post a Fair Housing Law poster, which includes the Equal Housing Opportunity logo, in a public location of the MORPC establishment and/or website.
- Section 5. The Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission shall advise MORPC employees to forward any housing discrimination complaints to the Ohio Civil Rights Commission, Rhodes State Office Tower, 30 E. Broad Street, 4th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3414.
- Section 6. That the executive director is authorized to take such other action and execute and deliver such other documents as, acting with the advice of legal counsel, he shall deem necessary and appropriate to carry out the intent of this resolution.
- Section 7. That this Commission finds and determines that all formal deliberations and actions of this Commission concerning and relating to the adoption of this resolution were taken in open meetings of this Commission.

Resolution 03-16 Page 2

> Matt Greeson, Chair MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Effective date: Submitted by: Prepared by: Authority: For action date: April 14, 2016 Christina O'Keeffe, Director, Energy & Air Quality Christina O'Keeffe, Director, Energy & Air Quality Ohio Revised Code Section 713.21 April 14, 2016



MORPC Monthly Agency Report March 2016

Public & Government Affairs

Laura Koprowski – <u>Ikoprowski@morpc.org</u>

State of the Region 2016

Registration is still available for our local government and associate members at this year's State of the Region luncheon on Friday, May 6, 2016 at the Hilton Downtown Columbus. Members may purchase tickets <u>online</u> or contact Bevan Schneck at <u>bschneck@morpc.org</u>. We are also hosting a special Members-Only reception prior to the luncheon to honor our out-going MORPC Chairman Eric Phillips and recognize the new officers to be elected at the April Commission meeting. To attend the reception please RSVP to Eileen Leuby at <u>eleuby@morpc.org</u>.

MORPC is again partnering with *Business First* to publish a State of the Region report in the May 6 edition. This special publication will highlight the many accomplishments and opportunities that are underway by MORPC and our local government members. This is made possible through the generous support of advertisements by our local governments and business partners. To find out more details how your community can be involved, please contact Bernice Cage at <u>bcage@morpc.org</u>.

Community Outreach

After a thorough request for proposal process, MORPC selected Vital Companies to provide video production services for our signature events (State of the Region and Summit on Sustainability) and program marketing and outreach.

A video summarizing the new 2016-2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan was created and is being shared at a variety of events, presentations, on public access television and <u>online</u>. MORPC members are encouraged to share this video with their community.

MORPC is coordinating a request for proposal process to select a communications consultant to develop an outreach tool kit for local governments to utilize to engage their residents in the insight2050 findings and development issues. The tool kit is also being created for private developers and planners for their community outreach activities.

Government Affairs

MORPC Board Members are encouraged to attend the upcoming <u>Meet the Class Event</u> from 5-7 p.m. on April 14, 2016 hosted by MORPC in partnership with the Columbus Chamber, Mid-Ohio Development Exchange (MODE) and Calfee, Halter & Griswold, LLP. The event will take place at Calfee's offices at 41 South High Street, Suite 1200. There is no fee to attend but pre-registration is required by April 12. Highlighted at this networking reception will be our newly-elected local government officials – mayors, council members, and school board members. All elected officials including the Central Ohio Congressional Delegation, State Representatives and Senators from the Columbus Region, as well as County Commissioners, Engineers and Treasurers, will also be invited.

MORPC Executive Director William Murdock and Public & Government Affairs Director Laura Koprowski participated in the Columbus Partnership's annual Washington, DC Fly-In Event on March 2, 2016. The event focused on holding strategic conversations about Central Ohio regional priorities with our Congressional delegation and their key staff as well as U.S. Secretary of Transportation Anthony Foxx.

The Clean Ohio Fund is celebrating its 15th Anniversary this year. In recognition of the variety of meaningful community projects that this statewide program has funded, a reception is being held April 19, 2016 from 5-7 p.m. at the Statehouse. All MORPC members are invited to attend and may confirm their attendance by contacting Bevan Schneck at <u>bschneck@morpc.org</u>.

Media Relations

See attached report of news releases and media coverage.

Online Communications Efforts

See attached report for social media and website activity.

Transportation Systems & Funding

Thea Walsh - twalsh@morpc.org

Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)

MORPC hosted an open house for the 2016-2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan, attended by 59 people. The public was invited to view maps and information about the draft plan, available on MORPC's website – www.morpc.org\mtp2040. Planning & Environment Team members assisted with the MTP Open House by displaying and discussing safety and active transportation elements including the Active Transportation Plan. Air Quality Department collaborated with the Transportation Systems & Funding Department for the air quality conformity elements. Public comments are being accepted on the draft MTP through April 15, 2016.

Highways/Bridges

On March 21, 2016, MORPC partnered with ODOT to present information to Worthington City Council on the upcoming study of SR 161 from Sawmill Road to Olentangy River Road. ODOT is hiring a consultant and administering the study with funding from ODOT, Columbus, Worthington, Perry Township and MORPC. It will get started over the summer.

Transit/Human Services

In January MORPC received twelve Section 5310 funding requests to enhance the mobility of older adults and persons with disabilities. Team Members ranked the funding requests and made recommendations totaling over \$1.9 million for incorporation into MORPC's TIP by way of MORPC Resolution T-3-16, passed by MORPC's Transportation Policy Committee in March. The resolution was submitted to ODOT for a STIP/TIP amendment for FTA approval. Team Members are entering project details into FTA's Transit Award Management System (TrAMS) for approval and execution.

Data collection from specialized transportation users and providers to update the area's Coordinated Plan for Delaware and Franklin counties is in process. This plan is a requirement to receive FTA Section 5310 funds in the Columbus Urbanized Area (UZA). The plan identifies gaps and needs of specialized transportation services with recommended strategies and policies that are a priority for using Section 5310 funds.

RideSolutions

As a means to encouraging ridesharing and alternative transportation, MORPC purchased Bicycle Fixit stations to place at Park & Pedal and Park & Ride locations around Central Ohio. COTA agreed to install and maintain the final Fixit station at its Delawanda Park & Ride facility.

RideSolutions Team Members negotiated contracts with three other Ohio MPOs (MVRPC, TMACOG, and OKI) to continue the RideshareOhio partnership through October 2016. This partnership will be reevaluated and hopefully expanded after the RFP process for a new software provider is completed in third quarter 2016.

RideSolutions Team Members published an RFP on behalf of OARC, requesting proposals from firms to provide professional services for assistance and guidance in selecting an efficient, cost-effective, and robust platform that will perform public ridematching services for several regions throughout the State of Ohio. The consultant is expected to be selected in April with the project commencing in mid-May.

RideSolutions Team Members developed and began distributing an Exit Survey for commuters who choose to opt-out of RideSolutions' commuter services. Data gathered from this exit survey are intended to provide more insight about a commuter's experience with RideSolutions and how services might be improved.

Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

TIP Team Members attended the March Project Status Meeting at ODOT District 6 to monitor the progress of 20 projects using MORPC-attributable funds in nine jurisdictions.

MORPC team members attended the field review for the Tuttle Crossing Boulevard extension project, along with staff from the City of Dublin and ODOT District 6. Team Members also attended a meeting at ODOT District 6 to discuss the detailed design of Worthington's Worthington-Galena/Wilson Bridge/Huntley intersection modification project. City of Worthington staff, ODOT District 6 staff, and consultants from EMH&T also attended.

Ohio Public Works Commission

At the February 29th meeting, the CAC was updated on infrastructure projects that received State Capital Improvement Program (SCIP) and Local Transportation Improvement Program (LTIP) funding in Round 30.

Franklin County Engineer's staff and MORPC Team Members met with township officials from Jefferson Township to provide an overview of the SCIP/LTIP infrastructure funding programs. Two unsuccessful applications for Havens Road and Mann Road Culvert were also reviewed, and ways to improve these scores for next year were discussed.

Data and Mapping

Nancy Reger - nreger@morpc.org

Regional Data Lab

The bylaws for the new Regional Data Advisory Committee are being considered by MORPC's Bylaws Committee. A meeting is set with MORPC Board Member Victor Paini of Madison Township and Columbus2020 Data Director Jung Kim to discuss potential members of the new committee.

Presentation Abstracts Submitted to National Conferences

Abstracts were submitted for consideration for two conferences. One was for the interactive Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) map that we hope to show off at the Urban and Regional Information Systems Association (URISA) conference in Toronto. The other was the Active Transportation Story Map, which was submitted to the URISA GIS and Health Symposium in Charlotte. Selections will be publicized on April 1,2016.

insight2050

Data & Mapping Team Members are nearing completion of identifying viability and activity indices for major nodes, corridors and neighborhoods as part of the second phase of insight2050. This is a data-intensive activity that includes weighing the importance of a variety of factors about development patterns, transportation characteristics and market conditions.

Homes on the Hill Study

The Homes on the Hill (HOTH) project is nearly complete with an interactive map developed for HOTH, a Community Development Corporation located on the west side of Franklin County. The map will be a tool for them to use when assessing investment decisions. This map was developed by analyzing a broad set of criteria about neighborhood amenities, safety, market conditions, transportation infrastructure and socio-economic characteristics. MORPC's goal is to have this project replicated in other parts of the region to aid in similar business decisions.

Franklin County 911 Coordination

Comments were submitted to the Franklin County Regional 911 Communications office regarding the draft report on the assessment of GIS usage in the county. The assessment included some recommendations including better integration with other address source materials, improved coordination with the Sheriff's office, and improvements to boundary files that are used for directing 911 calls to dispatching agencies. The report also recommended increased technical support from Franklin County with regard to Location Based Response System (LBRS) activities. MORPC Team Members are working to engage the Franklin County Auditor's staff to discuss how to leverage each other's assets.

Coordination with ODOT's GIS Office

MORPC is representing local data providers as part of ODOT's initiative to convert its GIS system to an ESRI platform. The state intends to rely on the LBRS files produced at county levels as the basic data for its road file. There are many details to be worked through.

Programmatic Support and General Information Requests

- Prepared square mileage for MORPC's Comprehensive Annual Finance Report.
- Supplied data for the Age-Friendly Columbus project.
- Met with Franklin County Engineer along with MORPC P&ETeam Members about the Bike-Friendly Map.
- Prepared the Development Trend Appendix for the 2016-2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan.
- Shared a draft version of the on-line Attributable Funds application with representatives of the Transportation Advisory Committee.

Planning & Environment

Kerstin Carr - <u>kcarr@morpc.org</u>

Active Transportation Plan

Team Members presented the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), the Active Transportation Plan (ATP), and the Columbus Metro Bike Map to the Fairfield County Active Transportation Committee.

Columbus Metro Bike Map

Team Members reviewed over 400 comments on the Level of Service/Comfort received via an online interactive map, an open house, and several other community events. Team Members used these comments to inform updating the Level of Service/Comfort data.

Team members met with the Franklin County Engineer's Office staff and the Fairfield County Active Transportation Committee to discuss updates to the map.

Complete Streets

Staff reviewed three sets of plans for complete streets and green infrastructure/stormwater management considerations.

- Mink Street Reconstruction Stage 3 plans
- Worthington-Galena/Wilson Bridge/Huntley improvements Stage 1 plans
- Tuttle Crossing Boulevard Extension Stage 1 plans

Meetings

Team Members participated in the Chronic Disease Prevention Advisory Board meeting at Columbus Public Health. The meeting focused on a new approach for the Board where the Steering Committee will solicit updates from Board members on a monthly basis. These updates will focus on any of their resources, challenges, and partnerships associated with healthy eating, active transportation, and smoke-free environments. The Steering Committee will use that reporting information to develop the agendas for the Board meetings. The repository of information will also be ready to use if a grant opportunity arises.

Team Members participated in the Traffic Records Coordinating Committee meeting at ODOT. During this meeting federal, state and local representatives discussed the updated committee resolution and charter, reviewed the status of ongoing committee-sponsored projects, and approved 2 million dollars in funding for new projects.

Team Members attended the Columbus Area Pedestrian Safety Committee and discussed current local initiatives to increase pedestrian safety.

Federal and state representatives presented on current safety-related efforts at the Ohio Association of Regional Councils (OARC) Transportation Directors Meeting. These efforts include the Ohio MPO safety scan and how MPOs can work with ODOT to set consistent transportation safety performance measures.

Regional Systematic Safety Improvement Pilot Project

As of March, over 25 locations within the City of Columbus (out of 58 region-wide) have received signal upgrades as part of the first phase of the regional systematic safety improvement pilot project. These upgrades include reflective signal back plates and LED-enhanced signal heads and are intended to reduce angle crashes at these locations.

MORPC and ODOT received evaluation results from the project consultant for the second phase of the systematic safety pilot, which includes pedestrian safety countermeasures. MORPC Team Members will begin finalizing locations for inclusion with local jurisdictions before the end of April.

insight2050

MORPC Team Members hosted the insight2050 Executive Committee and discussed Phase II progress. Leadership asked to prioritize a roll-out of local case studies for the online resource library. A possible course for Phase III was also discussed.

Team Members hosted a conference with APBP staff regarding the webinar it will present in August. The webinar will focus on insight2050, the Active Transportation Plan, and ideally, a suburban example of complete streets.

The City of Columbus Division of Planning and MORPC met to discuss coordinating efforts to design case studies as both insight2050 and Columbus resources.

Team Members attended the Columbus Metropolitan Club lunch, Housing Trends That Will Define US, featuring Jim Hilz, along with others, to discuss how our region's changing demographics are shifting market demand for housing.

ULI and MORPC Team Members met to discuss the insight2050 Resource Library. This focus group discussed what tools and resources would benefit the real estate development community in that work, especially in communication with local governments and residents.

Team Members attended The Future of Suburbs talk hosted by the Center for Urban and Regional Affairs at OSU, featuring Terry Foegler. The presentation highlighted demographic changes and the shift toward living in urbanized areas.

Team Members attended a webinar, "The Central Social District," which discussed rethinking the Central Business District as not just a place of commerce but as a place where people come together for a variety of reasons – which can improve the city's competitive advantage.

Outreach

insight2050 presentations were delivered to the following audiences: the University Area Commission (approximate audience of 40); an OSU Extension event, "The Future of Agriculture in Pickaway County," (approximate audience of 50); the Central Ohio ASCE March luncheon (approximate audience of 35); the Circleville City Council Long-Range Planning Commission (approximate audience of 15); the Short North Alliance Board (approximate audience of 20); and the Ohio City/County Management Association conference (approximate audience of 40).

Age-Friendly Columbus

MORPC Team Members, along with Councilmember Michael Stinziano, Fran Ryan, and Doug Tayek, hosted the initial Age-Friendly Columbus Advisory Council Meeting. This meeting kicked-off the initiative with representatives from the public, private, and non-profit sectors engaged in aging issues. The meeting focused on identifying subcommittees and their membership to guide the project.

On March 23, 2016 the City of Columbus, MORPC and funders, held a press conference to announce the project and to share that the City of Columbus was accepted to the Age-Friendly Network by the World Health Organization and AARP.

Central Ohio Greenways (COG) Board

MORPC Team Members hosted the March Central Ohio Greenways Board meeting. The 5-year strategic plan, along with is Best Practices report, was adopted by the board as the four working teams' guiding documents. The working teams have already started implementing several of the short-term action items.

Greenways Water Quality Working Group

Team Members facilitated the first meeting of the Water Quality Working Group Review Committee on February 29, 2016. The meeting discussed the purpose of the committee, general ideas of priorities per the Water Quality Working Group, and expectations for the next six months. Susan Ashbrook with the Department of Public Utilities at the City of Columbus and Glenn Marzluf with Del-Co Water have agreed to co-chair the committee. The second meeting focused on identifying the scope of the working group. Major considerations were issues of regional breadth, issues not already being addressed by another organization or entity, and issues that MORPC is capable of addressing.

Team Members facilitated a meeting of the Water Quality Working Group. The meeting agenda included a policy update from MORPC's Public & Government Affairs Department, a presentation on

10 years of planning around the Darby Creek by The Nature Conservancy, an update on the programs and facilities at the Olentangy Wetlands Research Center, and a status update on the Central Ohio Blueways interactive map by MORPC.

Team Members met with engineers and other experts to better understand the Green Infrastructure practices and philosophy here in Central Ohio. Team Members also met with the City of Columbus Department of Utilities to discuss Blueprint Columbus.

The Green Pact Meeting in Upper Arlington focused on MORPC's working group's "hot topics" for 2016 and how they relate to the Green Pact and participating entities' work.

Team Members attended the OSU Environmental Professionals Network breakfast on agricultural practices and nutrient runoff. A prominent and nationally respected farmer from Madison County, Fred Yoder, said farmers need to reconsider how they do things, and that more education is needed.

Safe Routes to School National Conference

The Safe Routes to School National Conference registration opened in December and closed on March 21st. Nearly 500 people from across the country have registered. The three-day event features top-notch national and local speakers, breakout sessions and workshop. The full program can be found here: www.saferoutesconference.org.

Local Food

Team Members hosted the March Regional Food Council meeting. Participants agreed that the Meat Industry Task Force should be reactivated. There was additional discussion about possible other task forces that would complement the city/county Local Food Action Plan.

MORPC participated in the meeting for the Local Food Action Plan Working Committee to evaluate draft action recommendations. Team Members are trying to ensure its work and that of the Action Plan are consistent and complementary, and that MORPC has a role in the Action Plan implementation.

Team Members attended a forum with the Columbus Metropolitan Club on Urban Agriculture and reached out to one of the most successful Columbus urban farmers to learn about best practices and marketing.

MORPC is submitting a pre-proposal to the Surdna Foundation on conducting an Institutional Demand Study for local food. Members of the Regional Food Council agree that the data received from this study will be instrumental in creating the regional food system.

Energy & Air Quality

Christina O'Keeffe - cokeeffe@morpc.org

Energy & Air Quality Working Group

The Energy and Air Quality Working Group convened on March 29th. The agenda included a representative from the City of Columbus regarding the city's recent achievement as a finalist for the US DOT's Smart Cities grant, a report from Ohio EPA on the status of the Clean Power Plan as well as the new ozone standard of 70 ppb, and an update from MORPC's Air Quality program on this year's initiatives for reducing single-occupant vehicle use and improving regional air quality.

<u>Energy Special Improvement Districts (E-SIDs) and Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE):</u> MORPC Team Members attended the official announcement on March 10 of the PNC Plaza energy efficiency project. This was the first project in the City of Columbus to take advantage of PACE through the formation of an E-SID. The PNC Plaza project received financing through the ColumbusFranklin County Finance Authority with support from Franklin County's Energy Works fund. Green Energy Ohio's magazine came out after this, which included an article from MORPC about PACE.

Christina O'Keeffe represents MORPC on the E-SID. An additional project application has been submitted to join the district, which is being evaluated. Also, another member community preparing efforts to join the regional district which is expected in Spring 2016.

Sustainability Advisory Committee

The draft agenda was reviewed with the Chair and Vice-Chair and the final agenda will be provided to the Committee members by April 4, 2016. The agenda topics include discussion of the 2017-2018 Regional Sustainability Agenda, the Energy Mandates policy position adopted by Commission, and the Summit on Sustainability.

Energy Policy

Through the efforts of the Sustainability Advisory Committee, Regional Policy Roundtable, and Executive Committee, MORPC's full Commission considered a resolution to take a position on the Ohio Energy Mandates. Through a unanimous vote at the March 10, 2016 Commission meeting, MORPC has the following consensus position:

MORPC opposes the indefinite freeze of the Ohio energy mandates. In addition, MORPC supports a combination of incentives and mandates to help achieve the goals of increased energy efficiency and renewable energy for diversity in our energy mix along with determining the best approach for maximizing the credit of advanced energy projects.

Special appreciation to Committees Chair Steve Stolte, Chair Steve Campbell, Vice-Chair Kim Maggard, Vice-Chair Jim Schimmer for navigating this complex and technical policy issue. Special thanks also to Energy & Air Quality Working Group Chair Dale Arnold for educating our members on this issue. MORPC Team Members are currently developing a message document that will help inform the actions taken in the coming year in sharing MORPC's position on this important issue.

Air Quality

The Air Quality Team adopted the year's marketing plan and timeline, which were drafted in coordination with Public & Government Affairs and MORPC's Transportation Demand Management program (RideSolutions). Work began executing the elements of the plan including the soliciting of a firm to provide a comprehensive marketing package for air quality awareness during ozone season, and the development of a Commuter Challenge campaign for Central Ohioans that encourages the logging of non-single occupant vehicle commutes for the month of June. Team Members are working in partnership with COTA, COGO, Car2Go, and Columbus Public Health on the Commuter Challenge and those who participate by logging their commutes will be eligible for prizes.

Materials Management Working Group

The Working Group met on March 23, 2016. The agenda included the addition of a Chair's report to provide updates on the Sustainability Advisory Committee and major events such as the State of the Region and Summit on Sustainability. Kelly Engbersen from Goodwill Columbus presented on a successful curbside pilot program conducted in Bexley at the request of the working group members. Erin Miller from the City of Columbus gave an update on efforts to evaluate the City's Committees which were created to support the Green Memo focus areas. She is working in coordination with Kyle O'Keefe at SWACO to evaluate the City's Waste Reduction Committee and exploring opportunities to coordinate or even integrate that group with the Materials Management Working Group to reduce redundancy.

Franklin County Housing Repair and Rehabilitation

Team Members continue work on the 2014 funding round of the Franklin County Urgent Needs program which runs through March of 2016. Currently, 85% of the units are complete and the remaining units are in the construction phase.

Team Members are ahead of schedule completing the 2015 funding round of the Franklin County Urgent Needs program which runs through June of 2017. All applications are received to fulfill 100% of the units anticipated based on the level of funding. Approximately 50% of the jobs are in the application approval, commitment and/or contractor bidding stages, while remaining units are in construction or are complete.

MORPC was verbally notified that it received a 50% increase in its funding for the next 2016 round through the Franklin County Urgent Needs program utilizing federal funding dedicated to the county. This increase in funding will help to address a growing wait list of eligible clients in need for these services. The next funding round is anticipated to start July 1, 2016.

Franklin County has completed their monitoring of the Franklin County Single-Family Rehabilitation program and Urgent needs programs. Initial response is positive for MORPC's continued successful implementation of the program. The MORPC Housing Team is preparing to do the final project close-out of the FY2013 Urgent needs and the Single family programs upon receiving the Franklin County monitoring report.

United Way of Central Ohio - Franklinton Neighborhood Home Repair

All of the United Way Franklinton first round projects are complete. The second round funding of the United Way Franklinton program is underway, 20% are in the inspection and application stages, 55% are in the contract and construction stages, and 25% of the projects are complete. MORPC was also awarded a new 2016 round of funding for Franklinton and other United Way targeted areas. This round has one new project in the application stage, and marketing is underway for additional applicants for this new round.

Weinland Park Home Repair

MORPC was awarded \$200,000 for a final round of funding by The Columbus Foundation to complete the exterior home repair work in Weinland Park program. Team Members are qualifying applicants for this new program round, with 60% of the projects in the inspection stage, 20% in the contract closing stage and 20% in final inspection/competition stage.

PACT Near East Side Exterior Home Repair Program

After the successful pilot completed in early 2015, Homeport and PACT have extended the program with additional funding for four new homeowners in the PACT area to receive exterior home repairs. MORPC has these 4 projects in the construction stage which may continue into late spring or early summer

Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP)

MORPC is working with the City of Columbus on a new NSP-2 agreement that utilizes recaptured funds from previous MORPC work with the NSP program. The new program includes strategic partnerships with COCIC and Homeport. The agreement was reviewed by the City of Columbus. Final changes were discussed and it is expected the contracts will be in place soon with ground breaking in late spring of 2016 for the projects.

Residential Energy Efficiency Program

The WarmChoice program is at the beginning of the 2016 Program Year which started in January. MORPC's annual goals remain the same as 2015, and production is on target through March. Team Members are preparing for the implementation of the new Columbia Gas Information Management

System (IMS) going live April 1, 2015. This system will enable more real-time data capture, reporting and analysis with the use of mobile devices in the field by inspectors. It is anticipated that production will increase in the long-term after the technology is fully adopted.

HWAP announced the results of the RFP solicitation for Program years 2016 and 2017 in February. MORPC retained the service territory in Franklin County. HWAP production in the current program year is behind schedule due to internal review by technical Team Members to adjust existing jobs to comply with new federal program standards. There are a sufficient number of jobs identified to achieve our annual production goal for the program year ending June 30, 2016.

The 2015-2016 State of Ohio EPP Program has been underway since July 1, 2015 with a dedicated focus on baseload audits to support MORPC's increased funding allocation of \$300,000. Production has increased and is on track to utilize the full funding by June 30, 2016.

The AEP CAP program concluded the 2015's eight-month contract (April thru December) and production continues under the existing contract into 2016. Production is ahead of schedule.

Administration

Shawn Hufstedler - shufstedler@morpc.org

Reserve and Investment Advisory Committee

The Committee reviewed and came to consensus on a draft Operating Reserve Policy. A final version will be reviewed by the Committee along with a revised Investment Policy to accommodate the recommended reserve policy. Both policies will be presented to the Commission for consideration with the intent to adopt the policies by mid-year.

<u>Audit</u>

MORPC's financial statement auditors will begin their audit of MORPC's books and federal programs for 2015 on April 11. They will be here for an initial period of two weeks.

Recruiting

Public & Government Affairs Intern Emily Long began March 21.

Currently, MORPC is recruiting for six open positions:

- Planning & Environment Intern
- Data Management Intern
- Competitive Advantage Program Manager
- HVAC Service Technician/Installer
- Local Government Internship Program (Summer)
- Transportation Engineer/Planner/Modeler

The requirements for these positions are available for review on the website at http://www.morpc.org/about-morpc/overview/job-opportunities/index.

RFP/RFQ Postings

The following RFP's/RFQ's were posted:

- RFP for Emergency Ride Home Transportation Services (closes April 11, 2016)
- RFP for Transportation Demand Management Rideshare Consultant (closes April 11, 2016)
- RFP for insight2050 Communications Consultant (closed March 28, 2016)
- RFQ for HVAC Contractor for Residential Energy Program
- RFQ for Insulation Contractor for Residential Energy Program

The full RFP/RFQs are available at http://www.morpc.org/about-morpc/overview/rfps-rfqs/index .

ATTACHMENT

Member Activities & Events

MORPC Membership Coordinator presented an overview of the benefits of a MORPC membership to Crooksville Village Council in Perry County.

The recruitment process is continuing for the Summer Internship Program. Our Membership Coordinator attended all of the engineering classes at the Columbus State Community College (CSCC) to promote our summer internship program. MORPC was not able to attract any CSCC applicants. MORPC opened up the opportunity for the OSU College of Engineering students to apply and received several dozen applicants. The finalists for the engineering internships are in the host interview phase of the process.

Team Members held the annual meeting for Associate Members with a special focus on age-friendly preparedness. Each participating Associate Member provided an update on their level of preparedness based on the American Association of Retired Personnel's (AARP) livability index as a frame of reference. MORPC Directors led small group discussions about potential collaborations and opportunities to raise the age-friendliness level in the Central Ohio Region.

MORPC in the News

- Finalists announced for city of Aspen's Community Development post Aspen Times
- MORPC insight2050 Executive Summary Ibel Agency Buzz
- Ball rolling on east-side traffic fixes Delaware News
- Four-way stop coming to deadly crossroads New Albany News
- MORPC plans Regional Data Lab as centralized depot for Columbus and Central Ohio Business First
- Council erred with pet store The Columbus Dispatch
- Report: Ohio pedestrian deaths more than doubled The Columbus Dispatch
- Narrow Old 3C will see upgrades later this year Olentangy Valley News
- Finance Authority Finances PNC Plaza Energy Project Columbus-Franklin County Finance Authority
- City, civic leaders hope initiative makes Columbus age friendly The Columbus Dispatch
- Columbus metro area surpasses 2 million mark in population The Columbus Dispatch

Media Releases

- MORPC Soliciting Feedback on Columbus Bike Map
- The City of Columbus and MORPC Kick Off Age-Friendly Columbus Initiative
- Public Works Integrating Committee Seeks Nominations for Franklin County Natural Resources Assistance Council
- 2016-2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Available for Public Review and Comment/Open House Scheduled March 15th
- Safe Routes to School National Conference in Columbus, April 5-7/Intersections Where Health and Transportation Meet

MORPC's online/social media efforts for March provide the following results:

• MORPC's Facebook page is up to 3,425 likes. Throughout the month of March, there were 50 Facebook posts. The most engagement took place on a post with the Dispatch article on the Columbus metro area population surpassing 2 million. This post reached 3.1K people with 49 likes, comments, and shares. A post that included MORPC's new MTP video was second most popular, reaching 1.7k.

- MORPC had about 21.7K tweet impressions during March, an increase of 6.2%. Meanwhile the Twitter account grew by about 50 followers to 2,812. Out of more than 60 tweets during the month of March, our top tweet was a link about Columbus being a Smart City finalist. The top tweet MORPC was mentioned in was from Columbus Business First on the regional data lab. Meanwhile, a post about drunk driving prior to St. Patrick's day was the most popular media tweet (those that contain pictures, graphics, videos, etc.).
- In March, MORPC.org had more than 20.5K external pageviews with 5.5K users. There were more than 7.5K sessions (periods of active engagement). 61% of those on the site were new visitors. Most of the traffic (44.1%) continues to be from organic searches, followed by those with direct links and referrals.

Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission

Transportation Policy Committee Meeting Minutes

Thursday, March 10, 2016 2:00 p.m.

Transportation Policy Committee Members

| Karen Angelou | Jenna Jackson | Robert Myers |
|--|---------------------|-----------------|
| Jeff Benton | Erik Janas | David Paul |
| Pat Blayney | Larry Jenkins | Eric Phillips |
| Stacey Boumis | Anthony Jones | Hannah Reed |
| Marilyn Brown | Steve Kennedy | Bryan Rhoads |
| Ron Bullard | Benjamin King | Dean Ringle |
| Greg Butcher | Bill LaFayette | Jim Schimmer |
| Steve Campbell | Laura Lanese | Don Schonhardt |
| Ben Collins | Tim Lecklider | Ashley Senn |
| Mike Compton | Greg Lestini | Kent Shafer |
| Karl Craven | Stephen Lutz | David Simmons |
| John Eisel | Glenn Marzluf | Thom Slack |
| Steve Gladman | Dana McDaniel | Joe Stefanov |
| Matt Greeson | Rory McGuiness | Curtis Stitt |
| Marsha Hall | Gary Merrell | Scott Tourville |
| Dan Havener | Bonnie Michael | Christie Ward |
| Tom Homan | Ellen Moss Williams | Nancy White |
| <u>Commission Members</u> Tom Johnson | Eric Richter | Alan Vandewater |
| Associate Members | | |
| Staff | | |
| Rachel Beerman | Ciel Klein | Nancy Reger |
| Kerstin Carr | Laura Koprowski | Shari Saunders |
| Mark Crosten | Eileen Leuby | Maria Schaper |
| Mary Ann Frantz | William Murdock | Bevan Schneck |
| Joe Garrity | Christina O'Keeffe | Nate Vogt |
| Shawn Hufstedler | Rob Powell | Thea Walsh |
| - | | |
| Guests Fred Pedforn, Village of Creeksville | Clyda Saidla, Ci | ty of Hilliord |
| | | |

Fred Redfern, Village of Crooksville Cornell Robertson, FCEO

Clyde Seidle, City of Hilliard Steve Tugend, Kegler Brown Hill & Ritter

Chair Eric Phillips called the Transportation Policy Committee Meeting to order at 2:00 p.m.

Metropolitan Planning Organization Report

• Transportation Systems & Funding – Thea Walsh, Director

The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act announced three funding opportunities the last of February. FAST Lane funding, or Section 1105, is specifically for freight and is set at \$800 million. Awards will be between \$5 million and \$25 million. Applications are due April 14, 2016. The Transportation Investment Generating Economic Recovery (TIGER) competitive grant, with funding up to \$500 million, is looking for innovative, multi-modal and multi-jurisdictional projects that advance Transportation Policy Committee Meeting Minutes March 10, 2016 Page 2

communities and create jobs. Applications are due April 29, 2016. The National Highway Freight Program, also known as Section 1116, provides \$6.3 billion in formula funds over five years for states to invest in freight projects. Projects have to follow the state freight program. OARC is working with the state regarding Ohio's Freight Plan.

An Open House was held February 25, 2016 for the 5th Edition of the Bike Map. An interactive online map was available for comment. MORPC Team Member Claire Jennings is the project lead.

MORPC is partnering with COTA, Car2Go, COGO and Columbus Public Health on a Commuter Challenge this summer. The goal is to decrease single occupancy vehicle traffic and promote other modes of transportation.

Consent Agenda

David Paul made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda, second by Gary Merrell; motion passed.

<u>Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Update</u> – Thea Walsh, MORPC Transportation Systems & Funding Director

Thea Walsh presented the MTP <u>Draft Projects</u>. MORPC Team Member Maria Schaper is the lead on this project which began in December 2014. The <u>full draft report</u> is available on MORPC's website. Key determinants in what can be included in the MTP are project costs estimates, the forecast of available funding resources and does it maintain or expand the existing system. Primary sources of project funding are:

- Federal generally controlled by ODOT or MORPC
- State controlled by ODOT or through programs like OPWC or CEAO
- Local government or private

The comment period ends April 15, 2016. The 2016-2040 MTP will be presented for adoption at the May Transportation Policy Committee meeting.

MORPC-Attributable Funding Draft Policy – Thea Walsh, MORPC Transportation Systems & Funding Director

Thea Walsh presented <u>Draft Policies for Managing MORPC-Attributable Funding</u>. This is part of the short-range (4-year) planning process (Transportation Improvement Program). Members of the Attributable Funds Committee (AFC) include representatives from:

- Transportation Advisory Committee
- Transportation stakeholders
- Community Advisory Committee
- Transportation Policy Committee
- Energy & Air Quality Working Group
- Members with current MORPC funding or applied last cycle

AFC Committee Responsibilities:

- Oversee the project solicitation and selection process
- Recommend decisions on requests in project updates
- Assist staff scoring and ranking of applications
- Recommend a program of previous and new commitments within targets in the policies
- Review Policies and recommend changes

The Policies for Managing MORPC-Attributable Funding will be presented for adoption at the April Transportation Policy Committee meeting.

The Transportation Policy Committee Meeting adjourned at 2:20 p.m.

Transportation Policy Committee Meeting Minutes March 10, 2016 Page 3

Respectfully submitted,

Karen Angelou, Secretary Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission



| 111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Columbus, Ohio 43215 | Memorandum | | |
|---|------------|---|--|
| T 614.228.2663 TTY 800.750.0750 www.morpc.org | TO: | Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission Officers and Board Members Transportation Policy Committee Transportation Advisory Committee | |
| | FROM: | Thea Walsh, Director Transportation Systems & Funding Department | |
| | DATE: | April 8, 2016 | |
| | SUBJECT: | Proposed Resolution T-1-16: "AMENDING THE BYLAWS OF THE TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION" | |

The bylaws of the Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) are proposed to be amended. Amendment suggestions were to Sections 4 & 5, primarily pertaining to the composition of membership and member terms. The proposed changes were developed via collective comments from TAC members to have a specific voting representation of membership on the committee that is focused on transportation planning and is eligible for federal funding in the MPO area. This amendment was also meant to clearly identify the nomination process for each seat on the TAC.

The TAC provides technical advice to the Transportation Policy Committee and staff. It is made up primarily of representatives of local governments, but includes representatives from other transportation industry experts, such as the Columbus Region Logistics Council.

TJW:bsn

Attachment:

Proposed Resolution T-1-16: "Amending the Bylaws of the Transportation • Advisory Committee of the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission"

William Murdock, AICP Executive Director

Eric S. Phillips Chair

Matt Greeson Vice Chair

Rory McGuiness Secretary

RESOLUTION T-1-16

"AMENDING THE BYLAWS OF THE TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION"

WHEREAS, the current bylaws of the Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC) were last revised and approved by the TAC and Transportation Policy Committee at their December 2013 meetings by Resolution T-23-13; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Advisory Committee at its meeting on April 8, 2016, in accordance with Article VI, Section 1 of the bylaws of the Transportation Advisory Committee, approved of the changes and recommended approval of the changes by the Transportation Policy Committee; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE **MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION**:

- Section 1. That the attached amended bylaws dated April 8, 2016, are hereby approved in accordance with Article VI, Section 1 of the bylaws of the Transportation Advisory Committee.
- Section 2. That the Transportation Policy Committee finds and determines that all formal deliberations and actions of this committee concerning and relating to the adoption of this resolution were taken in open meetings of this committee.

Matt Greeson, Chair MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Date

Prepared by:Transportation StaffAttachment:Transportation Advisory Committee BylawsAdopted:April 8, 2016

BYLAWS OF THE TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

ARTICLE I

Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC)

Section 1. NAME

The name of this committee shall be the Transportation Advisory Committee of the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission.

Section 2. ORIGIN

The Transportation Advisory Committee is provided for in the Prospectus to the annual transportation work program.

Section 3. PURPOSE

The purpose of the Transportation Advisory Committee is to provide technical advice to the Transportation Policy Committee of the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission (MORPC) as defined under Section 2 of the biennial Agreement between the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission and the State of Ohio, Department of Transportation for Urban Transportation Planning and Transportation Programs dated May 24, 2011, or as defined in subsequent agreements for the same purpose.

Section 4. MEMBERSHIP

- Permanent Voting Members:
 - Ohio Department of Transportation:
 - ODOT District Six
 - ODOT District Five
 - Transit:
 - Central Ohio Transit Authority (COTA)
 - Delaware County Transit Board (DATABus)
 - Large Cities:
 - City of Columbus Department of Public Service (largest city by population) three representatives
 - Delaware (largest city by population in Delaware County)
 - Counties <mark>(entirely within MPO):</mark>
 - Franklin County Engineer's Office (largest county by population) two representatives
 - Delaware County Engineer's Office
 - Other:
 - Vehicle for Hire Board representative (Section 5310 eligible)
 - Columbus Regional Airport Authority (CRAA) representative
 - Greater Columbus Chamber of Commerce/Columbus Region Logistics Council

2. Four-Year Term Voting Members:

- Local Government –10 representatives (at least one of each type)
 - City (without permanent representation)
 - Village
 - Township
 - County Planning Commission
 - County Engineer (without permanent representation)
- 3. Permanent Non-Voting Members:
 - Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Ohio Division
 - Public Utilities Commission of Ohio(PUCO)
 - Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA)
 - Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission (MORPC Director of Transportation Systems and Funding)
 - American Council of Engineering Companies (ACEC)
 - Academic institutions up to two representatives)
 - Traffic Law Enforcement representatives

The Transportation Policy Committee may appoint other such members whose broad technical knowledge and experience in transportation planning or related field would enhance the transportation planning process.

Section 5. Term Memberships

- 1. <u>Permanent Voting Members</u>: Membership shall consist of one designated representative, unless otherwise noted, from the organizations listed, appointed by the chief executive of the organization.
- 2. Four-Year Term Voting Members: Prospective representatives are nominated by the chief executive officer of any eligible local government listed and are selected by the Chair of the Transportation Policy Committee. Terms are for four years, except that representatives elected as officers of the TAC remain on the TAC until they are no longer an officer. Eligible local governments include any general purpose local government whose geography is primarily inside the MPO boundary and which is fully up-to-date in paying its dues to MORPC, except that local governments which are permanent members are not eligible for representation in this category. These selections shall be staggered so that at least five representatives are appointed every other year, on or about the date of the annual meeting for the selection of officers pursuant to Article II, Section 2; such representative(s) being seated during the January meeting of the following odd numbered year.
- 3. <u>Permanent Non-Voting Members:</u> Membership shall consist of one designated representative, unless otherwise noted, from the organizations listed, appointed by the chief executive of the organization.

Section 6. ALTERNATE MEMBERS

Each member representative may designate an alternate to serve in their absence.

Section 7. MEMBERS IN GOOD STANDING

- 1. Members are considered to be "in good standing" for the purpose of determining a quorum or for other purposes except that,
 - a. If a member organization which is assessed dues by MORPC is in arrears for those dues, a representative from that organization is considered to be not in good standing, and he or she shall forfeit voting privileges immediately. The representative's voting privileges shall be immediately reinstated upon payment of all amounts which are delinquent, or
 - b. If a representative or his or her alternate has not attended for two (2) consecutive regular TAC meetings that member is considered to be not in good standing, and he or she shall forfeit voting privileges immediately upon adjournment of the second meeting. Thereafter, the attendance of the representative or his or her alternate at a meeting will result in reinstatement of the representative's voting privileges effective at that meeting, and the representative shall be considered to be in good standing.
- 2. If a representative or his or her alternate does not attend at least five meetings per year, the appointing authority may be asked to replace that representative and alternate.

Section 8. DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

2.

3.

1. Provide general technical advice for the MORPC Transportation Policy Committee as required.

Review the outlines of procedures for the various phases of the Transportation Planning Process: methodology, projections, assumptions and recommended plans and programs before submission to the Transportation Policy Committee.

On request, advise and assist the Director of Transportation and/or the Transportation Policy Committee in implementing the planning process as related to the functions of TAC.

4. Establish special subcommittees as may be required to provide supplemental technical personnel and advice necessary for the development and carrying out the intent of the plan and promote implementation of the program.

ARTICLE II

Organization

Section 1. OFFICERS

The officers shall consist of a Chair, Vice-Chair and a Secretary.

Section 2. ELECTION OF OFFICERS

The Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary of the committee shall be elected at a meeting during the last quarter of the calendar year. Term of office shall start at the first meeting of the new year and shall be for one year and/or until a successor is elected and takes office.

- Section 3. DUTIES OF OFFICERS
 - 1. <u>Chair</u>: To preside at all meetings of TAC and to appoint subcommittees as needed.
 - 2. <u>Vice-Chair:</u> To perform the duties of the Chair in their absence. To serve as a member of the Complete Streets Appeals Committee pending appointment by the Chair of the Transportation Policy Committee.
 - 3. <u>Secretary</u>: To record the minutes and attendance, prepare required reports, notify members of meetings and such other duties as required or directed by TAC.
 - 4. <u>Temporary Chair:</u> In the absence of the Chair and Vice-Chair a temporary Chair shall be selected by the members present.

ARTICLE III

Meetings

Section 1.

REGULAR MEETINGS

The TAC shall generally hold regular monthly meetings on the second Wednesday prior to the Transportation Policy Committee (eight days prior to the Transportation Policy Committee). The Transportation Policy Committee generally does not meet in February or August. The calendar of TAC meetings including date, time and place, shall be set each year and shall consider the dates of Transportation Policy Committee meetings, holidays, and other events which may affect its schedule. During the year monthly meeting dates, times, and places may be moved if conditions warrant. Monthly meetings may be canceled by the Chair if there is no business scheduled.

Section 2. SPECIAL MEETINGS

The Chair or the Director of Transportation may call special meetings as required.

Section 3. NOTICE

Notice of meetings shall be mailed and/or electronically mailed at least one week in advance of meeting date, whenever practical.

Section 4. AGENDA

Members desiring to add an item to the agenda of the monthly meeting should forward a list of such items and related handouts to the Secretary at least 10 days prior to the meeting to be included as part of the monthly mailing. All other items and handouts by the members shall be discussed at TAC's prerogative.

Section 5. QUORUM

The quorum shall consist of half of the voting members in good standing. A majority vote of a quorum of the voting membership shall be required for committee action.

ARTICLE IV

Subcommittees

Section 1. FORMATION

Subcommittees may be formed to provide supplemental technical advice on specific phases of the transportation planning process. These committees shall be responsible to TAC.

Section 2. MEMBERSHIP

Subcommittee members and Chair shall be appointed by the Chair of TAC and shall be composed of any technical person with recognized competence in the specific subject in question.

Section 3. DUTIES

Subcommittee will perform the specific tasks assigned to it and will report their advice and recommendations to TAC.

Section 4. MEETINGS

Subcommittee shall meet as determined by the Chair of the subcommittee.

ARTICLE V

Conflict Resolution

Section 1. SUBCOMMITTEES

If conflict should arise between the staff and a subcommittee regarding procedure, methodology, projections, assumptions, recommended plans or any other technical aspect which cannot be resolved, a full report expressing the subcommittee and staff's viewpoints will be presented to TAC by the Chair of the subcommittee.

Section 2. TAC

If conflict should arise between the staff and TAC regarding procedure, methodology, projections, assumptions, recommended plans or any other issue which cannot be resolved, a full report expressing TAC's viewpoints will be presented to the Transportation Policy Committee by the Chair of TAC or by the Director of Transportation at the request of the Chair. Staff viewpoints should be presented by the Director of Transportation if there is a conflict.

ARTICLE VI

Amendment to the Bylaws and Rules of Order

Section 1. HOW AMENDED

These articles may be amended by a majority vote of committee subject to approval of the Transportation Policy Committee.

Section 2. RULES OF ORDER

All questions of parliamentary procedure, order of business or other matters not covered by the bylaws shall be decided according to Robert's Rules of Order, Newly Revised.

ARTICLE VII

Code of Ethics & Procedures

Section 1. All members shall understand and be governed by the Code of Ethics adopted by the Planning Commission in Resolution 45-94, effective October 20, 1994 (attached).

Revised by the Transportation Advisory Committee and approved by the Transportation Policy Committee on the following dates:

- March 2004
- July 2011
- April 2016



| 111 | Liberty Street, Suite 100 | |
|------|---------------------------|--|
| Colu | mbus, Ohio 43215 | |

Memorandum

T 614.228.2663 **TY** 800.750.0750

www.morpc.org

- TO: Transportation Policy Committee Community Advisory Committee Transportation Advisory Committee
- FROM: Nick Gill, Assistant Director Transportation Systems & Funding
- DATE: April 8, 2016

SUBJECT: Proposed Resolution T-4-16: "ADOPTING 'POLICIES FOR MANAGING MORPC-ATTRIBUTABLE FUNDS'"

Every two years, MORPC solicits applications for MORPC-attributable federal transportation funding. Approximately \$32 million is available annually to be allocated to projects in MORPC's transportation planning area. To guide the selection of projects to use these funds, MORPC adopts *Policies for Managing MORPC-Attributable Funds* (*Policies*). Resolution T-4-16 adopts the *Policies* to be used in the upcoming project solicitation cycle.

Prior to formal project solicitation, MORPC reviews, revises as necessary and readopts the *Policies*. Over the last several months, the Attributable Funds Committee (AFC) reconvened to review and update the *Policies*. The drafts of the revised *Policies* were available on the MORPC website at www.morpc.org/transfunding for public review and comment. MORPC accepted public comments on the *Policies* from January 22, 2016 through February 22, 2016. No comments were received.

Previously, the *Policies* were separated into two separate documents, known as *Principles* and *Procedures*. The contents of the two documents have been merged into the *Policies* document.

Other changes from the previous version include:

- Moving criteria related to traffic from the Health, Safety & Welfare goal to Economic Opportunity goal, and making corresponding minor changes in how the goals are weighted in the scoring process.
- Adding a new measure, Vehicle Miles of Travel reduction, to the criteria for the Energy goal.
- Listing the information requirements, rather than including formal application forms, to allow more flexibility in the design of online application forms.
- Considerations for reducing the required portion of local matching funds.
- Giving the Director of Transportation Systems and Funding the authority to negotiate with other metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), the Ohio

William Murdock, AICP Executive Director

Eric S. Phillips Chair

Matt Greeson Vice Chair Rory McGuiness Secretary Resolution T-4-16 Memo Page 2

Department of Transportation (ODOT), and the County Engineers Association of Ohio (CEAO) to exchange obligation authority. The Transportation Advisory Committee currently has this authority.

MORPC staff will conduct a workshop on May 10th at 2:30 p.m. for potential applicants and agencies that need to submit updates for their outstanding funding commitments. The Screening Applications for new funding will be due on June 13, 2016. Commitment Updates for outstanding commitments will be due on June 6.

NTG:NJV:bsn

Attachment: Proposed Resolution T-4-16

RESOLUTION T-4-16

"ADOPTING 'POLICIES FOR MANAGING MORPC-ATTRIBUTABLE FUNDS'"

WHEREAS, the Transportation Policy Committee of the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Columbus Metropolitan Area; and

WHEREAS, the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) sub-allocates part of its Surface Transportation Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ), and Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) funding to MORPC and other MPOs; and

WHEREAS, the MPO is responsible for allocating these federal transportation funds that are suballocated to it; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Policy Committee, to fairly allocate these funds in conformance with federal and state laws and regulations, adopted by Resolution T-9-97: "PRINCIPLES FOR ALLOCATION OF MORPC-ATTRIBUTABLE FEDERAL FUNDING," which was subsequently expanded and revised by Resolutions T-15-02, T-15-04, T-12-06, T-10-08, T-8-10, T-3-12 and T-3-14; and

WHEREAS, Resolution T-3-14 included the stipulation that these principles, procedures, and policies be evaluated prior to each update of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP); and

WHEREAS, staff and the Attributable Funds Committee, composed of members of the Transportation Advisory Committee and representatives of the Community Advisory Committee, the Transportation Policy Committee and other interests, completed the review and update including a public comment period; and

WHEREAS, the Community Advisory Committee, at its meeting on April 4, 2016, and the Transportation Advisory Committee, at its meeting on April 8, 2016, recommended approval of these policies to the Transportation Policy Committee; now therefore

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE TRANSPORTATION POLICY COMMITTEE OF THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION:

- Section 1. That the Policies for Managing MORPC-Attributable Funds, dated April 2016, are hereby approved to be used and applied in allocating MORPC-attributable federal funding.
- Section 2. That the policies be evaluated prior to each update of the TIP.

Resolution T-4-16 Page 2

Section 3. That the Transportation Policy Committee finds and determines that all formal deliberations and actions of this committee concerning and relating to the adoption of this resolution were taken in open meetings of this committee.

Matt Greeson, Chair Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission

Date

Prepared by: Transportation Staff

Attachment: Policies for Managing MORPC-Attributable Funds



Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission

Policies for Managing MORPC-Attributable Funds

April 2016

Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission 111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 · Columbus, Ohio 43215 T 614.228.2663 F 614.621.2401 TDD 1.800.886.2663 www.morpc.org

The Policies for Managing MORPC-Attributable Funds was prepared by the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission (MORPC), 111 Liberty St., Suite 100, Columbus, OH 43215, 614-228-2663, with funding from the Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, Ohio Department of Transportation, and Delaware, Fairfield, Franklin, Licking, and Union counties. The contents of this report reflect the views of MORPC, which is solely responsible for the information presented herein.

In accordance with requirements of the US Department of Transportation (USDOT), MORPC does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, or disability in employment practices or in programs or activities. More information on non-discrimination resources and related MORPC policies is available at www.morpc.org.

Contents

| 1 | Introduct | ion | | 1 |
|---|-------------|----------|---|---|
| 2 | Attributat | ole Fund | s Committee (AFC) | 1 |
| 3 | Process I | Mileston | es and Schedule | 2 |
| 4 | Eligibility | and Red | quirements | 4 |
| | 4.1 | Eligible | e Sponsors | 4 |
| | 4.2 | Eligible | e Roadways: The Federal-Aid System | 4 |
| | 4.3 | Eligible | e Activities: The Metropolitan Transportation Plan | 4 |
| | 4.4 | Eligible | e Costs | 4 |
| | | 4.4.1 | Non-Federal Matching Requirements | 4 |
| | | 4.4.2 | Toll Revenue Credit | 5 |
| | | 4.4.3 | Eligibility of Preliminary Engineering | 5 |
| | | 4.4.4 | Prior Federal Authorization | 5 |
| | 4.5 | Eligible | e Activities | 6 |
| | | 4.5.1 | STP Eligibility Guidance | 6 |
| | | 4.5.2 | CMAQ Eligibility Guidance | 6 |
| | | 4.5.3 | TAP Eligibility Guidance | 6 |
| | | 4.5.4 | Complete Streets Policy | 7 |
| | 4.6 | Guidar | nce for Applicants | 7 |
| 5 | Activity C | ategorie | S | 7 |
| | 5.1 | Purpos | Se | 7 |
| | 5.2 | Definit | ions | 8 |
| | 5.3 | Fundir | ng Target Ranges | 8 |
| 6 | Applicatio | on Proce | ss for New Funding Commitments | 9 |
| | 6.1 | Screer | ning Application | 9 |
| | 6.2 | Final A | pplication | |
| | 6.3 | Penalt | ies for Incomplete Applications | |
| 7 | Evaluatio | n and S | election Process | |
| | 7.1 | Evalua | tion Criteria | |
| | | 7.1.1 | Economic Opportunity Goal Criteria | |
| | | 7.1.2 | Natural Resources Goal Criteria | |
| | | 7.1.3 | Energy Goal Criteria | |
| | | 7.1.4 | Collaboration and Funding Goal Criteria | |
| | | 7.1.5 | Health, Safety & Welfare Goal Criteria | |
| | | 7.1.6 | Sustainable Neighborhoods and Quality of Life Goal Criteria | |
| | 7.2 | Applica | ation Scoring Process | |
| | 7.3 | Weight | ting Scores by Goal and Category | |

| | 7.4 | Prioritizing and Recommending Applications for Funding | . 20 |
|-----|------------|--|------|
| | 7.5 | Scoring Phased Construction Projects | 21 |
| | 7.6 | Agency Prioritization of Multiple Applications | . 21 |
| | 7.7 | Incentives to Create a Reservoir of Commitments | 21 |
| 8 | Project De | evelopment Requirements | 21 |
| 9 | Maintaini | ng Funding Commitments | . 22 |
| | 9.1 | Partnering Agreements | 22 |
| | 9.2 | Project Monitoring | . 23 |
| | 9.3 | Commitment Update Form | . 23 |
| | 9.4 | Cost Overruns | . 23 |
| | 9.5 | Delays and Penalties | 24 |
| 10 | Other Poli | cies for Program Management | 25 |
| | 10.1 | Out-of-Cycle Requests | 25 |
| | 10.2 | Trading Funds with Other MPOs | . 26 |
| | 10.3 | Ohio Statewide Urban CMAQ Program | . 26 |
| Арр | endix: Sam | ple Partnering Agreement | 28 |

1 Introduction

The federal transportation program in the United States was authorized in 2015 by the Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act, or FAST Act. Three of the many funding programs that this law reauthorized are the Surface Transportation Program (STP), the Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ), and the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP). The Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) sub-allocates a portion of these funds to the state's metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), including the Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission (MORPC). MORPC's program depends upon the continuation of federal funding programs and ODOT's policy. Each MPO is charged with attributing the funds to projects and activities sponsored by local public transportation agencies located within the MPO. MORPC's allocations are about \$32 million annually:

| Federal Transportation Program | MORPC's Annual Allocation |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Surface Transportation Program (STP) | \$20 million |
| Congestion Mitigation & Air Quality | |
| Improvement Program (CMAQ) ¹ | \$10 million |
| Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) | \$2 million |
| Total | \$32 million |

MORPC has established a competitive evaluation process to help determine which of the requests will be granted. Staff and the Attributable Funds Committee evaluate information from applicants based on established criteria in order to make recommendations for awards. A public involvement process follows, and the MORPC Transportation Policy Committee (TPC) makes awards based on the recommendations and public comments.

The TPC has adopted this document to establish the policies to guide the allocation and management of these MORPC-attributable federal funds. If warranted by circumstances, the TPC may suspend any of these policies at its discretion.

2 Attributable Funds Committee (AFC)

MORPC convened the Attributable Funds Committee (AFC) to review the policies and procedures for managing these funding programs and to recommend modifications to them. The purpose of the committee is to advise MORPC's TPC, Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC), and Community Advisory Committee (CAC) on the development and execution of the processes used to allocate MORPC-attributable federal funds. To accomplish this, the AFC oversees the evaluation of applications, reviews the results of the evaluation, and recommends a program of funding commitments to the TPC.

As established in the AFC's bylaws, membership includes representatives from the following entities:

¹ CMAQ funding is distributed through a process implemented by Ohio's eight large MPOs. The annual allocation is an estimate based on the MORPC's per capita proportion of the total available through the eight MPOs. See Section 10.3 for more information.

- MORPC Committees:
 - Transportation Policy Committee (TPC): 1 appointed by the Chair of the TPC
 - Community Advisory Committee (CAC): 2 appointed by the Chair of the CAC
 - Transportation Advisory Committee (TAC): All members as identified in the current TAC bylaws with the same voting rights as listed in the TAC bylaws
 - MORPC Sustainability Advisory Committee: 1 as appointed by the Chair of the Sustainability Advisory Committee
 - MORPC Air Quality and Energy Working Group: 1 as appointed by the Chair of the Air Quality and Energy Working Group
- Columbus and Franklin County Metropolitan Park District: 1 as appointed by the Executive Director of Metro Parks (non-voting)
- Sierra Club: 1 as appointed by the Chair of the Central Ohio Group (non-voting)
- Rails-to-Trails Conservancy: 1 as appointed by Midwest Regional Office Director (non-voting)
- Clean Fuels Ohio: 1 as appointed by the Executive Director of CFO (non-voting)
- *MORPC staff:* 3 as appointed by the Executive Director (non-voting)
- Representatives of communities which have a future commitment of MORPC-attributable federal funding or which submitted final application(s) for MORPC-attributable federal funding on the most recent deadline date, except for those communities that already have representation through Permanent Member seats: 1 per community applicant appointed by the chief executive of that community.

The chairs of the CAC, TAC, and TPC will ensure that various fields have balanced representation on the AFC.

3 Process Milestones and Schedule

In the summer of the year prior to the TIP update (typically even-numbered years), staff will request applications for new funding commitments and updated information for all outstanding funding commitments. The process is outlined below:

- 1. Ask sponsors of outstanding funding commitments to complete the Commitment Update Form.
- 2. Request Screening Applications for new funding commitments.
- 3. Review the requests to modify outstanding commitments on the Commitment Update Forms and recommend changes.
- 4. Estimate the amount of funding available for new funding commitments based on recommended changes to outstanding commitments.
- 5. Review the Screening Applications and discuss with the applicants the competitiveness of their requests in comparison to others submitted by the same sponsoring agency and the amount of funding available.
- 6. Request Final Applications for new funding commitments in order to complete the evaluation process.

Below is the schedule for the 2016-2017 application and selection process:

| June 6The Commitment Update Form magonline form.June 13Staff notifies sponsors of any error week to provide corrections.June 13Screening Applications must be conform.June 20Staff will notify applicants of any error one week to provide corrections.June 20Staff will notify applicants of any error one week to provide corrections.July 1MORPC posts the summary of Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 8Staff revises the forecast of fundingJuly 15Staff sends feedback to ScreeningAug. 15Final Applications must be completed and overview of Screening Applications of any error provide corrections. Applications of a commitments. Staff presents a sufficient of the ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Content applications.OctoberAFC provides feedback to staff on Nov. 2Nov. 16AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 4AFC meets at approximately 10 a. | Milestone |
|--|--|
| May 10MORPC hosts a workshop for applicationJune 6The Commitment Update Form monine form.June 13Staff notifies sponsors of any error week to provide corrections.June 13Screening Applications must be conform.June 20Staff will notify applicants of any error one week to provide corrections.June 20Staff will notify applicants of any error one week to provide corrections.July 1MORPC posts the summary of Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 5Staff sends feedback to Screening ApplicatJuly 15Staff sends feedback to Screening ApplicatAug. 15Final Applications must be completed corrections. Applications for any error provide corrections. Applications for any error any for any error provide co | |
| June 6The Commitment Update Form minonline form.June 13Staff notifies sponsors of any error week to provide corrections.June 13Screening Applications must be conform.June 20Staff will notify applicants of any error one week to provide corrections.July 1MORPC posts the summary of Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 3Staff revises the forecast of funding July 15July 1MORPC posts the summary of Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 3Staff sends feedback to Screening ApplicatAug. 15Final Applications must be completed and overview of Screening Applications of any error provide corrections. Applications of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft recommendation.Nov. 16AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applica | s announced. |
| June 13Staff notifies sponsors of any error week to provide corrections.June 13Screening Applications must be conform.June 20Staff will notify applicants of any error one week to provide corrections.July 1MORPC posts the summary of Upd one week to provide corrections.July 1MORPC posts the summary of Upd Date Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 3Staff revises the forecast of funding July 15Aug. 15Final Applications must be completed and overview of Screening Applications for any error provide corrections. Applications of any error provide corrections.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximat | icants from 2:30 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. |
| week to provide corrections.June 13Screening Applications must be conform.June 20Staff will notify applicants of any econe week to provide corrections.July 1MORPC posts the summary of UpdJuly 6AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 8Staff revises the forecast of fundingJuly 15Staff sends feedback to ScreeningAug. 15Final Applications must be completedAug. 22Staff notifies applicants of any err provide corrections. Applications for and commitments. Staff presents a sufficient of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. commitments. Staff presents a sufficient of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. commitments. Staff or applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.Nov. 2AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft recordNov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiate Jan. 4Jan. 4AFC meets at approximately 10 a. changes to the awards, if necessal | ist be completed online by 5 p.m., when staff downloads the data in the |
| form.June 20Staff will notify applicants of any example one week to provide corrections.July 1MORPC posts the summary of Upon Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 6AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 8Staff revises the forecast of funding Staff sends feedback to Screening Aug. 15Aug. 15Final Applications must be completedAug. 22Staff notifies applicants of any err provide corrections. Applications of any err provide corrections. Applications of angent applications.SeptemberStaff applies scoring criteria to the ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. category and develop a draft recommendation.Nov. 2AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present re endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 4AFC meets at approximately 10 a. changes to the awards, if necessal | rs and omissions on the Commitment Update Forms. Sponsors have one |
| one week to provide corrections.July 1MORPC posts the summary of UponJuly 6AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 8Staff revises the forecast of fundingJuly 15Staff sends feedback to ScreeningAug. 15Final Applications must be completedAug. 22Staff notifies applicants of any err provide corrections. Applications ofAug. 31AFC meets at approximately 10 a. commitments. Staff presents a sufficient applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.OctoberAFC meets at approximately 10 a. category and develop a draft recoNov. 16AFC meets at approximately 10 a. category and develop a draft recoNov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding commDec. 2Draft recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 4AFC meets at approximately 10 a. changes to the awards, if necessal | ompleted online by 5 p.m., when staff downloads the data in the online |
| July 6AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 8Staff revises the forecast of fundingJuly 15Staff sends feedback to ScreeningAug. 15Final Applications must be completedAug. 22Staff notifies applicants of any err provide corrections. Applications withAug. 31AFC meets at approximately 10 a. commitments. Staff presents a sufficient applications.SeptemberStaff applies scoring criteria to the ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.OctoberAFC provides feedback to staff on AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft recommendation.Nov. 16AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present r endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and commend achanges to the awards, if necessar | rrors and omissions on the Screening Applications. Applicants will have |
| Update Forms and recommendati an overview of Screening ApplicatJuly 8Staff revises the forecast of fundingJuly 15Staff sends feedback to ScreeningAug. 15Final Applications must be completedAug. 22Staff notifies applicants of any err provide corrections. Applications ofAug. 31AFC meets at approximately 10 a. commitments. Staff presents a sur ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.Nov. 2AFC meets at approximately 10 a. category and develop a draft recordNov. 16AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding commit gomment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 4AFC meets at approximately 10 a. changes to the awards, if necessal | lates and Screening Applications. |
| July 15Staff sends feedback to ScreeningAug. 15Final Applications must be completed and the second secon | m. (following TAC). Staff presents changes requested on the Commitment ons for modifications to outstanding funding commitments. Staff presents ions received. |
| Aug. 15Final Applications must be completedAug. 22Staff notifies applicants of any erreprovide corrections. Applications of any erreprovide corrections. Applications of any erreprovide corrections. Applications of accommitments. Staff presents a sure staff applies scoring criteria to the ranking of applications.Aug. 31AFC meets at approximately 10 a. commitments. Staff presents a sure staff applies scoring criteria to the ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.Oct berAFC provides feedback to staff on Nov. 2Nov. 16AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 4AFC meets at approximately 10 a. changes to the awards, if necessary | ng available for new commitments. |
| Aug. 22Staff notifies applicants of any err provide corrections. Applications of Aug. 31Aug. 31AFC meets at approximately 10 a. commitments. Staff presents a suSeptemberStaff applies scoring criteria to the ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.Nov. 2AFC meets at approximately 10 a. category and develop a draft recoNov. 16AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present r endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding commDec. 2Draft recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and commend changes to the awards, if necessary | Applicants and guidance for completing the Final Application. |
| provide corrections. Applications ofAug. 31AFC meets at approximately 10 a. commitments. Staff presents a sur- commitments. Staff presents a sur- staff applies scoring criteria to the ranking of applications.SeptemberStaff applies scoring criteria to the ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.OctoberAFC provides feedback to staff on Nov. 2Nov. 2AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft recor endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 16AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present r endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and commend changes to the awards, if necessar | ted online by 5 p.m., when staff downloads the data in the online form. |
| SeptemberStaff applies scoring criteria to the ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.OctoberAFC provides feedback to staff on Nov. 2Nov. 2AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft record norsing the recommendation.Nov. 16AFC meets at approximately 10 a. category and develop a draft record endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and commend changes to the awards, if necessar | ors and omissions on the Final Applications. Applicants have one week to vill be penalized if the applicants fail to respond. See Section 6.3. |
| ranking of applications.Oct. 5AFC meets at approximately 10 a. the applications.OctoberAFC provides feedback to staff on Nov. 2Nov. 2AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft record Nov. 16Nov. 16AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present rendorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).Dec. 2Draft recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and comment changes to the awards, if necessary | m. (following TAC) to approve modifications to outstanding funding mmary of each final application for new funding. |
| OctoberAFC provides feedback to staff on AFC provides feedback to staff on Category and develop a draft record Category and develop a draft record Nov. 16Nov. 16AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft record endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present rendorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding comment (30 days).Dec. 2Draft recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and comment changes to the awards, if necessary | applications for new funding commitments to develop a preliminary |
| Nov. 2AFC meets at approximately 10 a. Category and develop a draft record AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present rendorsing the recommendation.Nov. 16AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present rendorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding commendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and commendation a changes to the awards, if necessary | m. (following TAC) to review MORPC staff preliminary scoring and ranking |
| Category and develop a draft recoNov. 16AFC meets at 10 a.m. to present r endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding commDec. 2Draft recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and comment changes to the awards, if necessary | preliminary scoring. Staff revises scoring as needed. |
| endorsing the recommendation.Nov. 30AFC meets at approximately 10 a. recommendation of funding commDec. 2Draft recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and commend changes to the awards, if necessar | m. (following TAC) to review the staff's revised ranking within each Activity mmendation of new funding commitments. |
| Dec. 2Draft recommendation of funding commDec. 2Draft recommendation of funding comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and commend changes to the awards, if necessary | nember feedback on the draft recommendation and progress toward |
| comment (30 days).DecemberSponsors of applications included project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and comment AFC meets at approximately 10 a. changes to the awards, if necessary | m. (following TAC) to consider final adjustments to the draft nitments and approve it for the public review and comment period. |
| project (obtain a PID) and initiateJan. 3Close of public review and commendationJan. 4AFC meets at approximately 10 a. changes to the awards, if necessar | commitments is announced and made available for public review and |
| Jan. 4 AFC meets at approximately 10 a. changes to the awards, if necessar | in the draft recommendations will coordinate with ODOT to program the project development. |
| changes to the awards, if necessa | nt period. |
| | m. (following TAC) to review public comments received and discuss ry. Otherwise the AFC will proceed with the business of the February 1 $$ |
| | discussion on changes to the draft recommendations. AFC approves final d new commitments of MORPC-attributable funding. |
| March MORPC's CAC, TAC and TPC review | vs, modifies and approves the awards of MORPC funding. |

4 Eligibility and Requirements

4.1 Eligible Sponsors

The sponsor submitting an application must be a public agency that is legally eligible to enter into a contract with ODOT. Citizen groups, other private organizations, public school districts, or government agencies ineligible to contract with ODOT may indirectly sponsor an application by coordinating with a sponsoring agency. The sponsoring agency assumes responsibility for executing the project. The sponsoring agency must own the proposed project facility and/or must own the property on which the proposed project will be located upon completion of the project.

The sponsoring agency's legislative body (e.g., city council) must approve a resolution or legislation committing the agency to maintain the facility, equipment, or other activity proposed in the application. Sponsoring agencies that have not adequately maintained prior projects that received MORPC-attributable funds are ineligible to apply for funding for additional projects.

4.2 Eligible Roadways: The Federal-Aid System

The federal-aid status of a roadway is largely determined by its functional classification. These classifications are determined by each state's department of transportation (in conjunction with MPOs such as MORPC and local officials) based on criteria established by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). Roads functionally classified as local streets are not part of the federal-aid highway system and are not normally eligible for federal transportation funds. Roads functionally classified as Minor Collectors that are located outside of the Urbanized Area also are not normally eligible for federal transportation funds. Minor Collectors, Arterials, Freeways/Expressways, and Interstates are eligible for federal transportation funds.

4.3 Eligible Activities: The Metropolitan Transportation Plan

To be eligible for funding, the proposed activity must be either individually identified on the MORPC Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), consistent with it, or eligible to be included in it. The MTP identifies many individual roadway and bikeway projects. The proposed activity does not have to exactly match the MTP listing. For example, a project could have different limits or propose a different number of lanes than the MTP project. Some activities, such as transit, pedestrian facilities, maintenance and intermodal access, are listed as Unmapped Projects. Intersection modification projects that are not individually listed on the MTP are included as a single line item in the Unmapped Projects.

If a proposed activity is not included or consistent with the MTP, it is still eligible for a funding commitment. However, the application must include justification for its absence on the MTP, the application's score will be lower in the Collaboration and Funding goal, and it must be added to the MTP before it can be included with federal funding in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).

4.4 Eligible Costs

4.4.1 Non-Federal Matching Requirements

All of the programs generally limit federal funding to 80 percent of eligible costs and require a 20 percent match from non-federal sources; however, Toll Revenue Credit (TRC) may be used to raise the federal share up to 100 percent of eligible costs, subject to the policy on use of TRC (see Section 4.4.2). Matching funds must be provided in cash, as in-kind contributions are not permitted. Ridesharing and signals projects can be funded 100 percent with MORPC-attributable funds.

4.4.2 Toll Revenue Credit

Toll Revenue Credit (TRC) provides the opportunity for funding of project costs in excess of 80 percent. TRC is not additional federal dollars to the region; rather, it is a credit applied by FHWA for Ohio's use of state turnpike revenues on highway projects that are otherwise federally eligible. The credit, in turn, allows use of federal funds in excess of the 80 percent limit on any federally eligible project within the state. TRC is intended to provide additional flexibility to fund projects at a higher rate than the 80 percent limit; however, use of TRC takes away the ability to fund other eligible projects in the region.

MORPC's policy allows TRC to be applied to funding commitments in a variety of circumstances to facilitate program management, including, but not limited to:

- The AFC or staff may recommend uses of TRC that allow for the more efficient delivery of outstanding commitments or to minimize funds subject to recall by ODOT's Carry Forward Policy.
- Increasing federal share on an earlier phase of a project typically preliminary engineering
 or right-of-way by advancing funds committed to a later phase (construction) of the project,
 such that the total funds committed to the project do not exceed 80 percent of the eligible
 phases (typically right-of-way and construction).
- An applicant can request federal funds in excess of 80 percent using TRC. However, the score will be reduced as described in the criteria for Collaboration in Section 7.1.4.

This section does not apply to ridesharing and signal projects, which are eligible for up to 100 percent funding without use of TRC.

4.4.3 Eligibility of Preliminary Engineering

MORPC expects sponsors of construction projects to undertake preliminary development and detailed design activities without use of MORPC-attributable funds because it shows the sponsor's commitment to their project. It also avoids spending the additional time needed to procure engineering services when federal funds are used. In certain situations (a multi-jurisdictional project or severe financial hardship by the local agency, MORPC may attribute funds for preliminary engineering. Except as noted below, if MORPC funds are used for preliminary engineering, its total funding commitment to the project (preliminary engineering, right-of-way and construction) will not exceed the amount it would have been had MORPC funds only been used for the right-of-way and construction phases.

As an incentive for eligible projects slated for construction in the first four years of TIP to maintain their schedules, MORPC will fund up to 25 percent of costs of their preliminary engineering phase. If the project fails to meet the plan file date on the initial Partnering Agreement, then the PE costs will be deducted from the eligible construction funds for said project. Only applications for new funding commitments are eligible for this incentive; it is not available after funds have been committed.

4.4.4 Prior Federal Authorization

STP, CMAQ, and TAP are not grant programs; they operate on a reimbursement basis as work progresses. **Costs for any activity that occurs prior to authorization of the project phase by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) are not eligible for reimbursement.** The sponsoring agency will be responsible for those costs. In some cases, actions taken by the applicant that are inconsistent with the PDP (e.g., acquiring right-of-way before environmental clearance or through inappropriate means) can jeopardize the use of federal funds on the project.

4.5 Eligible Activities

The U.S. Department of Transportation has established eligibility requirements for the STP, CMAQ and TAP programs, which are summarized below. Contact MORPC staff if you have a question on the eligibility of a proposed activity. Because of the difficulty in administering separate selection processes for each program and in applying for multiple programs for an eligible activity, MORPC has combined the funding programs into a single selection process and established funding targets for Activity Categories based on the eligibility provisions and allocations for the three programs. The funding targets are provided in Section 5.3.

4.5.1 STP Eligibility Guidance

STP is the most flexible of the MORPC-attributable funding programs. Generally, any capital project or program eligible for federal highway or transit funding is eligible for STP funds. STP funds may be used for construction, expansion, reconstruction or preservation projects on any federal-aid highway (e.g., arterials, collectors, but not local streets) or a bridge on any public road, transit capital projects, bicycle and pedestrian projects, and intracity and intercity bus terminals and facilities. Guidance on the eligibility for STP funds is available on the Web at:

http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/specialfunding/stp/160307.cfm.

4.5.2 CMAQ Eligibility Guidance

The purpose of the CMAQ program is to fund transportation projects or programs that reduce congestion and/or contribute to air quality improvements. CMAQ activities must demonstrate reductions in emissions of pollutants that contribute to the non-attainment of air quality standards, such as ozone precursors (nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds) and particulate matter. Eligible activities include:

- Traditional traffic flow improvements, such as the construction of roundabouts, left-turn or other managed lanes.
- Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) projects, such as traffic signal synchronization projects, traffic management projects, and traveler information systems.
- Projects and programs targeting freight capital costs rolling stock or ground infrastructure.
- Programs for the provision of all forms of high-occupancy, shared-ride services.
- Programs to control extended idling of vehicles.
- New transit vehicles to expand the fleet or replace existing vehicles.
- Bicycle and pedestrian facilities and programs.
- Alternative fuels infrastructure and vehicles.

The U.S. Department of Transportation released a guidance document for the CMAQ program that includes an overview of the program and additional eligibility provisions. The guidance document is available on the web:

http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/cmaq/policy_and_guidance/2013_guidance/ind ex.cfm

4.5.3 TAP Eligibility Guidance

Transportation alternatives include construction of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming techniques, lighting and other safety-related infrastructure, transportation projects to achieve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, and projects to provide safe routes for non-drivers. Each project or activity must demonstrate a relationship to surface transportation. FHWA provides general guidance on the TAP

and additional eligible activities. The guidance is available on the Web: <u>http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/map21/guidance/guidetap.cfm</u>.

4.5.4 Complete Streets Policy

Projects are required to adhere to <u>MORPC's Complete Streets Policy</u> in the planning and design of all proposed transportation projects using MORPC-attributable federal funds. The main objective of the policy is to design and build roads that safely and comfortably accommodate all users of roadways, including motorists, cyclists, pedestrians, transit and school bus riders, people with disabilities, delivery and service personnel, freight haulers, and emergency responders. It includes people of all ages and abilities.

Sponsors are responsible for determining, within the context of the project, the most appropriate project approach to meet the Complete Street Policy's requirements. Sponsors shall copy MORPC staff on all submittals to ODOT concerning Complete Streets. The Complete Streets Policy is available on the MORPC website.

4.6 Guidance for Applicants

Applicants should consider the following points before applying:

- Scrutinize the cost versus benefit when applying for federal funds. The program requirements can be demanding, and what is believed to be a small, inexpensive project can spiral quickly into a complicated and expensive one. For example: a project once thought to have a total cost of \$85,000 with no right-of-way acquisition became a \$120,000 construction cost with an additional \$220,000 required for right-of-way acquisition.
- Federally funded projects are subjected to many requirements, including the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act, and other ODOT regulations and standards. Most locally planned and funded projects are not subject to these requirements and may often be developed more quickly and at less expense than those that are federally funded.
- When developing a project schedule, keep in mind that the project will be subject to all of the ODOT PDP. Many steps will take much longer than if they were performed in-house. Even the least complicated projects do not happen overnight. Remember that ODOT has thousands of projects being developed at any given time. ODOT cannot expedite one applicant's project at the expense of other projects.
- Before hiring a consultant, review the experience of the personnel to be assigned to the project have with federally funded projects. How many have they successfully advanced through the system? When, where, and what type of project(s)? Consultants working on projects with a commitment of MORPC-attributable funds for any phase must be pre-qualified by ODOT.

5 Activity Categories

5.1 Purpose

MORPC promotes a multi-modal transportation system. Realizing the difficulty in evaluating different types of projects, the applications will be evaluated by criteria developed for one of six Activity

Categories. Each category will have the same or similar types of projects. Much of the evaluation criteria are the same across the categories, but some criteria may be different to better reflect the distinguishable aspects of projects within particular categories. The grouping into categories of projects and the criteria unique to each category allows for a better "apples-to-apples" comparison of projects.

5.2 Definitions

The six Activity Categories are:

- Major Widening/New Roadway This category primarily includes addition of through lanes or new roadways. It would also include new or expanded interchanges.
- Minor Widening/Intersections/Signals This category includes minor widening/safety projects which add center turn lanes and/or widen lanes to standard widths. It also includes intersection projects. Coordinated signal system projects would also fall into this category
- **Bike and Pedestrian** This category primarily includes multiuse paths and sidewalk projects. Projects which may add other type of bikeway such as a bike lane would also fall into this category. These must be stand alone projects and not part of a larger roadway project.
- **Transit** This category includes transit vehicle replacements, park and rides, transit centers, enhanced bus stops, capital projects related to new service, streetcar, bus rapid transit, or rail transit.
- System Preservation This category includes projects that are solely replacement of existing roadway infrastructure such as bridge replacements, resurfacing or rehabilitation or signal replacement/installation. If the project includes major or minor capacity increases, it would fall into the major or minor categories above.
- **Other** If the funding request does not fit in any of the above categories, it falls into this category. These may be education or enforcement activities, non-transit engine retrofits, refueling stations, etc.

5.3 Funding Target Ranges

MORPC has established the target ranges of funding below for different Activity Categories. The purpose of the criteria is to identify the projects among the various categories that best advance the goals of the MTP. Once the most worthy projects are identified, the appropriate funding source(s) will be identified.

| | Major Widening | Minor/ Intersections | Transit | System Preservation | Bike & Pedestrian |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Minimum % | 40 | 20 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| Maximum % | 50 | 30 | 10 | 15 | 15 |

MORPC traditionally funds four programs from its attributable funding: RideSolutions, Paving the Way, Air Quality Awareness and Supplemental Planning. These programs may use up to five percent of MORPC-attributable funding without submitting applications for the formal selection process. The AFC may still make recommendations to the TPC regarding funding for these programs.

6 Application Process for New Funding Commitments

There is a two-step process to apply for new funding commitments – a Screening Application and a Final Application.

6.1 Screening Application

Screening Applications will be submitted through an online form and are due on June 13, 2016. The Screening Application gathers enough information to determine whether the project or program is eligible for funding, which Activity Category is most suitable for the project and for MORPC to gather information on the total funding expected to be requested.

Applicants will be asked to provide the following information as applicable:

| Project Title | Project Scope |
|--|--|
| Sponsoring Local Public Agency | Project Type |
| ODOT PID (if assigned) | Activity Category |
| Metropolitan Transportation Plan Project ID or Status | Right-of-Way Authorization Date |
| Complete Streets Verification | Award Contract Date |
| Applicant Contact Information | Date Funds are Needed (if no construction proposed) |
| Name | MORPC-Attributable Funds for Preliminary Engineering |
| Address | Source, Amount, and Percent of Phase Subtotal: |
| Phone Number | Preliminary Engineering |
| E-mail Address | Right-of-Way |
| Facility Name | Construction |
| Project Limits (From-To) | Other Costs |
| Project Length | Total Cost |

After reviewing the Screening Applications for eligibility and completeness, MORPC staff will assign each one to an appropriate Activity Category. The AFC will consider the forecast of available funding and the new funding requests and direct the staff to advise each sponsor about the competitiveness of their application(s) and recommend which one(s) are good candidates to submit Final Applications. If a sponsor submits more than one Final Application, the sponsor will provide a priority ranking of the applications.

In mid-July, staff will provide feedback to the applicants on their Screening Applications. The AFC may recommend that sponsors limit the number of applications or amounts requested, but sponsors may submit Final Applications for any Screening Applications. The AFC will also provide guidance to the applicants about the specific information they will need to evaluate the application based on the Activity Category.

6.2 Final Application

The Final Application, which is due on August 15, 2016, will request the following information, as applicable, in addition to an authorized signature, a supporting resolution, and the information needed to evaluate the application using the criteria in Section 7.1:

| Scope Information | Milestone Dates | Funding (Source, Amount, and Percent of Subphase) |
|---|--|--|
| Project Location Map | Consultant Authorization | Preliminary Engineering - Detailed Design |
| Two-Way Center Turn Lane | Submittal of Alternative Evaluation Report or Feasibility Study | Preliminary Engineering - Environmental/Preliminary Development |
| Number of Lanes in Each Direction | Preferred Alternative Approval | Right-of-Way Services |
| Travel Lane Widths in Each Direction | Stage 1 Design Plan Submittal | Right-of-Way Acquisition |
| Turn Lane Widths in Each Direction | Preliminary Right-of-Way Plan Submittal | Utility Relocation |
| Shoulder Widths in Each Direction | Stage 2 Design Plan Submittal | Construction Contract |
| Transit Components/Impacts | Final Right-of-Way Plan Submittal | Construction Engineering/Inspection |
| Bicycle Components/Impacts | Environmental Document Approval | Other Costs |
| Pedestrian Components/Impacts | Right-of-Way Authorization | Total Cost |
| Curb/Gutter | Stage 3 Design Plan Submittal | Cost Estimate Preparation Date |
| Stormwater System | Right-of-Way Certification | Additional Funding Considerations |
| Stormwater Treatment | Final Plans and Bid Package Submittal to ODOT | |
| Lighting | Award Contract | |
| Deliverables (if no construction is proposed) | Begin Construction | |
| Additional Scope Considerations | Complete Construction | |
| Priority Rank among Sponsor's Applications | Additional Schedule Considerations | |

The AFC or staff may request information not listed above to address issues or concerns with the Screening and Final applications.

Applicants will provide a schedule that is realistic and recognizes the processing and review times needed by ODOT and other state and federal agencies in the project development process. If selected for funding, the sponsor and MORPC must agree on a schedule, in consultation with ODOT, when the partnering agreement is executed (see Section 9.1).

New funding commitments will not be scheduled to receive funding without allowing sufficient time for project development. For most projects with a construction phase, this means that funding will not be available for any phase until SFY 2020. Sponsors of such projects seeking funding before SFY 2020 will have to provide justification in the application. Sponsors that develop their projects ahead of their funding schedule may award such projects early if funds are available.

Sponsors should anticipate that preliminary development and environmental activities will take two years. Detailed design will take one year, but may be completed concurrently with right-of-way acquisition and utility relocation, which will take one to three years.

Funding commitments will be determined to be on schedule or behind schedule based on the dates in the Partnering Agreement. The schedule may be revised between the Screening Application and Final Application and the Partnering Agreement.

6.3 Penalties for Incomplete Applications

As described previously, MORPC staff will review the applications and updates for errors and omissions. If additional information is needed, staff will send a request to the Sponsor Project Manager identified on the application. The applicant must adequately respond by the date indicated in the request, which will be approximately one week after it is sent. A failure to adequately respond to the request will result in a reduction of 5 points from a new application's overall score, which is on a 100 point scale. The penalty will increase by 5 points for each additional week that passes before the applicant adequately responds to a request. MORPC staff will determine whether a response to the request is adequate. The applicant may appeal any penalties to the AFC.

Applications lacking an authorized signature or supporting legislation will be subject to penalties as follows:

- Authorized Signature: If the signature area is incomplete (including printed name and title) a new project's evaluation score will be reduced by 10 points. The penalty will increase by 5 points for each additional week that passes before the applicant provides complete signature information.
- Supporting Legislation: If a copy of enacted supporting legislation is not received by September 30, 2016, a new project's evaluation score will be reduced by 10 points. The penalty will increase by 5 points for each additional week that passes before the applicant provides a copy of enacted supporting legislation.

7 Evaluation and Selection Process

Because of the high demand for MORPC-attributable federal funds, the AFC developed criteria and processes to identify the best candidates for funding. The criteria reflect current adopted MTP goals and objectives and satisfy the planning factors required by the federal Metropolitan Transportation Planning regulations.

7.1 Evaluation Criteria

As part of the continuing metropolitan transportation planning process, MORPC has been preparing the 2016-2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan, which is scheduled to be adopted in May 2016. In December 2014, MORPC adopted six goals for the MTP below.

Through transportation:

- Reduce per capita **energy** consumption and promote alternative fuel resources to increase affordability and resilience of regional energy supplies.
- Protect **natural resources** and mitigate infrastructure vulnerabilities to maintain a healthy ecosystem and community.
- Position Central Ohio to attract and retain **economic opportunity** to prosper as a region and compete globally.
- Create sustainable neighborhoods to improve residents' quality of life.

- Increase regional **collaboration** and employ innovative transportation solutions to maximize the return on **public expenditures**.
- Use public investments to benefit the **health**, **safety**, **and welfare** of people.

The criteria for evaluating applications for new funding commitments are used to measure how well they advance the six goals identified for the MTP. These criteria help assure consistency between the MTP goals and the funding commitments that result from this process. The criteria that follow will be applied to the Final Applications. The criteria for evaluating applications follow and consist of qualitative information based on the information in the final application and well as quantitative data derived from GIS or travel demand model analysis.

7.1.1 Economic Opportunity Goal Criteria

The evaluation for the economic opportunity goal criteria is mostly based on information provided in the Final Application. Two criteria are evaluated using MORPC-derived data from the regional travel demand model. Generally, the more job creation/retention, financial support for the project or the project area, and reduction in the amount of congestion, the higher the application score.

| | | | Activity Category | | | | |
|------------------|--|-------|-------------------|--------------|------------|---------|-------|
| MORPC Derived | Economic Opportunity Goal Evaluation Criteria & Description | Major | Minor | Preservation | Bike & Ped | Transit | Other |
| | Is congestion hampering economic development in the area? How will improvements to the transportation system as a result of this project improve economic development? | x | x | x | | | |
| | Describe the type and amount of acreage of site(s) that will primarily benefit from the project's improvements (e.g., greenfields, developed, redeveloped, infill, brownfields, intermodal facilities). Provide a map showing the site(s) relationship to the project. | x | x | x | | | |
| | Explain the project's appropriateness in relationship to current local zoning, community planning and surrounding uses. Provide a map showing these in relationship to the project. Describe how the project may affect nearby property values, vacancy rates or other development factors. | x | x | x | | | |
| | Describe the presence and timing of all necessary economic development components in the project area, such as infrastructure (e. g., utilities, water and sewer, broadband), access to appropriately trained labor (skilled and unskilled), and other transportation options (e.g., rail, airports, transit or bicycle and pedestrian). | x | x | x | | | |
| | What private financial support has been or will be provided to this transportation project? Please specify the amount and entity providing the support and their relationship to the project. This may be support within the past three years or commitments into the future, and please specify the timeline for this support. | x | x | x | | | |
| | What public financial support has been or will be provided to the transportation project, such as grants, loans, bonds, tax incentives (e.g., SIB, TID, CRA, TIF, JEDD, JEDZ, CEDA) or other programs? Please specify the entity providing the support and the specific sources of the public funding (e.g. capital program from general revenue, specific TIF, etc.), the timeline for this support, and the relationship of the entity providing the support to the project. | x | x | x | | | |

| | | | Activity Category | | | | |
|------------------|---|-------|-------------------|--------------|------------|---------|-------|
| MORPC Derived | Economic Opportunity Goal Evaluation Criteria & Description | Major | Minor | Preservation | Bike & Ped | Transit | Other |
| | How much new private or public capital investment has been made in the project area or will be as a result of the project? This investment can be within the past three years or commitments between now and 5 years after completion of the transportation project. Provide a map similar to that of question #1 showing the past and committed investments. Please specify the type of investment and the timeline for this investment. | x | x | x | | | |
| | Provide the number of permanent jobs of each type (manufacturing, office, warehousing, retail, institutional) and corresponding average hourly wage that will be created in the region as a result of the project. Provide a map showing the locations in relationship to the project. Provide documentation showing that these jobs are committed to being created in this area with the improvements to the area. | x | x | x | | | |
| | Provide the number of permanent jobs of each type (manufacturing, office, warehousing, retail, institutional) and corresponding average hourly wage that will be retained in the region as a result of the project. If the jobs will be relocated from within the region, please indicate how many and where they are currently located. Provide a map showing the locations in relationship to the project. Provide documentation showing that these jobs are in jeopardy without the improvements to the area. | x | x | x | | | |
| | Is there anything unique about this project that has not already been discussed? This could include how the project will impact a specific industry cluster, innovative business, or industry target as identified by Columbus 2020. | x | x | x | | | |
| | Current and Future Average Daily Traffic | X | X | X | | | |
| x | Truck Traffic The ability of the project to improve travel within a corridor by redistributing travel in the corridor so one or more congested components of the transportation system are relieved. Measured using the regional model by the percentage reduction in 2040 VMT within 1 mile of the project that experiences LOS E or worse. | x | x | X | | x | |
| x | Travel time uncertainty is a significant issue for business. Using existing travel time data, the existing travel time uncertainty index will be calculated for the area within a mile of the project. | x | x | | | x | |
| x | Travel Delay Reduction measured using the regional model as the average 2040 travel time reduction per person for a complete trip using the facility during peak periods (including AM and PM peak hours) as a result of the project. | x | x | | | x | |
| | Please provide information with regard to the project's impact on economic development in the area. Refer to the questions in the Major category and, if appropriate, include information with regard to them in your response. | | | | x | x | x |

7.1.2 Natural Resources Goal Criteria

The scores for the natural resources goal criteria are mostly based on information provided in the Final Application. The emission reductions are estimated using the regional travel demand model.

| | | | Act | tivity (| Categ | ory | |
|------------------|--|-------|-------|--------------|------------|---------|-------|
| MORPC Derived | Natural Resources Goal Evaluation Criteria & Description | Major | Minor | Preservation | Bike & Ped | Transit | Other |
| | Addressing Sensitive Land Issues: Based on project location information provided in the initial application, a listing of sensitive lands in the project vicinity will be provided to the applicant. In the Final Application, the applicant is to provide information addressing how the project impacts each of these. Projects that do not impact sensitive lands or will go beyond environmental document requirements will score better. | x | x | x | x | x | |
| x | Emission Reduction : The vehicle emissions of PM2.5 (fine particulates), VOC (volatile organic compounds), and NOx (oxides of nitrogen) contribute to the region being recently in non-attainment of the ozone and PM 2.5 national air quality standards. The change in the regional emissions as a result of the project will be estimated with the regional model and reported in kilograms per day. Projects with more emission reductions will score better. | x | x | | | x | |
| | Water Runoff Quality & Quantity: Describe a current significant water runoff quality or quantity problem in the project area that will be resolved as a result of the project and complying with NEPA requirements. If there is no current significant water runoff quality or quantity problem, describe aspects of the project that will improve water runoff quality or quantity that will go above and beyond NEPA requirements. Projects which address problems or go beyond NEPA requirements will score better. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Vegetation and Habitat Restoration: Describe a current significant vegetation or habitat problem in the project area that will be resolved as a result of the project and complying with NEPA requirements. If there is no current significant vegetation or habitat problem, describe aspects of the project that will improve vegetation or habitat restoration that will go above and beyond NEPA requirements. Projects that address problems or go beyond NEPA requirements will score better. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Other Extraordinary Aspects: A statement by the sponsor about any extra- ordinary aspects of the project's impact on the natural habitat. With regard to projects in the "Other" category, this includes rationale on how project would further this goal especially in regard to any criteria listed above. | x | x | x | x | x | x |

7.1.3 Energy Goal Criteria

Two criteria for the energy goal are scored based on information provided in the Final Application, and one is scored using results from the regional travel demand model and GIS analysis.

| | | | Act | tivity (| Categ | ory | |
|------------------|--|-------|-------|--------------|------------|---------|-------|
| MORPC Derived | Energy Goal Evaluation Criteria & Description | Major | Minor | Preservation | Bike & Ped | Transit | Other |
| | Components that Save Energy : An assessment provided by the sponsor as to the potential project level technology components that save energy. | X | х | х | x | х | x |
| x | Vehicle Miles of Travel: Projects that would reduce regional Vehicle Miles of Travel will score better. | х | х | | х | х | x |
| | Other Extraordinary Aspects: A statement by the sponsor about any extraordinary aspects of the project's impact on energy. This could include renewable energy production as part of the project. | x | x | x | x | x | x |

7.1.4 Collaboration and Funding Goal Criteria

The evaluation for the collaboration and funding goal criteria is exclusively based on information provided in the Final Application. A first consideration in the score for this goal will be inclusion in the MTP. If the activity is not in the MTP, the maximum score for the goal is reduced to five (5).

| | | Activity Category | | | | | |
|------------------|---|-------------------|-------|--------------|------------|---------|-------|
| MORPC Derived | Collaboration and Funding Goal Evaluation Criteria & Description | Major | Minor | Preservation | Bike & Ped | Transit | Other |
| | Inclusion in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan: Identify the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) strategy or Project ID that this project is advancing. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Origin of project/Project Readiness: The applicant is to provide the origin of the project including all planning studies recommending the project or activity and which ODOT Project Development Process (PDP) steps have been completed at time of final application submittal. Projects that that are further through the planning and PDP process will score better. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Documentation of Support and Collaboration: The applicant is to provide letters of support from neighboring government jurisdictions, community associations, business associations, or others. The sponsor is also to provide documentation on interagency and community collaboration that has occurred to date to advance the project. Projects that have more support will score better. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Applicant Priority Ranking: Applicants that submit more than one project must also submit a priority ranking of their projects. Projects that rank higher on their priority ranking will be given more consideration than those ranked lower. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Amount of MORPC Funding Requested: Projects that request lower amounts of MORPC funding will score better. | х | x | х | х | х | x |
| | Percentage of Funding Requested: The percentage will only be based on the total right-of-way and construction cost. If it is not a traditional construction project, the percent of the total program/activity will be used. Applications that request lower percents of MORPC funding will score better. Applications that request the use of Toll Credit to increase the normally-required non federal match will be reduced 2 points from what it normally would receive. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Amount of Private Sector Funding: The amount will only be based on the total right-of-way and construction cost. If it is not a traditional construction project the percent of the total program/activity will be used. The higher private sector funding the better the score. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Percentage of Private Sector Funding: The percentage will only be based on the total right-of-way and construction cost. If it is not a traditional construction project the percent of the total program/activity will be used. The higher private sector funding the better the score. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Number of Funding Partners: The number of unique funding partners will be reported. This will includes those funding any aspects of project development as well as the number contributing to right-of-way and construction. A strong sign of collaboration is the amount of funding partners. The more funding partners, especially if the project is leveraging ODOT discretionary funding into the region, the better the score. | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| | Agency Funding Capacity: The applicant is to provide a statement as to the amount of funding they are providing for the project relative to the usual size of their transportation infrastructure expenditures. Providing significant portion of local funding capacity towards project will score higher. Demonstration of significant hardship in providing local match can counteract the Toll Credit reduction described above. | x | x | x | x | x | x |

7.1.5 Health, Safety & Welfare Goal Criteria

Some of the for the health, safety and welfare goal criteria are evaluated based on information provided in the Final Application, and others are evaluated based on MORPC-derived data using GIS analysis.

| | | Activity Category | | | | | |
|------------------|---|-------------------|-------|--------------|------------|---------|-------|
| MORPC Derived | Health, Safety & Welfare Goal Evaluation Criteria & Description | Major | Minor | Preservation | Bike & Ped | Transit | Other |
| x | Crash Reduction : Using the ODOT crash data and tools, crash information for the project area will be calculated, including overall frequency, bike/ped frequency, crash rate, and severity index. Using ODOT crash modification factor methodology for the project improvement(s), the change in expected crashes will be estimated. Projects that address worse safety problems will score higher. | x | x | x | x | x | |
| x | Pavement Condition Rating (PCR): This is a measure of the average PCR of the existing roadway that would be improved as part of the project based on the most recent ODOT data. The sponsor should review the ODOT data and may provide supplemental data if desired. Projects that are on facilities with lower PCR's will score higher. | x | x | x | | | |
| x | Bridge Rating: This is a measure of the worst existing bridge rating based on ODOT data that would be improved as part of the project. The sponsor should review the ODOT data and may provide supplemental data if desired. Projects that are on facilities with lower bridge ratings will score higher. | x | x | x | | | |
| | System Life: The applicant is to provide information on the age and condition of the components being replaced. Also provide a statement, if applicable, as to the potential of the project to maximize life of transportation system. This is any extraordinary aspect that is likely to be part of the project. | x | x | x | x | x | |
| | New Transit Ridership: The sponsor provides an estimate of the increase in transit ridership. This is to include both the ridership on the specific project or activity as well as overall system ridership. Projects that have higher ridership will score better. | | | | | x | |
| x | Environmental Justice: Of the estimated opening day users of the project, what is the minority percentage, what is the poverty percentage, what is the elder percentage, and what is the transportation handicapped percentage? The ratio of each of these relative to the regional average of each will be calculated. For the Bike and Pedestrian category, the population within 2 miles of the project will be estimated instead of the users. | x | x | x | x | x | |
| | Other: Statement by the sponsor with rationale on how the project would further this goal especially in regard to any criteria listed in the other Activity Categories. When possible, reference should be made to as many of the above criteria as applicable in justifying the benefits of the program/activity/project relative to this goal. | x | x | x | x | x | x |

7.1.6 Sustainable Neighborhoods and Quality of Life Goal Criteria

Some of the criteria for the sustainable neighborhoods goal are based on information provided in the Final Application. A few criteria are based on MORPC-derived data that uses GIS analysis and the travel demand model.

| | | Activity Catego | | | ory | ry | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------|---|---|------------|---------|-------|--|
| MORPC Derived | Sustainable Neighborhoods and Quality of Life Evaluation Criteria & Description | | | | Bike & Ped | Transit | Other | |
| | Displacements: The applicant will provide an estimate of the number of displacements (business and residential) as a result of the project. The information can be provided in terms of a likely range of displacements. | x | x | x | x | x | | |
| | Pedestrian System: The applicant will provide information on the relationship of the project to the existing pedestrian transportation system and/or how the project will include improvements to enhance or connect to the pedestrian system. Projects that provide pedestrian facilities where none currently exist will score higher. | x | x | x | x | x | | |
| | Bikeway System: The applicant will provide information on the relationship of the project to the existing bikeway transportation system and/or how the project will include improvements to enhance or connect to the bikeway system. Projects that provide bike facilities where none currently exist or provide connections to regional facilities will score higher. | x | x | x | x | x | | |
| x | On Transit Line: The information will be simply "yes" or "no" with regard to if an existing transit route uses the project facilities. Projects along existing transit routes will need to provide appropriate transit related facilities and will score higher. | x | x | x | x | | | |
| | Transit System: A statement by the applicant as to how the project enhances transit service. Beyond what transit related facilities may be part of the project if on existing transit line, projects that make additional improvement or that could enhance transit while not on a current transit line will score higher. | x | x | x | x | x | | |
| x | 2010 Origin/Destination Density: The average density (population + jobs) of the project user's origins and destinations will be estimated based on 2010 conditions. Both the average for higher density end of the trip and lower density end of the trip will be estimated. For the Bike and Pedestrian category, the density within 2 miles of the project will be estimated instead of the user's origin and destination density. Projects that serve travelers going to and from more dense areas will score higher. | x | x | x | x | x | | |
| x | 2040 Origin/Destination Density: The average density (population + jobs) of the project user's origins and destinations will be estimated based on 2010 conditions. Both the average for higher density end of the trip and lower density end of the trip will be estimated. For the Bike and Pedestrian category, the density within 2 miles of the project will be estimated instead of the user's origin and destination density. Projects that serve travelers going to and from more dense areas will score higher. | x | x | x | x | x | | |
| | Other: Statement by the applicant with rationale on how the project would further quality of life and relationship of this project to furthering the community's quality of life goals. For projects in the Other Activity Category, also provide additional information especially in regard to any of criteria above criteria as applicable in justifying the benefits of the program/activity/project relative to this goal. | x | x | x | x | x | x | |

7.2 Application Scoring Process

Applications will be evaluated by staff, subject to review and oversight of the AFC. Applications with higher scores will generally be selected before applications with lower scores. Applications that are not selected may be considered in succeeding years if sponsors reapply.

The following generally describes the evaluation and selection process:

- a. Staff shall apply the scoring criteria to applications for new funding commitments and outstanding commitments forced to re-compete.
- b. Staff shall submit the collected information about each application and the scores for each application to the AFC for review and comment.
- c. Staff shall consider AFC comments on the application scores and then identify the high, moderate, and low scoring applications within each category along with the target funding range available within each category.
- d. The AFC shall select applications to recommend for new funding commitments.
- e. The recommended program of funding commitments (changes to outstanding funding commitments as well as new commitments) shall be provided to TAC, CAC, TPC, MORPC's members, and the public for review and comment.
- f. At the conclusion of public involvement, the applications, schedules and costs will be endorsed through the MORPC committee process and incorporated into the TIP to be adopted the following May.

Data for the criteria in each goal will be compiled. The overall score for each goal on a scale of 1 to 10 will be established subjectively based an overall consideration of the data and qualitative statements with regard to each criterion. There is no specific weighting of criteria within each goal. The score will also be established relative to the other applications' information for the goal. If the data associated with a particular goal do not provide a meaningful distinction between two applications, they will receive the same score for that goal. For minor differences, the scores between two applications will be close to each other. For applications that are clearly separated based on the goal criteria, the applications scores will be significantly different. Included with the goal score will be a brief rationale for the score.

MORPC staff will compile the data for each goal and develop the preliminary goal score and rationale. The AFC will then review the scores and rationales and make modifications as necessary to reach agreement.

7.3 Weighting Scores by Goal and Category

Once the goal scores are completed, they will be multiplied by the corresponding weight in the table below.

| Activity Category | Economy | Natural Resources | Energy | Collaboration | Health, Safety & Welfare | Sustainable Neighborhoods |
|--------------------------------------|---------|----------------------|--------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Major Widening/New Roadway | 30 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 10 |
| Minor Widening/Intersections/Signals | 25 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 15 |
| Bike and Pedestrian | 5 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 30 |
| Transit | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 25 |
| System Preservation | 15 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 35 | 15 |
| Other | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |

The overall score for an application will be the sum of all of the weighted scores divided by 10, resulting in an overall score between 0 and 100.

7.4 Prioritizing and Recommending Applications for Funding

Once the overall score is established, the applications are ranked within each category. The AFC will review the ranking, make adjustments if necessary, and agree upon a program of funding commitments to recommend that can meet the targets funding for each category.

MORPC staff would then use this recommendation, the application schedules, and when funding is available to develop a draft program of funding commitments. MORPC may make commitments to fully use the funding expected to be available for a six-year period (four years of next TIP plus two years). The construction phase of a project must be scheduled to begin, i.e. receive federal authorization, within this six-year period. MORPC may commit funding beyond the sixth year, but not to exceed 25percent of the total amount committed in the first six years. Also, there cannot be more than 40 percent of the yearly average committed in a single year beyond the sixth year.

This program would then be provided for a 30-day agency and public comment period. MORPC staff and the AFC would review any comments received and make adjustments, if necessary, before final action by the CAC, TAC and TPC.

The AFC will not reject portions of an application for funding. If a significant portion of an application appears to be inconsistent with MORPC's goals and policies, the project will be down-rated and therefore be less likely to be funded.

To limit the corrective action necessary to account for a project that is unable to achieve its obligation schedule, the amount that a phase of a project may receive in any particular year is limited to approximately \$7 million. However, to minimize the administrative burden caused by each occurrence, the funding for a project phase will not be split if the phase is less than \$10 million. If the project phase is over \$10 million, the funding will be split with no more than approximately \$7 million per year. The full 80 percent share of project right-of-way and construction would be made

available to the sponsor, but projects costing more than the annual limit would have to utilize the following options:

- Split the project into smaller phases or modify the scope such that the right-of-way and construction phases are consistent within the annual limit.
- Finance the amount over the annual limit through a State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) loan or other loan mechanism, which can be repaid with commitments of MORPC-attributable funds in later fiscal years. Payback might be accelerated if funds became available.
- The sponsoring agency, with prior approval by ODOT and MORPC, may self-finance the amounts over the annual limit. The sponsor would be paid back with future allocations of MORPC-attributable federal funds. Payback might be accelerated if funds became available.

7.5 Scoring Phased Construction Projects

Large construction projects are often developed and constructed in phases, i.e. under separate contracts. The NEPA process requires interrelated projects to be considered in one document, even when construction will occur in phases. Because it is difficult to evaluate the benefits and impacts of individual phases of a larger project, the criteria will be applied to the scope defined by the environmental document. If the document has not yet been developed to the point of defining the scope, then the scope anticipated for the environmental document will be evaluated rather than on the construction sections.

7.6 Agency Prioritization of Multiple Applications

An agency which submits multiple funding applications may request, during the scoring and evaluation period, that the score for any project submitted by that agency be reduced and the project demoted in the list of highest scoring projects within a category in order to score lower than a higher priority project by the same agency. The request shall be made in writing.

7.7 Incentives to Create a Reservoir of Commitments

Even in a well-managed program, there will be occasions when not all of the projects will be able to be obligated as scheduled. Consequently, it is desirable to create a "reservoir" of projects that are ready ahead of funding availability that could be obligated when necessary to effectively manage the program. MORPC will create a "reservoir" by scheduling projects to use State Infrastructure Bank (SIB) loans or another financing mechanism. MORPC will first develop the MORPC-attributable program based on expected funding per year, the applicants' schedules and the evaluation criteria results. Then, project phases over \$7 million for which there are insufficient funds available when needed, according to a realistic project development schedule, will be considered for a loan schedule. For these situations, MORPC will pay loan fees and interest, to the maximum extent possible, on the MORPC-attributable funding amount being borrowed. MORPC will schedule no more than four project loans in the four-year TIP and no more than one per SFY. At the time it is necessary to set up the financing, the actual amount needed may be reduced or eliminated if there is more MORPC-attributable funding available than originally expected.

8 **Project Development Requirements**

Federal law requires that federally funded projects conform to NEPA and the National Historic Preservation Act. To comply with these laws, projects must have an environmental review to assess

and/or mitigate effects on social, economic, and environmental factors. Similarly, work involving sensitive historic structures or archaeological sites must conform to the U.S. Secretary of the Interior's standards and guidelines for archaeology and historic preservation.

If federal funds are used in the preliminary engineering phase, the consultant must be selected through ODOT's federal procurement process. Consultants working on projects with a commitment of MORPC-attributable funds for any phase must be pre-qualified by ODOT.

Any right-of-way or property acquisition <u>must</u> conform to the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act, as amended.

Engineering and architectural designs for all facilities <u>must</u> conform to current regulations resulting from the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

To ensure these and other requirements are met, all activities using federal transportation funds must follow either ODOT's PDP or Local Public Agency (LPA) process. ODOT maintains a website with PDP information: <u>http://www.dot.state.oh.us/projects/pdp/Pages/default.aspx</u>. Projects normally advance through the "traditional" process where ODOT oversees and reviews environmental studies, right-of-way and construction plan preparation, bidding, and construction. With ODOT and MORPC concurrence, sponsors may elect to advance their projects through ODOT's LPA process (also called the "local-let" process) that allows the LPA more control of the project. The LPA process does not exempt the project from any NEPA, public involvement, or other requirements. Only applicants who have proficiently advanced their projects through ODOT's PDP in the past will be eligible for LPA consideration.

ODOT allows LPAs to administer construction projects on the LPA's system using federal funds if the LPA has completed all of the required LPA eLearning Qualification Modules, the LPA can prove it has properly licensed and experienced employees, all of the required written processes and policies are in place, and the LPA has enough internal support to complete the project properly.

For more information on Ohio's LPA Qualification Process, please review chapter one of the Locally Administered Transportation Projects (LATP) Manual available at <u>http://www.dot.state.oh.us/Divisions/Planning/LocalPrograms/Pages/LocalLetProcesses.aspx</u> or contact the District LPA Manager (list available at <u>https://www.dot.state.oh.us/Divisions/Planning/LocalPrograms/Documents/LPA_District_Managers_.pdf</u>).

MORPC will include new and outstanding funding commitments in SFYs 2018-2021 in the updated Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). For a project or activity to be eligible to receive federal funds, it must be included in the TIP.

9 Maintaining Funding Commitments

It is the sponsor's responsibility, with ODOT and MORPC support, to develop the project on schedule in order to allow the funds to be authorized.

9.1 Partnering Agreements

To document the local commitment to each project, a partnering agreement will be developed in consultation with ODOT and executed among the sponsor and MORPC. The agreement will include

the scope of the activity, its schedule prepared with mutually agreeable dates, a commitment on the parts of the sponsor to become suitably knowledgeable about the ODOT process, attending regular progress meetings with ODOT and MORPC and providing status update information necessary for monthly updates to the TAC, and commitment of all the partners to carry out their responsibilities to the project at a level of quality and in a time frame consistent with the best practices customary in Central Ohio. In certain circumstances, the partnering agreements may be revised as described in Section 9.5. A sample Partnering Agreement is provided in the Appendix.

9.2 Project Monitoring

To assist in more timely delivery of MORPC-funded projects and to make the status of these projects more widely known, MORPC will closely monitor the status of projects. Steps MORPC will take to monitor will include:

- Maintain a list with contact info of project managers for the sponsor, ODOT and consultant.
- Maintain a list of milestone dates for the project, including at a minimum the milestones included in the application.
- Contact the sponsor, ODOT and consultant project managers at least monthly for status updates, which will be compiled into a report.
- Attend quarterly meetings and other project meetings. Sponsor attendance at quarterly project status meetings scheduled by ODOT will be mandatory unless the sponsor, ODOT, and MORPC agree to cancel the meeting.
- Report on the status of all projects at each TAC meeting. Managers of projects falling behind schedule may be requested to report on the project to TAC.
- Report a summary of the information to the sponsor CEO and chair of council (if such exists) at the beginning of each fiscal year at a minimum. These would be more often if a project begins to fall behind.
- Investigate additional means of monitoring and providing updates.

9.3 Commitment Update Form

After receiving a commitment, sponsors must submit a Commitment Update Form every two years, during the application period, to maintain their funding commitment until the funds have received federal authorization. Exceptions will be made for funds expected to receive authorization for the final phase before SFY 2018. Sponsors of construction projects with a final plan package submittal date after January 1, 2017, are expected to submit an Update Form. Staff may grant exceptions at their discretion.

The purposes of the Update Form are to request adjustments to the committed amount and schedule; provide justification for requesting significant changes (as defined on the Update Form) to the scope, schedule, or budget; and reaffirm the sponsor's commitment to deliver the project. Sponsors need to provide a resolution or legislation supporting the project that was approved within a year of the Update Form due date. Staff will present the requests to the AFC, which will recommend adjustments to make to the outstanding funding commitments. Staff will use the recommendations as the basis of determining the availability of funds for new commitments.

9.4 Cost Overruns

The estimated cost of projects sometimes increases dramatically from the time the application was submitted. At times, MORPC's program has absorbed these costs by delaying funding commitments that follow. In order to provide more accurate funding schedules to all sponsors, MORPC will limit the amounts that projects may overrun their estimates.

MORPC's total participation in a project for Right-of-Way and Construction shall be fixed at no more than the commitments shown in the TIP at the time the project phase is obligated plus 10 percent or \$300,000 whichever is greater as long as the total commitment does not increase more than 50 percent. Costs in excess of these amounts shall be the responsibility of the sponsor. Prior to obligation, sponsors have the right to withdraw projects and ask that they be reprioritized in a later year to obtain a higher MORPC commitment with the stipulation that if the withdrawal results in a loss of federal funds or obligation authority to the region, for this funding commitment and others for the sponsoring agency may be delayed by MORPC indefinitely.

Commitments for non-construction activities, such as studies, preliminary engineering, MORPC programs, other programs, and purchases are fixed at the dollar amount shown on the TIP from which the project phase is obligated, i.e. there is no additional 10 percent MORPC participation. This also applies to construction projects that receive a commitment of a fixed dollar amount.

9.5 Delays and Penalties

Because, at times, sponsors have been unable to deliver their projects on the original schedule or within original budget, it is necessary to include penalties for delays and cost increases. The application of penalties will only take place after several notifications of the delayed or increased cost status of the project through the reports and letters generated through the monitoring system. Sponsors may appeal penalties by petitioning MORPC's Attributable Funds Committee (AFC) for relief. The AFC will develop procedures for hearing such petitions.

- The schedule of dates provided in the application for which the funding was originally awarded will be the reference dates in determining penalties.
- The TPC resolution that first committed MORPC funding to the project will be the funding referenced in determining penalties.
- The partnering agreement between MORPC and the local agency shall further document the established dates and funding commitment. The partnering agreement may include modest adjustments to the reference dates, provided the partnering agreement is executed prior to first incorporating the project into the Transportation Improvement Program.
- If the sponsor has not authorized a consultant nor completed any additional project development tasks per the schedule by the time the first updated application is due, the project must re-compete.
- If a project's federal right-of-way authorization or final plan package submittal to ODOT is delayed more than one year, then the sponsor will be penalized on all new projects submitted for funding by reducing each new project's total score by 5 points. The penalty will be applied until the right-of-way is authorized or the final plan package is submitted to ODOT. If a sponsor has multiple existing projects with delays, the penalty will be applied for each delay up to a maximum of 15 penalty points.
- If a project's federal right-of-way authorization is delayed more than two years, then the sponsor is ineligible to apply for funding of additional projects until right-of-way is authorized.
- If a project's final plan package submittal to ODOT is delayed more than two years, then the sponsor is ineligible to apply for funding of additional projects until it has submitted the final plan package to ODOT.

- Projects which miss obligation dates that result in loss of funding to the region will have their federal share reduced by 10 percent (typically from 80 percent to 70 percent, but 100 percent projects would also drop to 70 percent), as well as have funding for this project and other projects sponsored by the agency delayed by MORPC indefinitely.
- During the formal commitment update cycle, with approval of the AFC and adopted through TPC resolution, the partnering agreement may be updated to reflect new funding commitments.
- In extenuating circumstances, if agreed to by the AFC, the partnering agreement may be updated during the formal commitment update cycle to reflect new reference dates.

10 Other Policies for Program Management

10.1 Out-of-Cycle Requests

When circumstances require MORPC to decide outside of its normal funding cycle about committing MORPC-attributable funds to a project to which it has not previously made any commitments, the sponsor shall:

- 1. Fill out the final application from the previous funding round including all information used to score it.
- 2. Provide a letter to the Executive Director and Transportation Director requesting the funding which answers the following questions:
 - Why is this request being made outside the normal funding cycle?
 - What is the urgency of the request that it cannot wait until the next normal funding cycle?
 - When did the applicant know the funds being request would be needed?

Once the applicant has provided the completed application and letter of request, staff will:

- 1. Assign the application to the appropriate Activity Category and determine whether committing the requested funds would cause the total funding for that category to be outside its targeted range.
- 2. Score the application relative to the applications in the Activity Category from the last round
- 3. Assess if the requested funding would impact other funding commitments.

Once staff has completed the above assessment, the request will be processed as described below:

• If the requested amount is under \$2,000,000, staff will prepare a recommendation to the CAC, TAC and TPC on whether to provide the requested funding. Staff has the discretion to recommend a more rigorous process if it determines that circumstances warrant it.

- If the requested amount is \$2,000,000 or over, staff will provide a summary of the request to the TPC chair who will consult with the other officers, the CAC chair and the TAC chair. This evaluation group would then determine the additional steps to be taken to asses this request before submitting the request to CAC, TAC, and TPC. The options include:
 - No additional assessment. Go directly to CAC, TAC and TPC with staff recommendation
 - Direct the request to the AFC for further discussion and recommendation. The AFC recommendation would then be submitted to CAC, TAC and TPC
 - In consultation with the evaluation group and consistent with the Bylaws governing the TPC, the chair of the TPC appoints a special sub-committee or work group to further discuss the request and make a recommendation. The recommendation would then be submitted to CAC, TAC and TPC
- MORPC may adjust the type of federal funding (i.e., STP, CMAQ, and TAP) awarded in order to balance its program. This does not mean that funding will not be committed, but that MORPC may alter funding arrangements to make the funds available.

10.2 Trading Funds with Other MPOs

Staff is authorized to negotiate with other MPOs, ODOT, and the County Engineers Association of Ohio to exchange obligation authority so it may be used to the advantage of Central Ohio. At the time it is necessary to submit a SIB loan application per Section 7.4, the principal amount applied for may be reduced or eliminated if there is the ability to exchange obligation authority. The Transportation Systems and Funding Director is authorized to approve these exchanges.

10.3 Ohio Statewide Urban CMAQ Program

As of the fall of 2013, MORPC no longer receives a direct allocation from ODOT of Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds specifically for the MORPC MPO area. The funds historically provided are now pooled with the funds formerly provided to each of the eight large MPOs in the state. The eight large MPOs have cooperatively developed (with ODOT's concurrence) the Ohio Statewide Urban CMAQ Committee (OSUCC) to solicit, evaluate, and select applications to use the pooled CMAQ funding. As outlined below, MORPC will work within the guidelines of the OSUCC to secure CMAQ funding for MORPC MPO area commitments. If ODOT's current funding policy changes in regards to amount of funds sub-allocated or the elimination the program, MORPC will reevaluate the CMAQ funding commitments.

- MORPC will strive to ensure that the MORPC MPO area obtains a fair share of CMAQ funding.
- The OSUCC does not require ridesharing and air quality programs to go through the project selection process. MORPC may continue them per Section 5.3 up to the funding threshold established in the OSUCC program.
- The application and selection process as described in Section 7 will be used to identify applications to be submitted to the statewide process for CMAQ funding. The target percentages of funding by Activity Category in Section 5.3 will assume MORPC will receive its fair share of CMAQ funding.

- All applications will be evaluated according to the category criteria as specified in Section 7. CMAQ-eligible applications will also be scored according to the OSUCC scoring criteria.
- The results of the MORPC evaluation and the statewide scoring will be considered in identifying applications to submit to the statewide process. The AFC will rank the top four applications in accordance to the statewide program.
- For applications being submitted to the statewide process, MORPC may work with the applicants to adjust the project's scope, schedule or funding to allow it to be more competitive in the statewide process and maximize the CMAQ funding able to be brought into the region. This may include relaxing some requirements identified in this document.
- If necessary, some funding commitments resulting from MORPC's normal selection process may be identified as contingent upon receiving funding through the statewide CMAQ process.

Appendix: Sample Partnering Agreement

Dear [CEO and Project Manager]:

Your project, [Project Name], has been selected for MORPC-attributable funding. MORPC receives an allocation of federal transportation funding in accordance with federal transportation law and by Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) Policy. MORPC has established *Principles for Managing MORPC-Attributable Federal Funds* (Principles) to guide the solicitation, selection and administration of these funds. The Principles were last adopted in April 2014.

Beginning in the spring of 2014, MORPC requested updated cost and schedule information from previous funding commitments and solicited, evaluated and selected projects for new funding commitments. This process concluded with the adoption of the program of projects to receive MORPC-attributable funding via resolution T-##-15 on March 12, 2015.

In accordance with the Principles, entities that receive funding are to enter into a partnering agreement that specifies the scope and schedule of the project receiving the funding commitment as well as the project sponsor and MORPC's commitment to be knowledgeable and deliver the project through ODOT's Project Development Process (PDP). This requires that the project sponsor and their consultant, if applicable, attend quarterly meetings and provide other information to MORPC so that progress through the PDP process can be monitored. This letter, once signed by both parties, constitutes the partnering agreement.

Via MORPC resolution T-##-15, funding for [Project Name] (PID #####) has been awarded for the following phases in the state fiscal year and amount shown.

| Phase | State Fiscal Year | Amount |
|-------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| PE | <mark>2015</mark> | <mark>\$100,000</mark> |
| ROW | <mark>2016</mark> | <mark>\$500,000</mark> |
| CON | <mark>2021</mark> | <mark>\$1,000,000</mark> |

To ensure the implementation of this schedule and the availability of funding for this and other projects, project milestones will be monitored. The dates listed below for <u>Right-of-Way Authorization</u> and the <u>Final Plans and Bid Package Submittal to ODOT</u> will be used to establish the dates that will trigger penalties per the Principles if they are not met.

| Milestone | Scheduled Date | Trigger Date for Score Reduction | Trigger Date for Ineligibility |
|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Right-of-Way Authorization | <mark>12/12/2017</mark> | <mark>12/12/2018</mark> | <mark>12/12/2019</mark> |
| Final Plans and Bid Package Submittal to ODOT | <mark>12/12/2018</mark> | <mark>12/12/2019</mark> | <mark>12/12/2020</mark> |

As outlined in the Principles, if either of these milestones is delayed by more than one year, new projects submitted for MORPC-attributable funding will have their score reduced by 5 points; if either

of these milestones is delayed by more than two years, the sponsoring jurisdiction will be ineligible to submit new projects for MORPC-attributable funding. Penalties will be applied until the <u>milestone</u> creating the penalty is <u>complete</u>.

Additionally, projects that miss obligation dates that result in the loss of funding to the region will have their federal share reduced by 10 percent (typically from 80 percent to 70 percent).

If all funding is not expected to be obligated by June 30, 2017, the project sponsor will submit an updated application in spring of 2016.

The project manager, [Project Manager], should remain in contact with MORPC staff and communicate any changes to the scope, cost and schedule promptly.

See the Principles and the *Application Procedure for MORPC-Attributable Funding Programs* for additional information and don't hesitate to contact MORPC staff with any questions.

Sincerely,

Thea Walsh

MORPC agrees to fund the [Project] project in the amounts shown above according to the included schedule contingent upon MORPC's continued federal funding. The [Jurisdiction] agrees to the amounts shown above and the included schedule and is aware of the potential penalties of failing to maintain that schedule. Changes to the scope, cost and schedule as outlined in this agreement must be approved in accordance with the Principles.

Director, Transportation Systems & Funding Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission Date

Project Manager/CEO Jurisdiction Date