NOTICE OF A MEETING

ATTRIBUTABLE FUNDS COMMITTEE
Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission
111 Liberty Street, Suite 100
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Wednesday, November 3, 2021
10:00 a.m.

Click here to join the meeting
Or call in (audio only)
+1 614-362-3056, 363130377#
United States, Columbus
(888) 595-9475, 363130377#
United States (Toll-free)
Phone Conference ID: 363 130 377#

AGENDA

1. Welcome & Introductions
2. Approval of September 1, 2021 Minutes (enclosed)
3. Report on Working Group Progress
4. Timeline and Next Steps
5. Other Business
6. Adjourn

PLEASE NOTIFY BEVAN SCHNECK AT 614-233-4130 OR EMAIL AT bschneck@morpc.org TO CONFIRM YOUR ATTENDANCE FOR THIS MEETING OR IF YOU REQUIRE SPECIAL ASSISTANCE.

The next AFC Meeting is
Wednesday, December 1, 2021 @ 10:00 a.m.
ATTRIBUTABLE FUNDS COMMITTEE
MEETING SUMMARY

Wednesday, September 1, 2021
10 a.m.
Microsoft Teams Meeting

Members/Alternates Present
Members Representing Members Representing
Matt Huffman Clinton Twp Mike Andrako Franklin Co. Eng.
Matt Peoples City of Canal Winchester Cindi Fitzpatrick City of Grove City
James Young City of Columbus Letty Schamp City of Hilliard
Maria Cantrell City of Columbus Eric Walli Honda
Tim Nittle City of Columbus Nick Gill MORPC
Alan Moran City of Columbus Thea Ewing MORPC
Andy Biesterveld COTA Ira Weiss MORPC CAC
Bill Ferrigno City of Delaware Mike Barker City of New Albany
Tiffany Jenkins Delaware Co. Eng. Brian Davidson ODOT D6
Scott Sanders Delaware County RPC Alan Haines City of Pataskala
Denny Schooley DCT Greg Butcher City of Pickerington
Jeannie Willis City of Dublin Kevin Weaver City of Westerville
Barb Cox Franklin Co. Eng.

MORPC Staff Present
Tom Graham
Nathaniel Vogt
Lauren Cardoni
John Gardocki
Stephen Patchan
Bevan Schneck
Mary Ann Frantz

1. **Introductions**
   Chair Cindi Fitzpatrick called the meeting to order at 10:00 a.m.

2. **Approval of February 24, 2021 Minutes**
   Chair Fitzpatrick entertained a motion for the approval of the February 24, 2021 meeting minutes; Matt Peoples moved; Matt Huffman seconded; and the motion carried.
3. **Overview of Policies and Update Process**

Tom Graham updated the committee on the attributable funding cycle and provided an overview of the Policies for Managing MORPC-attributable funding. Tom Graham further explained that there were multiple options for proceeding with the process for updating the Policies, and the committee decided that creating a working group to review the Policies in detail would be the preferred option.

4. **Brainstorm Thoughts on Process and Changes for Next Round**

The committee engaged in a brainstorming process to suggest possible revisions to the Policies for Managing MORPC-attributable funding. The notes from the brainstorming session are included in these minutes as an attachment.

5. **Timeline and Next Steps**

Tom Graham informed the committee that the next AFC meeting would be held on November 3rd. Furthermore, the AFC would be asked to approve draft policies for public comment on December 1st. Nick Gill noted that staff would be reaching out to schedule working group meetings.

6. **Complete Streets Policy Update**

Lauren Cardoni gave a presentation regarding the Complete Streets Policy Update and informed the committee that the updated policy was out for public comment. Lauren Cardoni explained that the updated policy would be approved in November.

7. **Other Business**

No other business was discussed.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 11:20 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

_________________________
Thea Ewing
Secretary
Notes & Ideas for Attributable Fund Policy Updates

General Policy Document Thoughts

- Schedule – Generally same with start in July but finish by March ahead of TIP update

Previous Commitment Update Process Thoughts

New Application Process Thoughts

- Have applicant present their project(s) to the AFC to include a one page summary of project for AFC
  - Have applicants include a description of how the complete streets policy was integrated into their project during these presentations
- How does project enhance vision zero concepts
- How will project impact businesses during construction. May be difficult. May be more explicit awareness construction impacts.

Evaluation Criteria and Process Thoughts

- Have applicants specifically state which future bike/pedestrian connections were considered while developing the application
- Emphasis safety more
- Emphasis ped and bike safety
- Economic goal criteria. Specifically, congestion criteria do not necessarily lead to economic development
- Economic goal or sustainable neighborhood goal. Is project supportive of sustainable growth.
- Other equity in transportation criteria component. Also look at the EJ measures.
- Level of stress and high injury network from active transportation plan and region safety plan
- Spreading out funding to more entities
- Broader decarbonization goals for CMAQ funding
Category Targets and Goal Weights

- Increase percentage of funding for the bike ped categories
- Reduce percentage for major and minor categories
- Reduce range of each category
- Funds for electric vehicle charging and vehicles be more available
- Look at weights – specifically safety

Miscellaneous Thoughts

- Revisit the category definitions to accurately capture the purpose of the project
- A new interchange category. No minimum funding percentage range for category. It would be decided if important or not to fund. Need to define category and to evaluate the category.