

# 1

# **Activity Category Changes**

# Roadway Capacity and Operational Changes

- This category combines the Major Widening and Minor Widening categories.
- Staff engaged in an exercise to rescore the last round with updated criteria and goal weighting and concluded that no one project type would dominate the other if they were scored as one category.

# • Interchange/Freeway

- This category includes all projects which primarily benefit the freeway system as opposed to the local and regional transportation system.
- Projects in this category are scored using the criteria for the Roadway Capacity and Operational Changes category.
- No funding target is set for this category.

# System Preservation

- · Category definition expanded to include reconstruction of stand-alone bike and pedestrian infrastructure.
- Additionally, the definition has been modified to allow for road diets occurring as part of a preservation project to compete within this category.



# **Capacity Constraints of the propulation group (s)** is being addressed by the project. Data (census or other) to support the unmet need(s) of the population group (s) is being addressed by the project. Data (census or other) to support the unmet need(s) of the population group (s) is being addressed by the project. Data (census or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed by the project. Data (census or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed by the project. Data (census or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed by the project. Data (census or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed by the project. Data (census or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed or other) to support the population group (s) is being addressed or other) to support the project is serving the specified population (s) should be provide the unmet need(s) of the population group (s) is being addressed or the provide data (census or other) to support to s

• Previously a MORPC derived criteria which required no response from the applicant. This change requires applicants to provide explanation of how the project will address unmet needs of historically underrepresented population groups.



3

# Other Criteria Changes

# <u>Congestion Relief</u>

Change evaluation of congestion from LOS E or worse to LOS F or worse. Emphasis on applicant response of how congestion is hampering economic development

# Percent and Amount of MORPC Funding Requested

 Applications that request amounts greater than 25% of the maximum of forecasted funds available for the category or over \$8 million, whichever is smaller, will not benefit in this criterion Applications that request amounts less than 10% of the maximum of forecasted funds available for the category or less than \$1 million, whichever is greater, will receive maximum benefit in this criterion.

# Private Sector Funding

• Merged this criteria in Documentation of Support and Collaboration criteria.

# · Applicant Priority Ranking

Allow for top 2 priority projects to receive credit under this criteria within the Roadway Capacity/Operational Changes category.

- Crash Reduction
  - Increase emphasis on reducing fatal and serious injury crashes.
- Enhance Safety

A new criteria which allows for applicants to explain possible safety improvements.



Goal	Weighting							
	Activity Category	Economy	Natural Resources	Energy	Collaboration	Health, Safety & Welfare	Sustainable Neighborhoods	
	Roadway Capacity/Operational Changes	20	10	5	15	30	20	
	Bike and Pedestrian	10	10	5	15	30	30	
	Transit	15	5	15	15	20	30	
	System Preservation	15	5	5	15	35	25	
	Other	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	
								MORPC

			Сар	Road acity/O Chan	peratio	onal	Tra	insit	Syst	em Pre	servatio	n	Bike 8	Pedestri	ian
Minimum %		40			:	10				15					
Maximum %			60			1	15				25				
With nev available						bs Act (I	IIJA) incr	ease in fundi	ng, \$:	100 to	\$120 m	nillion i	s expe	ected to	be
						bs Act (I	IIJA) incr Available Max*	ease in fundi	ng, \$:	100 to <sub>Max %</sub>	\$120 m	Max \$	S expe	Available Min	be Available Max*
	for n	ew pro	jects th	is cycle	2.		Available	ease in fundi					Current	Available Min	Available Max*
available	for no	ew pro	Djects th	Max S	Current	Available Min	Available Max*	Roadway Cap/Oper	Min %	Max %	Min \$	Max \$			Available
available Roadway Cap/Oper Improvements	for no Min %	ew pro <sub>Max %</sub>	jects th <sup>Min \$</sup> \$92	Max S \$138	Current \$87	Available Min \$5	Available Max* \$51	Roadway Cap/Oper Improvements	Min %	Max %	Min \$ \$100	Max \$ \$150	Current \$87	Available Min \$13	Available Max* \$63
available Roadway Cap/Oper Improvements Preservation	for no Min % 40% 10%	ew pro Max % 60% 15%	Dijects th Min \$ \$92 \$23	Max \$ \$138 \$35	Current \$87 \$15	Available Min \$5 \$8	Available Max* \$51 \$20	Roadway Cap/Oper Improvements Preservation	Min % 40% 10%	Max % 60% 15%	Min \$ \$100 \$25	Max \$ \$150 \$38	Current \$87 \$15	Available Min \$13 \$10	Available Max* \$63 \$23
available Roadway Cap/Oper Improvements Preservation Bike/Ped	for no Min % 40% 10% 15%	Max % 60% 15% 20% 15% 110%	Min \$ \$92 \$23 \$35	Max \$ \$138 \$35 \$46 \$35	Current \$87 \$15 \$17	Available Min \$5 \$8 \$18	Available Max* \$51 \$20 \$29	Roadway Cap/Oper Improvements Preservation Bike/Ped	Min % 40% 10% 15%	Max % 60% 15% 20%	Min \$ \$100 \$25 \$38	Max \$ \$150 \$38 \$50	Current \$87 \$15 \$17	Available Min \$13 \$10 \$21	Available Max* \$63 \$23 \$33

