

111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Columbus, Ohio 43215 morpc.org T. 614. 228.2663 TTY. 1.800.750.0750 info@morpc.org

#### **NOTICE OF A MEETING**

## **COMMISSION MEETING**

MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION 111 LIBERTY STREET, SUITE 100 COLUMBUS, OH 43215 SCIOTO CONFERENCE ROOM

> <u>Thursday, November 14, 2019</u> 1:30 p.m.

#### **AGENDA**

1:30 p.m. **1. Pledge of Allegiance** 

- Welcome and Introductions Rory McGuiness (City of Columbus), MORPC Chair
  - Recognition of Guests and New Members Eileen Leuby, MORPC Membership Coordinator
- 3. One MORPC One Voice: Ohio Department of Job and Family Services Kimberly Hall, Ohio Department of Job and Family Services Director
- **4. Executive Director's Report** William Murdock, MORPC Executive Director
  - **a.** Quarterly Membership Report Eileen Leuby, MORPC Membership Services Officer

2:00 p.m.

# **Committees**

- Regional Policy Roundtable Kim Maggard (City of Whitehall), Regional Policy Roundtable Chair
  - **a.** Legislative Update Steve Tugend, Kegler Hill Brown + Ritter and Joe Garrity, MORPC Director of Government Affairs
- **6. Smart Region Task Force** Dana McDaniel (City of Dublin), Smart Region Task Force Chair

- Sustainability Advisory Committee Mike Compton (City of Pataskala), Sustainability Advisory Committee Vice Chair
  - a. Central Ohio Water Resources Plan Kerstin Carr, MORPC Planning & Sustainability Director
- **8. Central Ohio Rural Planning Organization** Jeffry Harris (Knox County), Central Ohio Rural Planning Organization Chair
- 2:30 p.m. **9. Transportation Policy Committee (MPO)** Rory McGuiness (City of Columbus), MORPC Chair
  - a. Call to Order Rory McGuiness (City of Columbus), MORPC Chair
  - b. COTA Plus Update Joanna Pinkerton, COTA President/CEO
  - c. Metropolitan Planning Organization Report
    - Transportation & Infrastructure Development Thea Walsh, Director
    - Data & Mapping Aaron Schill, Director
  - d. Transportation Policy Committee Consent Agenda
    - 1) Approval of October 10, 2019 Transportation Policy Committee Meeting Minutes
  - **e. 2020-2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan Update** Thea Walsh, MORPC Transportation & Infrastructure Development Director
  - f. Adjourn Transportation Policy Committee (MPO) Rory McGuiness (City of Columbus), MORPC Chair
  - 10. Commission Consent Agenda
    - a. Approval of October 10, 2019 Commission Meeting Minutes
    - b. Proposed Resolution 17-19: "Revising established population estimates as a basis for 2020 annual participation fees to be assessed members"
    - c. Approval of Actions of the Transportation Policy Committee
  - 11. Other Business
- 3:00 p.m. **12. Adjourn** Rory McGuiness (City of Columbus), MORPC Chair

Commission Meeting Agenda November 14, 2019 Page 3

# The next Commission Meeting is

Thursday, December 12, 2019, 1:30 p.m. 111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Columbus, Ohio 43215

PARKING AND TRANSIT: When parking in MORPC's parking lot, please be sure to park in a MORPC visitor space or in a space marked with a yellow "M". Handicapped parking is available at the side of MORPC's building. On Commission meeting days only, additional parking is available in numbered spaces toward the west end of the parking lot.

Indoor bike parking is available for MORPC guests.

MORPC is accessible by CBUS. The closest bus stop to MORPC is S. Front Street & W. Blenkner St. Buses that accommodate this stop are the Number 61 - Grove City, the Number 5 - West 5th Ave. /Refugee, and the Number 8 - Karl/S. High/Parsons.



#### **MORPC Monthly Agency Report**

October 2019

## **Government Affairs**

Joe Garrity, Government Affairs Director <a href="mailto:igarrity@morpc.org">igarrity@morpc.org</a>

# Regional Policy Roundtable

October 29, 2019 was the Regional Policy Roundtable's last meeting of the year. Members received an update from COTA on their recently completed strategic plan. Mayor Kim Maggard presided over her last meeting as chair of the Roundtable. MORPC will provide tentative dates for 2020 in November and will continue to keep members informed of bills at the Statehouse and in Washington.

#### House Bill 288

MORPC is meeting with legislators at the statehouse who serve on the House Civil Justice Committee regarding House Bill 288 (HB288) prohibiting the use of eminent domain for the purpose of providing a recreational trail. HB288 is not in alignment with MORPC's Public Policy Agenda. It infringes on a local government's and park district's ability to exercise home rule power. Without the ability to utilize eminent domain, local governments and park districts would not be able to connect gaps that are essential to connecting regional trails, such as our Central Ohio Greenways network.

Legislators plan to offer amended language that would only impact park districts where they can still use eminent domain. Currently a property owner can reach out to a probate judge to request a veto of the park districts eminent domain. The language would add two more veto opportunities with the addition of city council and township trustees. If you are interested in providing opponent testimony to the committee, MORPC will share the draft language.

#### State Capital Budget

Team Members are working closely with regional partners and local government members to ensure they submitted state capital budget questionnaire applications to the Columbus Partnership. MORPC is giving support and input into the review process of capital projects to be recommended to the State Legislature. MORPC will continue to keep members apprised of future state capital budget deadlines and assist in project applications.

#### **Communications & Engagement**

Niel Jurist, Director njurist@morpc.org

# **Diversity & Inclusion**

The results of the third quarter of the diversity metrices on staff demographics and procurement were compiled and will be distributed to senior leadership. The 2019-2020 Diversity & Inclusion Work Plan was finalized.

#### Presentations & Outreach

Presentations on the RSTI studies are scheduled for Kenton, October 28 at 7:00 p.m. and the Upper Arlington Rotary Club, February 4, 2020.

Presentations on the 2020-2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan continue to be scheduled for mid-November 2019 through February 2020.

#### Summit on Sustainability

MORPC hosted the Summit on Sustainability on Friday, October 11, 2019 at the Hilton Columbus Downtown. The conference had over 550 people in attendance. The theme, Shaping Resilient Communities, looked at how a growing region, can be sustainable while being inclusive, providing needed amenities, and serving as a good steward of the environment.

- Christopher Coes with Smart Growth America provided the keynote followed by five passionate individuals who gave presentations using the Pecha Kucha format.
- The Summit on Sustainability also acknowledged local individuals and organizations with the Regional Sustainability Awards:
  - Leadership in Sustainability Award <u>Kurt Keljo, Franklin Soil & Water</u> Conservation District
  - Collaborative Achievement in Sustainability Award <u>Downtown C-Pass</u>
  - Leadership in Mobility Award Catherine Girves, Yay Bikes!

#### Membership

MORPC produced 70 "Return on Investment" sheets with a compilation of highlights of the year's achievements to send to each member agency.

#### Summer Internship Program

A postcard to promote the Local Government Summer Internship Program is in production.

MORPC hosted a booth at the OSU Knowlton Career & Internship Fair to inform students about MORPC. MORPC and the OU Voinovich School of Public Affairs in Athens are partnering in the Summer Internship Program. Parts of the discussion at OU included cooperative partnerships with our Planning & Sustainability Team by engaging subject matter experts, aligning potential studio work, capstone projects and internships for students.

#### See attachment for more MORPC Membership News

#### **Transportation & Infrastructure Development**

Thea Walsh - twalsh@morpc.org

#### Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)

Team Members are working to develop quantitative project level data for the purpose of applying adopted evaluation criteria. This will allow Team Members to review each candidate MTP project to determine which projects will best help advance regional goals and objectives.

To determine which projects are cost feasible, Team Members are developing cost estimates for each project. Expected revenues available for transportation through 2050 are also being forecasted. Project evaluation and fiscal balance analysis are key determinants in creating the draft list of projects to be included in the MTP. Team Members are working to develop the draft project list and make it available for public comment in November.

#### Transit, Mobility & Human Services

Throughout September and October MORPC Team Members have been working with 5310 subrecipients on any invoicing issues they may have had. Team Members will continue to assist these stakeholders through the reimbursement process as needed. The 5310 application for next cycle will be ready for upload to the Transit section of MORPC's website in early November.

MORPC Team Members scheduled a December informational meeting regarding the 5310 application process and will be working with DCTB to schedule a similar meeting in Delaware County.

#### Gohio

Gohio Team Members and the CoGo Bike Share Advisory Group discussed the bike share's ridership and use of stations installed in the last year. The group discussed the coming electric bikes and potential locations for new stations. CoGo Bike Share and Lyft TDM campaigns were also discussed in relation to the Commuter Challenge and other partnership opportunities.

Gohio Team Members and the Central Ohio Mode Shift Coalition discussed a new strategy for regional outreach and education for sustainable transportation. The concept includes experiential based programming, tactical urbanism demonstrations, and opportunities for public participation. The group also discussed COTA's Mobility Innovation Test and the Mobility Hubs coming late winter/early spring.

Gohio Team Members reviewed past Commuter Challenges to understand how to improve the program for the future. This information was also reviewed by external partners. Next steps are to have a 2020 Strategizing meeting with external partners to collaborate on innovative TDM campaigns for the coming year.

## Rapid Speed Transportation Initiative (RSTI)

The Environmental Impact Study (EIS Study) consultant completed passenger rail components of the environmental work, including the existing conditions report, passenger rail route alternatives report, and draft purpose and need statement for the passenger rail project. MORPC completed public officials debrief meetings in Lima, Marysville, Kenton and Dublin, between October 7 and October 28. Technical staff debriefs for Smart Columbus and City of Columbus staff will take place in November.

MORPC, the Hyperloop Feasibility Study consultant and Virgin Hyperloop One reached an agreement on the study's economic demand analysis methodology and incorporating revisions to the final reports. The feasibility study was included in the public officials debriefs and the study's key findings were also presented at the Ohio Transportation Engineers Conference (OTEC) on October 30.

# Central Ohio Rural Planning Organization (CORPO)

Governor Mike DeWine officially designated CORPO as Ohio's sixth Rural Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO) on October 9. CORPO and ODOT completed seven CORPO Subcommittee/ODOT Rural Consultation meetings. This is an important first step in the RTIP process.

CORPO staff participated in an informational meeting hosted by First Knox Bank and Knox County on October 22. This meeting updated stakeholders in Knox County on the efforts, projects, and milestones reached by Smart Columbus.

#### MORPC Attributable Funding

The Attributable Funding Committee (AFC) is currently evaluating policy changes and improvements. The committee will consider draft text changes in November, with a goal of finalizing the policies by mid-winter. The next attributable funding round is expected to open at the end of spring 2020.

#### Ohio Public Works Commission (OPWC)

The Public Works Integrating Committee's (PWIC) will review and discuss the 31 applications received at the next meeting on November 15

#### Natural Resources Assistance Council (NRAC)

Clean Ohio Conservation Fund application materials for communities in Franklin County (District 3) are posted on MORPC's website. Preliminary screening applications are due December 13, 2019 and final applications are due March 20, 2020. Awards for the approximately \$3.8 million in funding will be announced April 23, 2020.

## Competitive Advantage Projects (CAP)

In order to advance identified CAP priorities, MORPC has launched an Innovative Funding Strategies Development Activity with Ice Miller LLP. Six primary projects, along with several secondary projects, have been selected to participate in a series of workshops and develop financing strategies. The selected projects are listed below by their workshop and project type:

- Active Transportation
  - Lead Project Central Ohio Greenways Development (Regional)
- Water & Sewer
  - Lead Project Knox Lake Wastewater Improvement (Knox) Secondary Project – Countywide Sanitary Sewer Expansion (Logan)
- Smart Tech & Telecom
  - Lead Project US 33 Smart Corridor Logan Extension (Logan)
- Site Development
  - Lead Project Columbus Crossroads: High/Third Street Commercial Cap (Franklin)
- Highways & Roads I
  - Lead Project Glenn Parkway (Delaware)
  - Secondary Project Ewing Street Connector (Fairfield)
- Highways & Roads II
  - Lead Project I-70 to US 33 Connector (Fairfield)
  - Secondary Project Rickenbacker Area Access (Franklin)

#### Data & Mapping

Aaron Schill, Director - aschill@morpc.org

# Regional Data Advisory Committee (RDAC)

The Data Policy Needs Survey & Toolkit Working Group met twice in October to work on the development of the Data Policy Needs Survey and the Survey Mailing List. The next meeting is October 30.

The Regional Data User Group Working Group met October 22 to discuss the future User Group, focusing on candidates, outreach, meeting format, and a final name. The Group meets again November 21.

The Regional Municipal Fiber Strategy Working Group met October 9 to prepare for a presentation to the Central Ohio Mayors and Managers Association (COMMA) on November 1. The group meets again November 8.

The Sustainability Dashboard Working Group met October 8 for a demonstration of the Sustainability Dashboard which was updated in preparation for the Summit on Sustainability. The Working Group also discussed metrics used in the 2018 Sustainability Report Card and meets again November 5.

# **Smart Region Task Force**

The Smart Region Task Force will meet on November 12. Team Members continue to work on the Smart Region Playbook and the Smart Region Resource Guide, the Task Force's final two deliverables.

#### **Transportation**

MORPC participated in an update call on the Transportation Energy Analytics Dashboard (TEAD). TEAD is funded through the U.S. Department of Energy and is being developed in partnership with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory and the University of Maryland's Center for Advanced Transportation Technology Laboratory.

## Data and Mapping Outreach and Collaboration

The 2020 Population Estimates were presented to and approved by the Commission on October 10.

For the 2020 Census, the Local Government Subcommittee of the Complete Count Committee met October 2 to discuss a presentation for the Central Ohio Mayors and Managers Association (COMMA) on December 6. Subcommittee members also identified talking points for local governments and the use of social media and Census materials for outreach. The Subcommittee meets again November 7.

MORPC is working with the Ohio Census Advocacy Coalition (OCAC) on outreach to encourage full participation in the 2020 Census, with a focus on historically "hard-to-count" populations.

The Smart Columbus Operating System Charter Team and MORPC Team Members held a joint workshop on October 3. The workshop helped Smart Columbus understand data needs and problems faced by local municipalities. The purpose of the workshop was to explore the uses and value of the Smart Columbus Operating System as a regional data platform for public sector agencies in Central Ohio.

Data and Mapping Team Members partnered with ULI to host a two-hour Development Dashboard Use Case Workshop on October 23. The goal of the new dashboard is to gather more timely and complete information on individual development projects, and to better understand larger development trends in the region.

Team Members are working on the Alliance for the American Dream grant from Schmidt Futures. The Alliance for the American Dream is a project designed to reduce inequality and relieve poverty in the United States. There are approximately ten organizations competing for \$25,000 - \$1,000,000 in grants. MORPC Team Members completed the first-round competition on October 19.

#### Planning & Sustainability

Kerstin Carr, Director - kcarr@morpc.org

# Active Transportation Working Group (ATWG)

Team Members secured a free Active Transportation Academy workshop through the Ohio Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) that will be hosted at MORPC on December 10. The workshop will cover the topic of "Creating a Vision Zero Action Plan" Interested participants must register in advance through this link: https://www.eventbrite.com/e/77032764071.

The final Active Transportation Working Group meeting for 2019 was October 24. Team Members provided updates to the working group on upcoming training opportunities, the Regional Complete Streets Policy update, the Central Ohio Transportation Safety Plan, and the current status of the MTP – all as they relate to active transportation and how the group can be engaged. The City of Worthington also presented to the group on the development of their recently adopted Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan.

The short duration counts for the trail count monitoring program were completed at the end of September/early October. The data collected from the short duration counts will be used to factor into the annual trail usage data for 2019.

Team Members reviewed Complete Streets initial design documents for the Agler Road bridge project and the feasibility report and proposed alternatives for the Cassady Avenue widening project. Recommendations were made for consideration of minor improvements that would enhance the complete streets elements of each project.

A steering committee is being established for an update to the Regional Complete Streets Policy and the first meeting is November 13.

#### insight2050

Team Members presented the results of Corridor Concepts to about 40 attendees of the October WTS luncheon.

The fall insight2050 academy was postponed to spring 2020 and will coincide with the roll-out of the Regional Housing Strategy. A half-day housing and insight2050 workshop will take place on Tuesday, December 10. More details and a link to register will be provided in early November.

The Technical Assistance Program (TA Program) received six applications from five MORPC member communities. MORPC Team Members presented the application scoring criteria to the evaluation committee of nine regional stakeholders from ODOT, OSU, COTA, ODH, and MORPC. The evaluation committee will complete their review next month. MORPC Team Members continue to prepare for TA Program projects to begin in January by coordinating with interdepartmental staff to create report and map templates.

MORPC released a community housing survey designed to gain insights from residents and service providers to help inform the regional landscape scan of existing housing conditions. The survey remains open through November 8.

Project sponsors received a progress report and preview of the next in-person meeting taking place in November. The progress report was shared via a webinar and conference call on October 23. The timeline for this project was modified; a report roll-out originally slated for April will now occur in June, allowing for a more comprehensive review of the local and national housing landscape and more time for input from and engagement with project sponsors,

advisors, and the community. The modified timeline will also allow us to expand on a comprehensive process for local housing action agendas.

#### Transportation Safety

Team Members met with partners, including the Franklin County Safe Communities Coalition and the MORPC Active Transportation Working Group, to discuss and receive feedback on the implementation of the safety plan. The MORPC Team is working to initiate key action items identified in the plan for short-term implementation.

The City of Gahanna passed a resolution recognizing September as Safe Driving Awareness month. This action is in line with the initiative promoted by MORPC encouraging other communities to adopt similar resolutions, as well as local ordinances prohibiting distracted driving.

#### Greenways & Water Resources Program

The Central Ohio Greenways (COG) Board met October 23<sup>rd</sup> to review and advise on Greenways working group actives including:

- COG Trail Development Working Group: The trail development working group has finalized the Greenway Prioritization project scope. The Greenway Prioritization project will rank trail and trail access projects across Franklin County. The project's primary funding partners will be City of Columbus Public Service and Franklin County Engineers, and the project will officially kick off in early November. The group is also collaborating with Metro Parks, City of Westerville, City of Worthington, and City of Columbus to submit an application to JP Morgan Chase's Advancing Cities Grant Program to fund a trail project near the Chase campus on Sancus Road.
- COG Partnership Working Group: The partnership group is working to acquire funding for an "Impacts of Trails" study to look at the benefits of trails on the economy, health, equity, and environment. The group also began work on a Trail Funding Resource Guide to help local governments identify appropriate funding strategies for their trail efforts.
- OCOG Marketing Working Group: The marketing group is developing a "Taste of the Trails" event strategy aimed to activate trail heads along trails and invite people of all backgrounds to experience the trails. The group is also beginning to develop a scope of service for a "Trails2Health" initiative aimed at partnering with the four major health networks in Central Ohio to encourage more use of trails to promote wellness.
- COG Operations and Access Working Group: The operations group asked for guidance from the board on developing a tactical urbanism program that tests urban greenway trail infrastructure. The board was supportive of developing the concept further.

#### Sustainable2050

MORPC Team Members continue to meet with Sustainable2050 members to work through the Tier Status certification process. A modified Tier Status workbook, which facilitates the certification process for non-government members, was provided to associate members.

#### Energy & Air Quality

Air Quality Team Members are working with marketing consultants to finish the Green Giveaway incentive campaign to award the contest prize. Team Members worked with Smart Columbus to help develop their program concept beyond 2020, after the current round of funding.

To support the low-cost air quality monitoring subcommittee, Air Quality Team Members are preparing documentation of low-cost air quality monitoring program strategies and best practices based on successful programs in other regions.

MORPC is currently preparing for the Local Government Energy Partnership (LGEP) Benchmarking Participant Workshop on December 3. This workshop will include additional training as well as one-on-one assistance between the utilities and members.

The LGEP Progress Report was submitted to AEP Ohio. All deliverables were met and program delivery continues through 2020.

The Federal Highway Administration AFC Deployment Plan proposal did not receive funding. There will be an attempt to secure alternative funding for this project.

# Sustainability Advisory Committee (SAC)

Team Members began the process for MORPC to work toward Sustainable2050 tier status certification and invited members of the SAC to provide additional verification to demonstrate that we are following a process consistent with the other certified members. The goal is to document relevant activities and submit the tier status workbook for consideration at the December 18 Sustainability Advisory Committee meeting.

The MORPC Team worked with CURA at OSU to develop a Summit breakout session focused on the value of data and measuring progress toward sustainability goals. The sustainability dashboard was also featured as an example of regional efforts to provide better access to information. The Sustainability Dashboard Working Group, made up of members from the Sustainability Advisory Committee and the Regional Data Advisory Committee, continues to meet on a monthly basis to inform the development of the dashboard. While CURA has been working on the dashboard development and user interface, MORPC has been working to identify the appropriate metrics and data sources.

#### **Residential Services**

Robert Williams - rwilliams@morpc.org

#### Housing

The COCIC program has three homes completed and five more in contract. In the Milo Grogan Area, eleven new projects are in contract or construction. Four projects are complete with all others in some phase of production.

#### Marketing & Outreach / Intake

October 8 was the Weatherization Showcase. Approximately 60-70 people were in attendance including a PUCO Commissioner.

The MORPC Intake Team began a 10-day consultation process with two outside Consultants that focus on process improvement within the intake team, improved customer service, and dealing with change. Recommendations for additional change within the intake team are forthcoming from both consultants.

#### WarmChoice

MORPC's website application tracking was added to the IMS system. This will allow better reporting as to the amount of traffic coming in directly from MORPC.org. Team Members are exploring an alternate path for intake structure in the system.

Residential Services Team Members gave a presentation to Columbus City Code Enforcement. Columbus is excited about partnering with MORPC as a resource to help remedy code violation and red-tagged appliances. Production is increasing – currently 356 inspections and 342 completions. Team Members have met with, and/or spoken to, the contractors to alert them that

there will be a drastic increase in workload in the coming months. Some of the larger companies are being asked to explore the possibility of adding additional crews so that jobs do not stack and instead get completed simultaneously.

HWAP production is steady and ahead of goals.

AEP/EPP production goals are on pace; EPP goals are currently behind.

The CAP60 program is currently being reviewed for future viability within the department.

#### **Operations**

Shawn Hufstedler - shufstedler@morpc.org

# Space Planning Update

Plans are moving along to assess costs and prioritize spending on the space renovations. We are ending the schematic design phase and moving to the design development phase soon.

#### Personnel Updates

The following new Team Members recently started at MORPC:

- Residential Services Temp Channell Broadus began October 7
- Residential Services Temp Kwana Murray began October 7
- Residential Services Temp Rebecca Parker began October 7
- Residential Services Temp Becky Scott began October 7
- Residential Services Temp Melanie Ricks began October 21

MORPC is currently interviewing or in the process of extending offers of employment for the following positions:

- Data & Mapping Senior GIS Specialist
- Data & Mapping Data Analyst
- Residential Services Technician

Requirements for posted positions are available for review on MORPC's website at <a href="http://www.morpc.org/careers/">http://www.morpc.org/careers/</a>.

#### RFP/RFQ Postings

The following RFP's/RFQ's were posted:

- Brownfield Redevelopment Consulting Services (closed October 30)
- RFQ for HVAC Contractor for Residential Energy Program
- RFQ for Insulation Contractor for Residential Energy Program

The full RFP/RFQs are available at <a href="http://www.morpc.org/rfps-rfqs/">http://www.morpc.org/rfps-rfqs/</a>

#### Attachment

#### News Releases and Social Media

- MORPC to present findings of the RSTI studies to Central Ohio
- Notice of October meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Franklin County Transportation Improvement District, Franklin County, Ohio
- Weatherization Showcase
- MORPC Recognizes City of Worthington's Efforts on Sustainability
- MORPC Partners with Franklin County Emergency Management and Homeland Security to Provide Air Quality Alerts
- MORPC Recognizes Outstanding Leaders in Sustainability

Social media and eSource digital content over the month included the Rapid Speed Transportation Initiative, Smart Streets Policy, ODOT Access 2045 Public Meeting, Federal Air Quality Attainment, Air Quality/Franklin County Emergency Management &Homeland Security Partnership, Central Ohio Transportation Safety Plan, Safe Driving Awareness Month, and Competitive Advantage Projects.

## MORPC in the News

MORPC was mentioned in the news approximately 94 times. The press release announcing the RSTI findings generated 85 percent of the mentions in the media with a reach of more than 16 million.

- The Columbus Dispatch interviewed William Murdock on housing.
- Thea Walsh was interviewed on RSTI studies, hyperloop and passenger rail by the following media:
  - Business First
  - Columbus Underground
  - In Fort Wavne
  - Next Pittsburgh
  - o ThisWeek News
  - Pittsburgh Post-Gazette
  - Lima News
  - o WLIO Lima
  - o WOSU
  - Ohio Contractors Association
- Dina Lopez was interviewed by Lima News on RSTI studies.



# Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission Transportation Policy Committee Meeting Minutes

Date: October 10, 2019

Time: 2:10 p.m.

Location: Scioto Conference Room

# **Members Present**

Chris Amorose Groomes Matt Greeson Isaac Robinson Chris Harkness Karen Angelou Lauren Rummel Herb Asher Alex Heckman Roby Schottke Trudy Bartley Michael Schuiling Albert Iosue for Jeff Benton Kent Shafer Don Schonhardt Pat Blayney Erik Janas **David Simmons** Stacey Boumis Steve Kennedy Thom Slack Ron Bullard Kim Maggard Dan Sowry Steve Campbell Glenn Marzluf Ike Stage Carolyn Casper Rory McGuiness Joe Stefanov Mike Compton Bonnie Michael Andy Teater Kevin Vaughn Karl Craven Megan O'Callaghan Kristen Easterday Robert Peters **Christie Ward Brad Ebersole** Eric Richter Nancy White Jennifer Gallagher Cornell Robertson

#### **Commission Members**

Teresa Bemiller

Bill Habig

David Scheffler

Ben Carpenter

Tim Hansley

Andy Ware

David Henry

Terry Emery

Eric Phillips

#### **Associate Members**

Nikki Scarpitti

#### **MORPC Staff Present**

Bernice Cage Bevan Schneck Ciel Klein Kerstin Carr Eileen Leuby Thea Walsh Joe Garrity William Murdock Brandi Whetstone Shawn Hufstedler Shari Saunders Robert Williams Maria Schaper Alex Jokerst Niel Jurist Aaron Schill

#### **Guests Present**

Debbie Ashenhurst, State of Ohio
Department of Veterans Services
Joe Bengoechea, State of Ohio
Department of Veterans Services
Steve Schoeny, City of Upper Arlington
Steve Tugend, Kegler Brown + Hill Ritter
Department of Veterans Services

#### **Meeting Called to Order**

Chair Rory McGuiness called the Transportation Policy Committee Meeting to order at 2:10 p.m.

# **Metropolitan Planning Organization Report**

# • Transportation & Infrastructure Development – Thea Walsh, MORPC Transportation & Infrastructure Development Director

Thea Walsh reported the Transportation Review Advisory Council (TRAC) shared their draft list yesterday and made the following funding recommendations:

- Delaware Point Project \$8 million
- o I-71/Stringtown Road \$10 million
- o Far East Freeway Phase 1 \$74.5 million
- o 33/161/Post Road \$4.25 million
- o Thornwood Area in Licking County \$3 million

#### Planning & Sustainability – Kerstin Carr, MORPC Planning & Sustainability Director

Kerstin Carr reported MORPC is getting ready to review the Complete Streets Policy adopted in 2010. Back then, our policy was a leading policy in the country and many other regions have adopted policies with similar language since then. That said, many design guidelines are evolving, and it is time to review our policy to add items such as green streets/green infrastructure. The review will begin in November and be complete by spring 2020.

# Data & Mapping – Aaron Schill, MORPC Data & Mapping Director

Aaron Schill reported MORPC is still targeting October for the Paving the Way launch. Team Members are working behind the scenes populating projects and inputting users in the system. MORPC hosted five training courses over the last few weeks for staff and consultants. Team Members developed online training resources. Mr. Schill asked each community to identify a primary contact person and send the information via email to <a href="mailto:pavingtheway@morpc.org">pavingtheway@morpc.org</a>.

Census outreach activities are ramping up. Presentations have been made to the League of Women Voters, Gahanna City Council, and Ohio Mayors & Managers. Let Mr. Schill know if you would like a presentation in your community. Behind the scenes work includes updating programs that have been built since last year and collecting building information to get to the Census Bureau.

#### **Transportation Policy Committee Consent Agenda**

Bonnie Michael made a motion to approve the Transportation Policy Committee Consent Agenda, second by Alex Heckman; motion passed.

# <u>2020-2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Update</u> – Thea Walsh, MORPC Transportation & Infrastructure Director

## • 2020-2050 Fiscal Constraint

Thea Walsh shared the <u>2020-2050 MTP Fiscal Balance & Strategy Development</u>. Fiscal balance is required on 20-year plans. This is a 30-year plan. Fiscal balance compares the forecast of available resources versus the project implementation costs. Available resources include federal, state, local and private funding.

MORPC Team Members are beginning to develop strategies to meet goals for each target area in the MTP. A draft list of strategies will be complete in the fall. Local

Transportation Policy Committee Meeting October 10, 2019 Page 3

government and community presentations begin this winter. The MTP will be presented for adoption in May 2020. Maria Schaper is the MORPC Team Lead for the MTP.

The Transportation Policy Committee Meeting adjourned at 2:22 p.m.

Erik J. Janas, Secretary

Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission



# Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission Commission Meeting Minutes

Date: October 10, 2019

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Location: Scioto Conference Room

# **Commission Members**

**Chris Amorose Groomes** Eric Richter Jennifer Gallagher Karen Angelou Matt Greeson Cornell Robertson Herb Asher Bill Habia Lauren Rummel Trudy Bartley Tim Hansley **David Scheffler** Teresa Bemiller Alex Heckman Roby Schottke Michael Schuiling Jeff Benton David Henry Kent Shafer Pat Blayney Albert Iosue for Stacev Boumis Don Schonhardt **David Simmons** Ron Bullard Frik Janas Ike Stage Steve Campbell Steve Kennedy Joe Stefanov Ben Carpenter Kim Maggard Andy Teater Carolyn Casper Glenn Marzluf Kevin Vaughn Thom Collier Christie Ward Rory McGuiness Mike Compton Bonnie Michael Andy Ware Megan O'Callaghan Karl Craven Nancy White Robert Peters **Brad Ebersole** 

#### **Policy Committee Members**

Kristen Easterday Isaac Robinson Dan Sowry
Chris Harkness Thom Slack

Eric Phillips

#### **Associate Members**

Nikki Scarpitti

Terry Emery

#### MORPC Staff

Bernice Cage Ciel Klein Bevan Schneck
Kerstin Carr Eileen Leuby Thea Walsh
Joe Garrity William Murdock Brandi Whetstone
Shawn Hufstedler Shari Saunders Robert Williams
Alex Jokerst Maria Schaper

**Aaron Schill** 

#### **Guests Present**

Niel Jurist

Debbie Ashenhurst, State of Ohio
Department of Veterans Services
Joe Bengoechea, State of Ohio
Department of Veterans Services
Ryan Griffin, State of Ohio
Department of Veterans Services

Amy Harman, One Columbus
Loudan Klein, Fairfield County
Kenny McDonald, One Columbus
Steve Schoeny, City of Upper Arlington
Steve Tugend, Kegler Brown + Hill Ritter
Department of Veterans Services

# Meeting Called to Order - Rory McGuiness (City of Columbus), MORPC Chair

Chair Rory McGuiness called the Commission meeting to order at 1:32 p.m. followed by the pledge of allegiance. Chair McGuiness congratulated Chris Harkness on the birth of his fifth child.

Eileen Leuby introduced guests: Joe Bengoeche and Ryan Griffin from the Ohio Department of Veterans Services, and Andy Harman from One Columbus.

# <u>One MORPC One Voice: Ohio Department of Veterans Services</u> – Debbie Ashenhurst, Director of the Ohio Department of Veterans Services

General Ashenhurst shared that Central Ohio represents almost 140,000 veterans. There are 800,000 veterans in Ohio. It is important to know who your veterans are. They bring in over a billion dollars to the state. Every veteran that registers with the Veterans Administration brings in a couple thousand dollars. This money goes to infrastructure in the State of Ohio. General Ashenurst urged members to encourage the veterans in their communities to register at their Veteran County Service Office.

The Ohio Department of Veterans Services has a Workforce Team that will work with companies that want to hire veterans. The Team helps businesses reach, understand and retain veterans. Veterans are great employees – they are loyal, disciplined, on time, have a great work ethic, etc. There are two veterans homes in Ohio for those beyond the help of their families.

The Ohio Veterans Hall of Fame recognizes veterans that continue to serve in their community and the state. Up to 20 veterans are inducted each year. To nominate a veteran in your community, visit <a href="OhioVets.gov">OhioVets.gov</a>.

If you can think of something to make Ohio more attractive to bring veterans back to Ohio, reach out and let General Ashenhurst know.

# <u>Community Leader Spotlight: One Columbus</u> – Kenny McDonald, One Columbus President and Chief Executive Officer

Kenny McDonald thanked MORPC. It has been 10 years since he moved to Columbus and started Columbus 2020. MORPC was instrumental in getting Columbus 2020 started, which is in a good position going into the next decade. Columbus 2020 is focused on growth and moving forward. It took an enormous amount of cooperation in Central Ohio to get here.

Mr. McDonald provided copies of the <u>One Columbus Strategy</u>. The vision of One Columbus is to be the most prosperous region in the United States. We have a unique ability to attain that goal not only economically but moving forward with social factors as well. To reach that vision, Central Ohio needs to do four things over the next decade:

- 1. Sustain our economic momentum
- 2. Develop, inspire and attract a talented, adaptive and diverse workforce
- 3. Enable the innovation capacity
- 4. Increase global identify and connectivity

Page 22 of the strategy presents the plan. It is important to take care of the businesses we currently have. We brought in 20 or 30 new employers each year over the last decade. One Columbus is predicting 10-15 percent more work over the next decade. The last decade was the greatest period of economic growth in the region's history.

Mr. McDonald expressed his desire to speak to as many members individually as possible. Email Mr. McDonald to set-up a meeting.

Mr. McDonald was asked if One Columbus is addressing the economic equality/equal housing issue. One Columbus has a powerful platform to talk about why we win and lose. A lot of our

clients are now asking about our future capacity. One Columbus provides a client perspective and can be the voice for the market. Great people are working on these problems. Talking about them from an economic development view helps move the conversation forward.

#### **Executive Director's Report** – William Murdock, MORPC Executive Director

William Murdock thanked the speakers. One Columbus and MORPC are hand in hand on many issues. MORPC would not be as effective without their partnership. Mr. Murdock appreciates what General Ashenurst is doing for veterans across the state. Veterans are part of MORPC's diversity and inclusion strategy.

MORPC's Diversity & Inclusion Strategy was finalized last week. The plan will be posted on MORPC's website next week. The work force recommendations were incorporated in the plan.

Mr. Murdock congratulated Historic Downtown Delaware, the German Village and the Short North for being recognized as Great Places in America by the American Planning Association (APA).

MORPC was recognized at the Ohio APA Conference for insight2050 corridor concepts.

MORPC continues to push transportation safety efforts and needs members' help in getting hands-free legislation enacted.

The annual Return on Investment (ROI) information is being sent soon. This information was fine tuned to reflect the appropriate percentage of value each member receives on their eligible benefits. The new calculation is a more accurate and comprehensive approach to show MORPC members the value for their membership.

October is Energy Awareness Month. MORPC offers energy efficiency services in Franklin County. Our Local Government Energy Partnership is available to MORPC members and offers free energy benchmarking tools to help monitor real time energy usage and look for ways to reduce cost and be more sustainable.

Fifty-six people attended this year's Weatherization Showcase. Each year one of MORPC's weatherization clients hosts the event that showcases real people and real stories. MORPC Team Members led tours through the client's home showing the energy efficiency upgrades made to the home.

Applications to request local government interns were released this month. MORPC's Local Government Summer Intern Program matches students who want to work in government with the needs of our local governments. It is a low-cost, high-impact program.

MORPC is hosting an Access Ohio Public Meeting on Tuesday, October 22.

Cities Better Lived is a short film series celebrating Columbus Design Week. MORPC partnered with planning professionals for the special film night on Monday, October 28. The event includes a reception, viewing of several 5- to 10-minute films, and a panel discussion featuring Kerstin Carr. Registration is required for the free event.

The Regional Collaboration Meeting for the Southeast Area is December 3, 9-10:30 a.m. at the Wigwam Conference Room in Violet Township.

Five hundred, sixty-five people are registered for the October 11 Summit on Sustainability. This year's theme is resiliency.

Mr. Murdock recognized Bernice Cage who is about to retire after 31 years at MORPC. Ms. Cage began working for MORPC when Bill Habig was director and worked with many of the attendees present through the years. Ms. Cage shared she is most proud that her work made a difference in Central Ohio. On behalf of the Commission, Chair McGuiness thanked Ms. Cage for her service to the region.

# <u>Proposed Resolution 16-19:</u> "Establishing population estimates as a basis for 2020 annual participation fees to be assessed members" – Aaron Schill, MORPC Data & Mapping Director

Aaron Schill noted highlights from the 2020 population estimates. There are almost 2 million people in MORPC member communities. There are about 2.41 million people in MORPC's 15-county region. The 2019-2020 growth was a little slower than 2018-2019. Last year's estimate was slightly high. It is better to look at trends rather than year to year. Looking at the last three to five years, the region is still experiencing strong growth. The City of Columbus is the largest member city with 915,000. The City of Dublin is the second largest with over 50,000. The Cities of Delaware and Westerville are both over 40,000 and each will gain an additional representative on the Commission. MORPC is now gathering detailed information for the several counties that joined the Commission this year.

Kim Maggard made a motion to approve Resolution 16-19, a second was made; motion passed.

Chair McGuiness welcomed new Upper Arlington City Manager Steve Schoeny.

#### **Committees**

<u>Transportation Policy Committee</u> – Rory McGuiness (City of Columbus), MORPC Chair Chair McGuiness called the Transportation Policy Committee Session to order at 2:10 p.m. The session adjourned at 2:22 p.m. Separate minutes are attached.

# <u>Sustainability Advisory Committee</u> – Mike Compton (City of Pataskala), Sustainability Advisory Committee Vice Chair

Mike Compton presented the <u>Sustainability Advisory Committee Report</u>. In September the Sustainability Advisory Committee approved a proposal to restructure the Water Resources Working Group to the Sustaining Scioto Board. The restructure reflects the focus on the Sustaining Scioto Adaptive Management Plan which identified short-term and long-term strategies. One of the short-term strategies was to create a formal leadership body, similar to the Central Ohio Greenways Board. The new board will kick-off in January. Let Rachael Beeman (MORPC Team Lead) or Brandi Whetstone (MORPC Sustainability Officer) know if you are interested in serving on the board.

Mike Compton and Kerstin Carr recognized the City of Worthington for being certified as Platinum for Sustainable2050. Tier Status is determined by a combination of completing three mandatory activities, completion of one or more eligible activities, and carrying out the eligible activities across one or more categories.

# <u>Regional Policy Roundtable</u> – Kim Maggard (City of Whitehall), Regional Policy Roundtable Chair

Kim Maggard presented the Regional Policy Roundtable Update. The Regional Policy Roundtable held a special meeting regarding HB 163 which creates a process for withholding local government funds from municipalities. Steve Tugend and Andy Shifflette from Kegler Brown Hill + Ritter provided insight and the possible economic impact on the region. MORPC will not take a stance on the bill but will continue to monitor it. The next Regional Policy Roundtable meeting is October 29 at MORPC.

 <u>Legislative Update</u> – Joe Garrity, MORPC Government Affairs Director and Steve Tugend, Kegler Brown Hill + Ritter

Steve Tugend and Joe Garrity gave the legislative update highlighting the following:

- o HB 288 Eminent Domain Recreational Trails
- o HB 202 Electric Vehicle Study Committee
- HB 264 Water Loan Refinancing
- State Capital Budget

See the October 2019 Monthly Legislative Update for other legislative news.

# **Commission Consent Agenda**

The meeting adjourned at 2:40 p.m.

Roby Schottke made a motion to approve the Commission Consent Agenda, second by Joe Stefanov; motion passed.

### **Other Business**

William Murdock reminded members that this year's recommended trick-or-treat date is October 31

Erik J. Janas, Secretary	
Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Comm	ission



111 Liberty Street, Suite 100 Columbus, Ohio 43215 morpc.org T. 614. 228.2663 TTY. 1.800.750.0750 info@morpc.org

#### Memorandum

TO: Mid-Ohio Regional Planning Commission

**Executive Committee** 

Officers and Board Members

FROM: Aaron Schill, Director

Data & Mapping

DATE: November 8, 2019

SUBJECT: Proposed Resolution 17-19: "Revising established population estimates as a

basis for 2020 annual participation fees to be assessed members"

The attached resolution provides a revision to the population estimates for January 1, 2020, previously adopted by Resolution 16-19, for the purpose of assessing participation fees from member jurisdictions.

On October 22, 2019, MORPC's population estimate for Knox County was called into question, which prompted a thorough review of the estimate for that member, as is done whenever a member estimate is questioned. Upon identifying an error in the calculation of Knox County's estimate, all other member estimates were also reviewed and errors for Hocking and Logan Counties were also identified. After confirming the accuracy of the source data and identifying and correcting the calculation errors, revisions were made to the 2020 population estimates, attached to Resolution 17-19 as "2020 Population Estimates and Dues Assessment of MORPC Members (Revised)."

The following text from the Resolution 16-19 memo is provided for reference:

Six new members (City of Bellefontaine, Fairfield County, Logan County, Morrow County, Truro Township, Washington Township [Delaware, Franklin, and Union counties]) were added to MORPC in 2019. The member participation fees ("dues") are calculated using the rates adopted in Resolution 10-19.

Included with the proposed resolution is a detailed list of the 2020 population estimates, member dues, and Commission representation for each MORPC member. Dues and Commission representation information from the prior year and population information for the past two years are included for each member in the detailed list for reference.

After consultation with the Delaware County Regional Planning Commission, MORPC decided to use that organization's population estimates for all MORPC member communities located entirely within Delaware County.

Attachment: Resolution 17-19

#### **RESOLUTION 17-19**

# "Revising established population estimates as a basis for 2020 annual participation fees to be assessed members"

WHEREAS, MORPC staff has developed January 1, 2020 population estimates to provide a basis for local dues assessments, as per the Articles of Agreement; and

WHEREAS, 2020 participation fees are assessed at a rate of \$0.575 per capita for members within the federally declared Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Study Area boundaries of MORPC, \$0.48 per capita for members within the Central Ohio Rural Planning Organization (CORPO) boundaries, and \$0.38 for members not located within the MPO or CORPO areas, in accordance with Resolution 10-19; and

WHEREAS, \$0.07 per capita is earmarked exclusively for the MORPC building/reserve, in accordance with Resolution 10-19; and

WHEREAS, errors were identified in the population estimates for three county members (Hocking, Knox, and Logan); and

WHEREAS, the population estimate for each MORPC member jurisdiction was reviewed and no other errors were identified, and representatives of the three affected counties have been notified in advance; and

WHEREAS, Attachment 1 sets forth the revised population and dues assessments for MORPC members; now therefore,

## BE IT RESOLVED BY THE MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION:

- Section 1. That this resolution updates and replaces resolution 16-19, passed on October 10, 2019.
- Section 2. That the revised January 1, 2020 population estimates, as documented in the Attachment, are hereby adopted for the purpose of assessing 2020 participation fees, as listed in the Attachment.
- Section 3. That the executive director is authorized to take such other action and execute and deliver such other documents as, acting with the advice of legal counsel, he shall deem necessary and appropriate to carry out the intent of this resolution.
- Section 4. That this Commission finds and determines that all formal deliberations and actions of this Commission concerning and relating to the adoption of this resolution were taken in open meetings of this Commission.

Rory McGuiness, Chair
MID-OHIO REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Resolution 17-19 Page 2

Effective date: November 14, 2019

Submitted by: Aaron Schill, Director, Data & Mapping Prepared by: Aaron Schill, Director, Data & Mapping Authority: Ohio Revised Code Section 713.21

For action date: November 14, 2019

Attachment(s): 2020 Population Estimates and Dues Assessment of MORPC Members

(Revised)

# 2020 Member Population Estimates and Dues Statement

		Government	2010 Census	2020	2019	2018	Change in MORPC Population Estimates:	Change in MORPC Population Estimates:	Member				Change in Dues:	2020 2019	2020	2019
Place Name	County	Type	Household Population	Population		Population	2019 -2020	2018-2019	Type	202	20 Dues	2019 Dues	2018-2019	Dues Rate Dues Rate		Representatives
CITY MEMBERS		. , , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· openation	. opaia (a)		20.0 2020	2010 2010	. )   0			20.002000	20.0 20.0		. top: ocomunity	. top: ocomunity
Bellefontaine	Logan	City	13,370	13,174	b	b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	5,006	b	b	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		2 b
Bexley	Franklin	City	13,057	13,370	13,386	13,262	(16)	) 124	MPO	\$	7,688		\$ \$ 125	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		2 2
Canal Winchester	Fairfield	City	815	1,933	1,739	1,653	194		MPO	\$	1,112			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Canal Winchester	Franklin	City	6,123	7,160	7,114	7,018	46	95	MPO	\$	4,117	\$ 4,019	\$ 98	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Canal Winchester	Total	City	6,938	9,093	8,853	8,671	241	181		\$	5,229	\$ 4,987	\$ 241			1 1
Columbus	Delaware	City	7,245	13,739	13,062	12,792	677	271	MPO	\$	7,900	\$ 7,380	\$ 520	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Columbus	Fairfield	City	9,666	10,037	10,057	9,867	(20)	) 190	MPO	\$	5,771	\$ 5,738	\$ 33	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Columbus	Franklin	City	749,023	890,673	879,456	858,169	11,217	21,287	MPO	\$	512,137	\$ 496,893	·	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Columbus	Total	City	765,934	914,450	902,575	880,828	11,875	21,747		\$	525,809	\$ 510,011	\$ 15,798			15 15
Delaware	Delaware	City	32,712	41,407	39,937	39,214	1,470	723	MPO	\$	23,809	\$ 22,564	\$ 1,245	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		3 2
Dublin	Delaware	City	4,018	4,130	4,123	4,090	7	32	MPO	\$	2,375	\$ 2,329	\$ 46	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Dublin	Franklin	City	35,240	42,473	41,833	40,693	640	1,140	MPO	\$	24,422	\$ 23,636	\$ 786	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Dublin	Union	City	2,366	3,990	3,950	3,717	40		MPO	\$	2,294	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	_	
Dublin	Total	City	41,624	50,593	49,905	48,500	687	1,405		\$	29,091	·				3
Gahanna	Franklin	City	33,077	36,018	36,075	35,708	(57)	) 367	MPO	\$	20,710	·		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		2 2
Grandview Heights	Franklin	City	6,536	8,559	8,483	7,850	77	632	MPO	\$	4,922	•		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		1 1
Grove City	Franklin	City	35,293	42,766	42,400	41,495	366		MPO	\$	24,591			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		3
Groveport	Franklin	City	5,363	5,860	5,867	5,793	(8)		MPO	\$	3,369	\$ 3,315		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		1 1
Hilliard	Franklin	City	28,280	38,244	38,106	37,063	138		MPO	\$	,	\$ 21,530		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		2 2
Lancaster	Fairfield	City	38,780	40,561	40,556	40,391	5	165	Non-MPO	\$	15,413	•		\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		3
Marysville	Union	City	19,162	24,505	24,224	23,559	281		Non-MPO	\$	9,312	•		\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		2 2
New Albany	Franklin	City	7,701	10,969	10,888	10,680	81	208	MPO	\$	6,307			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
New Albany	Licking	City	23	11	9	23	2	(14)	Non-MPO	\$	4	•	\$ 1	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		•
New Albany Total	Total	City	7,724	10,980	10,897	10,703	83	194	MBO	\$	6,311	·		A 0.575 A 0.505		2 2
Pataskala	Licking	City	14,871	18,426	17,565	17,175	861		MPO	\$	10,595					2 2
Pickerington	Fairfield	City	18,122	22,995	22,135	21,119	860		MPO	\$	13,222			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Pickerington	Franklin	City	86	101	101	101	(0)		MPO	\$	58		\$ 1	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		n n
Pickerington Powell	Total Delaware	City	18,208 11,500	23,096 14,613	22,236 14,397	21,220 14,238	859 216		MPO	\$ \$	13,280 8,402			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		2 2 2
Reynoldsburg	Fairfield	City City	910	925	•	931			MPO	\$	532			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		2
Reynoldsburg	Franklin	City	26,132	27,206	27,179	26,787	(4) 27	) (2) 392	MPO	\$ \$	15,643			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Reynoldsburg	Licking	City	8,826	9,519	9,454	9,416	64	38	MPO	φ \$	5,473			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Reynoldsburg	Total	City	35,868	37,650	37,563	37,134	87	429	IVII O	\$	21,649			ψ 0.0/0 ψ 0.000		2 2
Upper Arlington	Franklin	City	33,517	35,542	35,555	35,164	(13)		MPO	\$	20,437	•		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		2 2
Westerville	Delaware	City	7,632	9,977	9,225	8,894	752		MPO	\$	5,737			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		L
Westerville	Franklin	City	26,803	30,679	30,730	29,694	(51)		MPO	\$	17,640			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Westerville	Total	City	34,435	40,656	39,955	38,588	701			\$	23,377					3 2
Whitehall	Franklin	City	18,046	19,406	18,531	18,365	875		MPO	\$	11,158			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		2 2
Worthington	Franklin	City	13,364	14,509	14,440	14,285	69		MP0	\$	8,343					2 2
. rorumigton		- City		1 4,000	1 15 7 70	1 1,200				Ψ	0,040	0,100	Ψ 104	Ψ 0.010 Ψ 0.000		
VILLAGE MEMBERS																
Galena	Delaware	Village	653	865	823	799	42	24	MPO	\$	1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		1 1
Shawnee Hills	Delaware	Village	681	808		800	3		MPO	\$	1,000			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		1

							Change in	Change in								
							MORPC	MORPC								
							Population	Population					Change in			
Diago Massa	Onwetw	Government	2010 Census	2020	2019	2018	Estimates:	Estimates:	Member	0000	0 D	0040 D	Dues:	2020 2019	2020	2019
Place Name Sunbury	County Delaware	Type Village	Household Population 4,385	Population 6,028	Population (d) 5,452	Population 5,219	2019 -2020 576	2018-2019	Type MPO	\$	0 Dues 3,466	2019 Dues \$ 3,080	2018-2019 \$ 386	Dues Rate Dues Rate \$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	Representatives	Representatives
Lithopolis	Fairfield	Village	1,074	1,600	1,473	1,424	127	49	MPO	φ \$	920					I -
Lithopolis	Franklin	Village	32	68	68	67	(0)		MPO	\$	39		\$ 1	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Lithopolis	Total	Village	1,106	1,668	1,541	1,491	127	50		\$	1,000			ψ 0.010 ψ 0.000	1	1
Lockbourne	Franklin	Village	237	276	276	241	(0)		MPO	\$	1,000			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	1	1
Marble Cliff	Franklin	Village	573	586	587	582	(1)		MPO	\$	1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	1	1
Minerva Park	Franklin	Village	1,272	1,554	1,557	1,357	(3)		MPO	\$	1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ -	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	1	1
Obetz	Franklin	Village	4,532	5,339	5,226	5,063	113	164	MPO	\$	3,070	\$ 2,953	\$ 117	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	1	1
Riverlea	Franklin	Village	545	568	569	564	(1)		MPO	\$	1,000			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	1	1
Urbancrest	Franklin	Village	960	989	991	980	(2)		MPO	\$	1,000			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	1	1
Johnstown	Licking	Village	4,577	5,491	5,390	5,340	101	50	Non-MPO	\$	2,087	•			1	1
Somerset	Perry	Village	1,418	1,514	1,517	1,517	(3)		Non-MPO	\$	1,000	·		\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370	1	1
Ashville	Pickaway	Village	4,097	4,104	4,088	4,114	17	(26)	Non-MPO	\$	1,560					1
Plain City Plain City	Madison Union	Village Village	3,397 828	3,845 778	3,672 787	3,383 783	173 (10)		Non-MPO Non-MPO	\$ \$	1,461 296	\$ 1,359 \$ 291		\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Plain City	Total	Village	4,225	4,623	4,460	4,165	163		INUIT-IVIT U	φ \$	1,757				1	1
Tiam Oity	Total	Village	7,220	4,020	7,700	٦,١٥٥	100	204		Ψ	1,707	Ψ 1,000	ψ 107			I .
TOWNSHIP MEMB	BERS															
Liberty	Delaware	Township	14,581	17,677	17,334	16,894	343	440	MPO	\$	10,164	\$ 9,794	\$ 370	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	2	2
Bloom	Fairfield	Township	7,016	7,601	7,544	7,494	57	50	MPO	\$	4,371	\$ 4,307	\$ 64	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	1	1
Violet	Fairfield	Township	18,904	20,429	20,357	20,240	72	117	MPO	\$	11,746	\$ 11,605	\$ 141	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	2	2
Blendon	Franklin	Township	7,793	8,055	8,054	7,968	2	86	MPO	\$	4,632				1	1
Clinton	Franklin	Township	4,104	4,221	4,226	4,188	(5)		MPO	\$	2,427	•			1	1
Franklin	Franklin	Township	9,581	9,887	9,905	9,813	(17)		MPO	\$	5,685	· ·			1	1
Jefferson	Franklin	Township	10,234	13,281	12,612	12,124	669	488	MPO	\$	7,637				2	2
Madison	Franklin	Township	10,794	11,087	11,101	10,994	(14)		MPO	\$	6,375	•			2	2
Mifflin	Franklin Franklin	Township	2,462	2,531 3,745	2,533	2,510 3,712	(2)		MPO MPO	\$	1,455 2,153					1
Perry Plain	Franklin	Township Township	3,637 2,022	2,267	3,749 2,256	2,233	(4) 11	23	MPO	¢ \$	1,304	· ·				1
Prairie	Franklin	Township	16,498	16,931	16,946	16,770	(16)		MPO	φ \$	9,735					) 2
Truro	Franklin	Township	1,304	1,334	1,336	1,324	(2)		MPO	\$	1,000		h 100	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Washington	Franklin	Township	983	1,018	1,012	1,003	5	9	MPO	\$	1,000		b	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		b
Etna	Licking	Township	8,311	9,942	9,801	9,538	141	263	MPO	\$	5,717		\$ 179			1
Granville	Licking	Township	4,110	4,432	4,375	4,322	57	53	Non-MPO	\$	1,684				1	1
Jerome	Union	Township	4,347	8,173	6,970	6,309	1,202	661	MPO	\$	4,699	\$ 3,938	\$ 761	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	1	1
COUNTY MEMBER	RS															
Delaware County T	- Fotal		171,846	214,426	205,434	203,991	8,991	1,444		\$	79,899	\$ 76,146	\$ 3,753		7	, 7
City Total (10% por			63,107	83,857	78,514	79,228	5,343	(714)		\$	4,822					
Columbus	Delaware	City	7,245	13,739	13,062	12,792	677	271	MPO	\$	790					
Delaware	Delaware	City	32,712	41,397	37,867	39,214	3,530	(1,347)	MPO	\$	2,380			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Dublin	Delaware	City	4,018	4,130	4,123	4,090	7	32	MPO	\$	237	\$ 233	\$ 5	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Powell	Delaware	City	11,500	14,613	14,397	14,238	216		MPO	\$	840					
Westerville	Delaware	City	7,632	9,977	9,065	8,894	912		MPO	\$	574	•				
Village Total (100%			7,678	10,092	9,397	9,063	695			\$	5,803					
Ashley	Delaware	Village	1,316	1,347	1,333	1,345	14	(12)	MPO	\$	775					
Galena	Delaware	Village	653	865	823	799	42	24	MPO	\$	497	\$ 465	\$ 32	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		

							Change in MORPC	Change in MORPC									
							Population	Population					Change in				
		Government	2010 Census	2020	2019	2018	Estimates:	Estimates:	Member				Dues:	2020	2019	2020	2019
Place Name	County	Type	Household Population	Population	Population (d)	•		2018-2019	Туре	2020			2018-2019	Dues Rate		Representatives	Representatives
Ostrander	Delaware	Village	643	1,044	985	900	59	85	MPO	\$	600			\$ 0.575	•		
Shawnee Hills	Delaware	Village	681	808	805	800	3	5	MPO	\$	465		\$ 10	\$ 0.575			
Sunbury Township Total (100%	Delaware	Village	4,385 101,061	6,028 120,477	5,452 117,523	5,219 115,700	576 2,954	233 1,823	MPO	\$ \$	3,466 69,274			\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Berkshire	Delaware	Township	2,428	4,212	3,498	3,296	714	202	MPO	 \$	2,422	•	•	\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Berlin	Delaware	Township	6,496	7,825	7,622	7,419	203	203	MPO	\$	4,499	•			\$ 0.565		
Brown	Delaware	Township	1,416	1,517	1,502	1,496	15	6	MPO	\$	872				\$ 0.565		
Concord	Delaware	Township	9,145	11,540	10,917	10,749	623	168	MPO	\$	6,636				\$ 0.565		
Delaware	Delaware	Township	1,964	2,141	2,100	2,084	41	16	MPO	\$	1,231			\$ 0.575			
Genoa	Delaware	Township	23,090	26,119	25,935	25,718	184	217	MPO	\$	15,018	•		•	\$ 0.565		
Harlem	Delaware	Township	3,953	4,477	4,389	4,272	88	117	MPO	\$	2,574			\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Kingston	Delaware	Township	2,156	2,431	2,351	2,299	80	52	MPO	\$	1,398	\$ 1,328	\$ 70	\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Liberty	Delaware	Township	14,581	17,677	17,334	16,894	343	440	MPO	\$	10,164	\$ 9,794	\$ 370	\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Marlboro	Delaware	Township	281	303	296	291	7	5	MPO	\$	174	\$ 167	\$ 7	\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Orange	Delaware	Township	23,762	29,452	29,098	28,703	354	395	MPO	\$	16,935	\$ 16,440	\$ 495	\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Oxford	Delaware	Township	987	1,034	1,018	1,013	16	5	MPO	\$	595		· ·		\$ 0.565		
Porter	Delaware	Township	1,923	2,195	2,155	2,116	40	39	MPO	\$	1,262	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ 45		\$ 0.565		
Radnor	Delaware	Township	1,540	1,651	1,642	1,622	9	20	MPO	\$	949		\$ 22		\$ 0.565		
Scioto	Delaware	Township	2,350	2,635	2,592	2,542	43	50	MPO	\$	1,515			\$ 0.575			
Thompson	Delaware	Township	684	727	725	720	2	5	MPO	\$	418			\$ 0.575			
Trenton	Delaware	Township	2,190	2,333	2,159	2,277	174	(118)	MPO	\$	1,341	•			\$ 0.565		
Troy	Delaware	Township	2,115	2,208	2,193	2,189	15	4	MPO	\$	1,270	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Fairfield County Total	Carallar N		146,156	157,850		b	b	b		\$	45,897		b			<b>5</b>	5 b
City Total (10% popula	,	C:t.	68,376	76,451		b	b	b	MDO	\$	4,011		b	ф О <i>Е</i> 7Е	ф О <i>ЕСЕ</i>		
Canal Winchester Columbus	Fairfield Fairfield	City City	815 9,666	1,933 10,037		b b	b b	D b	MPO MPO	\$ \$	111 577		b h		\$ 0.565 \$ 0.565		
Lancaster	Fairfield	City	38,780	40,561		b	b	b	CORPO	\$ \$	1,947		b		\$ 0.303		
Pickerington	Fairfield	City	18,205	22,995		b	h	h	MPO	\$	1,322		h		\$ 0.565		
Reynoldsburg	Fairfield	City	910	925		h	b	h	MPO	\$	53		b		\$ 0.565		
Village Total (100% po		Oity .	10,771	11,338		b	b	b	1411 0	\$	5,595		b	φ 0.070	Ψ 0.000		
Amanda	Fairfield	Village	737	737		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	354		b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470		
Baltimore	Fairfield	Village	2,966	2,976		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,429		b		\$ 0.470		
Bremen	Fairfield	Village	1,425	1,428		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	685		b		\$ 0.470		
Buckeye Lake	Fairfield	Village	15	15		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	7		b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470		
Carroll	Fairfield	Village	524	547	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	263	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470		
Lithopolis	Fairfield	Village	1,074	1,600	b	b	b	b	MPO	\$	920	b	b	\$ 0.575	\$ 0.565		
Millersport	Fairfield	Village	1,044	1,052	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	505	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470		
Pleasantville	Fairfield	Village	960	953		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	457	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470		
Rushville	Fairfield	Village	302	300		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	144		b		\$ 0.470		
Stoutsville	Fairfield	Village	560	571		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	274		b	\$ 0.480	•		
Sugar Grove	Fairfield	Village	426	425		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	204		b		\$ 0.470		
Tarlton	Fairfield	Village	-	-		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	-	-	b		\$ 0.470		
Thurston	Fairfield	Village	604	602		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	289		b		\$ 0.470		
West Rushville	Fairfield	Village	134	133		D	b	D	CORPO	\$	64		D	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470		
Township Total (100%	• •	•	67,009	70,061		b	_	b	CORPO	\$	36,292		b	¢ 0.400	¢ 0.470		
Amanda Berne	Fairfield Fairfield	Township Township	1,969 4,662	2,059 4,722		b	b b	b h	CORPO	\$ \$	988 2,266		b		\$ 0.470 \$ 0.470		
Bloom	Fairfield Fairfield	Township	4,002 7,028	7,601		b b	b b	b h	MPO	\$ \$	2,200 4,371		b b		\$ 0.470		
DiOOIII	า สมาเษณ	TOWNSHIP	1,020	7,001	S	5	D	J	IVII U	ψ	ا 7,57	<b>U</b>		ψ 0.3/3	ψ 0.000		

							Change in	Change in						
							MORPC Population	MORPC Population					Change in	
		Government	2010 Census	2020	2019	2018	Estimates:	Estimates:	Member				Dues:	2020 2019
Place Name	County	Type	Household Population	Population	. ,	Population	2019 -2020	2018-2019	Type	2020	Dues	2019 Dues	2018-2019	Dues Rate Dues Rate
Clearcreek	Fairfield	Township	3,497	3,572	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,715	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Greenfield	Fairfield	Township	5,027	5,208		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	2,500	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Hocking	Fairfield	Township	4,672	4,772	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	2,290	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Liberty	Fairfield	Township	4,950	5,111	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	2,453	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Madison	Fairfield	Township	1,682	1,741	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	836	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Pleasant	Fairfield	Township	5,512	5,617	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	2,696	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Richland	Fairfield	Township	1,759	1,811	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	869	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Rush Creek	Fairfield	Township	2,468	2,535	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,217	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Violet	Fairfield	Township	18,994	20,429		b	b	b	MPO	\$	11,746		b	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Walnut	Fairfield	Township	4,789	4,883		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	2,344		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470
Franklin County Total			1,163,414	1,332,352	1,318,175	1,288,346	14,176	29,829		\$	132,923			
City Total (10% population	•	0:4	1,061,669	1,223,535	1,210,145	1,182,129	13,390	28,017	MDO	\$	70,353			ф 0.575 ф 0.505
Bexley	Franklin	City	13,057	13,370	13,386	13,262	(16)		MPO	\$	769			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Canal Winchester	Franklin	City	6,286	7,160	7,114	7,018	46	95	MPO	\$	412			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Columbus Dublin	Franklin Franklin	City City	770,122 35,367	890,673 42,473	879,456 41,833	858,169 40,693	11,217 640	21,287	MPO MPO	\$ \$	51,214 2,442	\$ 49,689 \$ 2,364	•	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565 \$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Gahanna	Franklin	•	33,248	36,018	36,075	40,093 35,708		1,140 367	MPO	\$ \$	2,442			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Grandview Heights	Franklin	City City	6,536	8,559	8,483	7,850	(57) 77	632	MPO	ֆ \$	492	\$ 2,038 \$ 479		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Grandview Heights Grove City	Franklin	City	35,575	42,766	42,400	41,495	366		MPO	φ \$	2,459	\$ 2,396	•	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Groveport	Franklin	City	5,363	5,860	5,867	5,793	(8)		MPO	φ \$	337	\$ 2,390		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Hilliard	Franklin	City	28,435	38,244	38,106	37,063	138		MPO	φ \$	2,199	\$ 2,153		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
New Albany	Franklin	City	7,701	10,969	10,888	10,680	81	208	MPO	\$ \$	631	\$ 615		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Pickerington	Franklin	City	86	10,909	10,000	10,000	(0)		MPO	\$	6	\$ 6	\$ 0	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Reynoldsburg	Franklin	City	26,157	27,206	27,179	26,787	27	392	MPO	\$	1,564	*	*	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Upper Arlington	Franklin	City	33,771	35,542	35,555	35,164	(13)		MPO	\$		\$ 2,009		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Westerville	Franklin	City	28,328	30,679	30,730	29,694	(51)		MPO	\$	1,764	· ·	•	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Whitehall	Franklin	City	18,062	19,406	18,531	18,365	875	•	MPO	\$	1,116	•	•	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Worthington	Franklin	City	13,575	14,509	14,440	14,285	69	155	MPO	\$	834	· ·		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Village Total (100% pop		•	9,205	10,458	10,354	9,923	104			\$	6,013	·		, ,
Brice	Franklin	Village	114	117	117	116	(0)	) 1	MPO	\$	67	\$ 66	\$ 1	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Harrisburg	Franklin	Village	320	327	328	325	(1)		MPO	\$	188	\$ 185	\$ 3	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Lithopolis	Franklin	Village	32	68	68	67	(0)	) 1	MPO	\$	39	\$ 38	\$ 1	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Lockbourne	Franklin	Village	237	276	276	241	(0)	35	MPO	\$	158	\$ 156	\$ 2	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Marble Cliff	Franklin	Village	573	586	587	582	(1)	) 5	MPO	\$	337	\$ 332	\$ 5	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Minerva Park	Franklin	Village	1,272	1,554	1,557	1,357	(3)	200	MPO	\$	894	\$ 880	\$ 14	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Obetz	Franklin	Village	4,532	5,339	5,226	5,063	113	164	MPO	\$	3,070	\$ 2,953	\$ 117	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Riverlea	Franklin	Village	545	568	569	564	(1)	) 5	MPO	\$	326	\$ 321	\$ 5	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Urbancrest	Franklin	Village	960	989	991	980	(2)	) 11	MPO	\$	569	\$ 560	\$ 9	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Valleyview	Franklin	Village	620	634	635	630	(1)		MPO	\$	365			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Township Total (100% p	•	,	92,540	98,359	97,676	96,294	683	•		\$	56,556		•	
Blendon	Franklin	Township	7,797	8,055	8,054	7,968	2	86	MPO	\$	4,632	•		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Brown	Franklin	Township	2,249	2,483	2,452	2,390	30	63	MPO	\$	1,427	· ·		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Clinton	Franklin	Township	4,109	4,221	4,226	4,188	(5)		MP0	\$	2,427	•		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Franklin	Franklin	Township	9,651	9,887	9,905	9,813	(17)		MPO	\$	5,685	· ·		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Hamilton	Franklin	Township	4,438	4,554	4,557	4,516	(3)		MPO	\$	2,618	· ·		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Jackson	Franklin	Township	4,073	4,299	4,283	4,236	16	46	MPO	\$	2,472	•		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Jefferson	Franklin	Township	10,234	13,281	12,612	12,124	669		MPO	\$	7,637	· ·		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565
Madison	Franklin	Township	10,795	11,087	11,101	10,994	(14)	107	MPO	\$	6,375	\$ 6,272	\$ 103	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565

2020

2019

Representatives Representatives

11 11

							Change in MORPC	Change in MORPC								
		Cavaranant	2010 Canava	2020	2010	0040	Population	Population	Manahan				Change in	2020 2040	2020	2010
Place Name	County	Government Type	2010 Census  Household Population	2020 Population	2019 Population (d)	2018 Population	Estimates: 2019 -2020	Estimates: 2018-2019	Member Type	202	0 Dues	2019 Dues	Dues: 2018-2019	2020 2019 Dues Rate Dues Rate	2020 Representatives	2019 Representatives
Mifflin	Franklin	Township	2,462	2,531	2,533	2,510	(2		MPO	\$	1,455			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	. top: ocomunities	no procenta area
Norwich	Franklin	Township	3,982	4,118	4,120	4,081	(2		MPO	\$	2,368	·		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Perry	Franklin	Township	3,637	3,745	3,749	3,712	(4		MPO	\$	2,153	\$ 2,118	\$ 35	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Plain	Franklin	Township	2,128	2,267	2,256	2,233	11	23	MPO	\$	1,304	\$ 1,275	\$ 29	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Pleasant	Franklin	Township	6,351	6,644	6,627	6,544	17		MPO	\$	3,820			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Prairie	Franklin	Township	16,498	16,931	16,946	16,770	(16)	•	MPO	\$	9,735			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Sharon	Franklin	Township	1,849	1,904	1,906	1,888	(1		MPO	\$	1,095			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Truro	Franklin	Township	1,304	1,334	1,336	1,324	(2		MPO	\$		\$ 755		\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Washington	Franklin	Township	983	1,018	1,012	1,003	5	_	MPO	\$	585			\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		
Hocking County Total			29,380	28,375	28,586	29,041	(212)	<u> </u>		Φ.	\$8,290(g)				2	2
City Total (10% popul	Hocking	City	7,152 7,152	6,827 6,827	7,042 7,042	7,154 7,154	(215) (215)		Non-MPO	\$ \$	259 259		C	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Logan Village Total (100% p		City	991	960	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7,134 C	C (215)	C (112)	NOII-IVIPO	\$ \$	365		C	\$ 0.300 \$ 0.370		
Buchtel	Hocking	Village	15	15		C	C	C	Non-MPO	\$		C	C	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Laurelville	Hocking	Village	527	510		C	C	C	Non-MPO	\$		C	C	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Murray City	Hocking	Village	449	435		С	С	C	Non-MPO	\$	165		С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Township Total (100%)			21,237	20,588		С	С	С		\$	7,823		С			
Benton	Hocking	Township	803	778	С	С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$	296	С	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Falls	Hocking	Township	5,209	5,046	С	С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$	1,917	С	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Good Hope	Hocking	Township	1,399	1,355	С	С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$	515	С	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Green	Hocking	Township	2,631	2,548	С	С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$	968	C	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Laurel	Hocking	Township	1,166	1,129		С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$	429	C	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Marion	Hocking	Township	2,463	2,386		С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$	907	С	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Perry	Hocking	Township	2,033	1,969		С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$	_	С	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Salt Creek	Hocking	Township	1,210	1,172		С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$		С	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Starr	Hocking	Township	1,560	1,511		С	С	С	Non-MPO	\$		C	С	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Ward Washington	Hocking Hocking	Township Township	1,469 1,294	1,438 1,255		C C	C	C C	Non-MPO Non-MPO	\$ \$	546 477		C	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		
Knox County Total	Hocking	Township	60,921	62,514		b	h	b	INUIT-IVII O	φ	\$21,767(g)		b	φ 0.300 φ 0.370	2	3 b
City Total (10% popul	lation dues)		16,990	17,331		b	b	b	_	\$	832		b			<i>,</i>
Mount Vernon	Knox	City	16,990	17,331		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	832		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Village Total (100% p			8,079	8,257		b	b	b		\$	3,963		b			
Centerburg	Knox	Village	1,773	1,819		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	873		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Danville	Knox	Village	1,044	1,073	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	515	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Fredericktown	Knox	Village	2,493	2,562	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,230		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Gambier	Knox	Village	2,391	2,414		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,159		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Gann	Knox	Village	125	128		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	62		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Martinsburg	Knox	Village	237	244		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	117		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Utica	Knox	Village	16	16		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	8		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Township Total (1009	•	,	35,852	36,926		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	17,724		b	¢ 0.490 ¢ 0.470		
Berlin	Knox	Township	1,738	1,786 1,914		b	D h	b		\$ ¢	858 919		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Brown Butler	Knox Knox	Township Township	1,862 1,171	1,914		b h	b h	b h	CORPO CORPO	φ ¢	919 578		b h	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470 \$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Clay	Knox	Township	1,367	1,405		h	h	h	CORPO	Ψ \$	674		h	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Clinton	Knox	Township	2,826	2,903		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,393		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
College	Knox	Township	340	349		b	b b	b	CORPO	\$	168		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Harrison	Knox	Township	806	828		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	398	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Hilliar	Knox	Township	1,942	1,996		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	958	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
		·	•	•												

							Change in MORPC Population	Change in MORPC Population					Change in		
Diago Nama	0	Government	2010 Census	2020	2019	2018	Estimates:	Estimates:	Member	0000 D.		0040 D	Dues:	2020	2019 Duran Bata
Place Name	County	Type Township	Household Population	Population 5 857	Population (d)	Population	2019 -2020 b	2018-2019 b	Type CORPO	2020 Du	zes 2,812	2019 Dues	2018-2019 b	\$ 0.480	Dues Rate \$ 0.470
Howard Jackson	Knox	Township	5,617 988	5,857 1,016		b	b h	b h	CORPO	Ф	2,012 487		b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Jefferson	Knox Knox	Township	633	651	b	b h	b	b h	CORPO	Φ Φ	312	b b	b h	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Liberty	Knox	Township	1,716	1,764	b	h	h	b	CORPO	φ	847	b	h	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Middlebury	Knox	Township	1,278	1,314	b	h	h	b	CORPO	\$	631	b	h	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Milford	Knox	Township	1,772	1,821	b	h	h	b	CORPO	\$	874	b	h	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Miller	Knox	Township	1,006	1,034	b	h	h	b	CORPO	\$	496	b	h	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Monroe	Knox	Township	2,165	2,220		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,066	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Morgan	Knox	Township	1,069	1,099		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	527	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Morris	Knox	Township	2,049	2,103		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,010	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Pike	Knox	Township	1,532	1,575		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	756	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Pleasant	Knox	Township	1,606	1,651	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	792	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Union	Knox	Township	1,477	1,518	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	729	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Wayne	Knox	Township	892	917		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	440	b	b	\$ 0.480	\$ 0.470
Logan County Total			45,858	45,444	b	b	b	b		\$	12,465(g)	b	b		
City Total (10% popula	ation dues)		13,370	13,174	b	b	b	b		\$	501	b	b		
Bellefontaine	Logan	City	13,370	13,174	b	b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	501	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Village Total (100% po	opulation dues)	)	9,222	8,946	b	b	b	b		\$	3,400	b	b		
Belle Center	Logan	Village	813	785	b	b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	298	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
De Graff	Logan	Village	1,285	1,238	b	b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	471	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Huntsville	Logan	Village	431	426	b	b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	162	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Lakeview	Logan	Village	1,072	1,059		b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	402	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Quincy	Logan	Village	706	680	b	b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	258	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Ridgeway	Logan	Village	112	107	b	b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	41	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Rushsylvania	Logan	Village	516	508	b	b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	193	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Russells Point	Logan	Village	1,391	1,335		b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	507	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Valley Hi	Logan	Village	212	208		b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	79	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
West Liberty	Logan	Village	1,805	1,745		b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	663	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
West Mansfield	Logan	Village	682	666		b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	253	b	b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Zanesfield	Logan	Village	197	189		b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	72		b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Township Total (100%		,	23,266	23,324		b	b	b	N. MPO	\$	8,863		b	Φ 0.000	Φ 0.070
Bloomfield	Logan	Township	430	428		b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	163		b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Bokescreek	Logan	Township	572	569		D	D	D	Non-MPO	\$	216	b	D	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Harrison	Logan	Township	1,633	1,627		D	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	618		b	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Jefferson	Logan	Township	2,051	2,068		D	D	D	Non-MPO	\$ #	786	b	D	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Lake	Logan	Township	632	609		D	D	D	Non-MPO	\$	231	b	D	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Liberty McArthur	Logan	Township	1,240 1,585	1,223 1,570		b	D b	b b	Non-MPO Non-MPO	ф	465 597	b	D b	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.380	\$ 0.370 \$ 0.370
	Logan	Township				b	b	b		Ф		b	b	· ·	
Miami Monroe	Logan	Township Township	586 1 566	568 1,581		b h	υ h	b h	Non-MPO Non-MPO	φ <b>¢</b>	216 601	b h	b h	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.380	\$ 0.370 \$ 0.370
	Logan	Township	1,566 964	944		b h	υ h	b b	Non-MPO	φ <b>¢</b>	359	b h	b h	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Perry Pleasant	Logan	Township	919	944		b h	b h	b b	Non-MPO	Ψ \$	352	b h	h	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Richland	Logan	Township	1,669	1,733		b h	b h	b h	Non-MPO	\$ \$	352 659	b b	b h	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Rushcreek	Logan	Township	1,705	1,693		b h	h	h	Non-MPO	Ψ \$	643	b	h	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Stokes	Logan Logan	Township	3,541	3,552		h	h	h	Non-MPO	\$ \$	1,350		h	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Union	Logan	Township	819	793		h	b	b h	Non-MPO	\$	302		h	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Washington	Logan	Township	2,214	2,288		h	h	b	Non-MPO	\$ \$	870	b	h	\$ 0.380	\$ 0.370
Zane	Logan	Township	1,140	1,153		b	b	b	Non-MPO	\$	438		b	· ·	\$ 0.370
Zuiio	Logan	TOWNSHIP	1,140	1,100	~	5	D	5	INGIT-IVII O	Ψ	-100		D	ψ 0.000	Ψ 0.070

2020

Representatives Representatives

2019

2 b

							Change in MORPC	Change in MORPC								
							Population	Population					Change in			
Place Name	County	Government Type	2010 Census  Household Population	2020 Population	2019 Population (d)	2018 Population	Estimates: 2019 -2020	Estimates: 2018-2019	Member Type	2020	Dues	2019 Dues	Dues: 2018-2019	2020 2019 Dues Rate Dues Rat	2020 e Representatives	2019 Representatives
Morrow County Total	County	турс	34,827	35,193		b	b	b	Турс	\$	16,892		b	Dues Nate Dues Nat	Representatives	2 b
City Total (10% popula	ation dues)		-		b	b	b	b		\$	-		b			
Galion	Morrow	City	-	-	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	-	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47	)	
Village Total (100% po	opulation dues)	·	7,133	7,201	b	b	b	b			3,457	b	b			
Cardington	Morrow	Village	2,047	2,072	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	994	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47	)	
Chesterville	Morrow	Village	228	230	b	b	b	b	CORPO	\$	110	b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47	)	
Edison	Morrow	Village	437	440		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	211		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47	)	
Fulton	Morrow	Village	258	260		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	125		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47		
Marengo	Morrow	Village	342	345		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	165		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47		
Mount Gilead	Morrow	Village	3,660	3,693		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,772		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47		
Sparta	Morrow	Village	161	162		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	78		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47	)	
Township Total (100%		,	27,694	27,991		b	b	b	00775	\$	13,436		b			
Bennington	Morrow	Township	2,760	2,781		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	1,335		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Canaan	Morrow	Township	963	971		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	466		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Cardington	Morrow	Township	1,068	1,076		b	b	b	CORPO	\$		b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Chester	Morrow	Township	1,644	1,686		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	809		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Congress	Morrow	Township	2,701	2,722		b	D	b	CORPO	\$	1,307		D I-	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Franklin	Morrow	Township	1,617	1,630		D	D	D	CORPO	<b>\$</b>	782		D	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Gilead	Morrow	Township	2,015	2,031 2,647		D b	D h	D b	CORPO CORPO	ф Ф	975 1,270		D b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470 \$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Harmony Lincoln	Morrow	Township Township	2,626 1,785	1,822		b	D h	b	CORPO	ф Ф	1,270 874		D b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
North Bloomfield	Morrow Morrow	Township	1,863	1,878		b	b	b	CORPO	Ф Ф		b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Perry	Morrow	Township	1,942	1,957		b	b	b	CORPO	Ф Ф		b	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Peru	Morrow	Township	1,513	1,525		h	h	h	CORPO	φ <b>¢</b>	732	-	b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
South Bloomfield	Morrow	Township	1,591	1,617		h	h	h	CORPO	\$	776		h	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Troy	Morrow	Township	1,129	1,138		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	546		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47		
Washington	Morrow	Township	1,300	1,310		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	629		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47		
Westfield	Morrow	Township	1,177	1,199		b	b	b	CORPO	\$	576		b	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.47		
Perry County Total			36,058	36,103	36,172	36,182	(69)	) (11)		\$	13,719		\$ 336	,		2 2
Village Total (100% po	opulation dues)		13,977	13,864	13,892		(27)			\$	5,268					
Corning	Perry	Village	583	574	575	575	(1			\$	218	\$ 213	\$ 5	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.37	)	
Crooksville	Perry	Village	2,534	2,493	2,498	2,499	(5		Non-MPO	\$	948	\$ 924	\$ 23	3 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.37	)	
Glenford	Perry	Village	173	170	171	171	(0	) (0)	Non-MPO	\$	65	\$ 63	\$ 2	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.37	)	
Hemlock	Perry	Village	155	153	153	153	(0	) (0)	Non-MPO	\$	58	\$ 57	\$ 1	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.37	)	
Junction City	Perry	Village	819	806	808	808	(2	) (0)	Non-MPO	\$	306	\$ 299	\$ 7	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370	)	
New Lexington	Perry	Village	4,731	4,687	4,696	•	(9		Non-MPO	\$	1,781	•		·		
New Straitsville	Perry	Village	722	710	712		(1		Non-MPO	\$	270			\$ 0.380 \$ 0.37		
Rendville	Perry	Village	36	35	35	36	(0		Non-MPO	\$	13			0 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.37	)	
Roseville	Perry	Village	1,097	1,082	1,084	· ·	(2		Non-MPO	\$	411		\$ 10	·		
Shawnee	Perry	Village	655	645	646		(1		Non-MPO	\$	245		\$ 6			
Somerset	Perry	Village	1,481	1,514	1,517	1,517	(3		Non-MPO	\$	575			,		
Thornville	Perry	Village	991	995	997	998	(2			\$	378			7 0.000 7 0.00	)	
Township Total (100%		•	22,081	22,239	22,280	22,287	(41)			\$	8,451					
Bearfield	Perry	Township	1,677	1,650	1,653	1,654	(3			\$	627			5 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.37		
Clayton	Perry	Township	1,565	1,540	1,543	· ·	(3		Non-MPO	\$	585			,		
Coal	Perry	Township	320	315	316		(1		Non-MPO	<b>\$</b>	120			8 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.37		
Harrison	Perry	Township	1,622	1,596	1,599	•	(3		Non-MPO	<b>ኔ</b>	607 850			·		
Hopewell	Perry	Township	2,226	2,261	2,263	2,264	(2	) (1)	Non-MPO	\$	859	\$ 837	φ 22	2 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.37	J	

Type Township Township Township Township Township Township Township Township Township Village Village Village	Household Population  2,037  1,377  727  889  2,192  846  2,889  452  3,262  52,300  24,460  2,366  22,094  4,350  268  792  828	Population  2,004  1,355  715  875  2,159  832  2,933  445  3,558  59,844  28,495  3,990  24,505  4,120  249  754	Population (d) 2,008 1,358 717 877 2,163 834 2,939 446 3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253 764	2,009 1,358 717 877 2,163 834 2,940 446 3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(4) (3) (1) (2) (4) (2) (6) (1) (7)  1,339  321 40 281 (53) (4)	18-2019 (1) (0) (0) (0) (1) (0) (1) (1) (1) 1,783 898 233 665 23 1	Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO ONON-MPO Non-MPO ONON-MPO	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	Toues  762  515  272  332  820  316  1,115  169  1,352  17,229  1,406  229  1,176  1,977  3	743 502 502 6 265 6 324 6 800 6 309 6 1,087 6 165 6 1,319 6 16,280 6 1,362 6 223 1,139	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	19 13 7 8 20 8 227 4 333	Dues Rate         Dues Rate           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.380         \$ 0.370           \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470	Representatives	Repre
Township Township Township Township Township Township Township Township  City City Village Village Village	1,377 727 889 2,192 846 2,889 452 3,262 52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	1,355 715 875 2,159 832 2,933 445 3,558 59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	1,358 717 877 2,163 834 2,939 446 3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	1,358 717 877 2,163 834 2,940 446 3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(3) (1) (2) (4) (2) (6) (1) (7)  1,339  321  40  281  (53) (4)	(0) (0) (0) (1) (0) (1) (0) (1) 1,783 898 233 665	Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Mon-MPO CORPO	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	515	502 503 504 505 507 508 509 509 509 509 509 509 509 509	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	13 7 8 8 20 8 8 227 4 333 0 4 6 8	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370		2
Township Township Township Township Township Township Township  City City Village Village Village	727 889 2,192 846 2,889 452 3,262 52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	715 875 2,159 832 2,933 445 3,558 59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	717 877 2,163 834 2,939 446 3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	717 877 2,163 834 2,940 446 3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(1) (2) (4) (2) (6) (1) (7) 1,339 321 40 281 (53) (4)	(0) (0) (1) (0) (1) (0) (1) 1,783 898 233 665	Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO ONON-MPO MPO CORPO	· \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	272	265 324 800 309 1,087 5 165 1,319 16,280 5 1,362 5 223 1,139	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	7 8 20 8 227 4 4 333 0 4 6 8	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370	;	2
Township Township Township Township Township Township  City City Village Village Village	889 2,192 846 2,889 452 3,262 52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	875 2,159 832 2,933 445 3,558 59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	877 2,163 834 2,939 446 3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	877 2,163 834 2,940 446 3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(2) (4) (2) (6) (1) (7) 1,339 321 40 281 (53) (4)	(0) (1) (0) (1) (0) (1) 1,783 898 233 665	Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO MPO CORPO	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	332 8 820 8 316 8 1,115 8 169 8 1,352 8 17,229 8 1,406 8 229 8 1,176 8	324 800 309 5 1,087 6 165 5 1,319 6 16,280 6 1,362 6 223 6 1,139	\$ \$ 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8 27 4 33 <b>D</b> 4 6 8	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	;	2
Township Township Township Township Township  City City Village Village Village	2,192 846 2,889 452 3,262 52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	2,159 832 2,933 445 3,558 59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	2,163 834 2,939 446 3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	2,163 834 2,940 446 3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(4) (2) (6) (1) (7) 1,339 321 40 281 (53) (4)	(1) (0) (1) (0) (1) 1,783 898 233 665	Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO MPO CORPO	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	820 \$ 316 \$ 1,115 \$ 169 \$ 1,352 \$ 17,229 \$ 1,406 \$ 229 \$ 1,176 \$	800 309 1,087 165 1,319 16,280 1,362 223 1,139	\$ 2 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ 950 \$ 4.	8 27 4 33 <b>D</b> 4 6 8	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.575 \$ 0.565		2
Township Township Township  City City Village Village Village	846 2,889 452 3,262 52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	832 2,933 445 3,558 59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	834 2,939 446 3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	834 2,940 446 3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(2) (6) (1) (7) 1,339 321 40 281 (53) (4)	(0) (1) (0) (1) 1,783 898 233 665	Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO MPO CORPO	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	316	309 1,087 165 1,319 16,280 1,362 223 1,139	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	8 27 4 33 <b>D</b> 4 6 8	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	;	2
Township Township City City Village Village Village	2,889 452 3,262 52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	2,933 445 3,558 59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	2,939 446 3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	2,940 446 3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(6) (1) (7) 1,339 321 40 281 (53) (4)	(1) (0) (1) 1,783 898 233 665	Non-MPO Non-MPO Non-MPO MPO CORPO	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	1,115 \$ 169 \$ 1,352 \$ 17,229 \$ 1,406 \$ 229 \$ 1,176 \$	1,087 165 1,319 16,280 1,362 223 1,139	\$ 2 \$ \$ \$ 950 \$ 4 \$ \$	4 33 <b>D</b> 4 6 8	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	;	2
Township Township City City Village Village Village	452 3,262 52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	445 3,558 59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	446 3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	446 3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(1) (7) 1,339 321 40 281 (53) (4)	(0) (1) 1,783 898 233 665	Non-MPO Non-MPO MPO CORPO	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	169 \$ 1,352 \$ 17,229 \$ 1,406 \$ 229 \$ 1,176 \$	165 1,319 16,280 1,362 223 1,139	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	4 33 <b>D</b> 4 6 8	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	;	2
City City Village Village Village	3,262 52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	3,558 59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	3,565 58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	3,566 56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	(7) 1,339 321 40 281 (53) (4)	(1) 1,783 898 233 665	MPO CORPO	\$ \$ \$ \$	1,352 \$ 17,229 \$ 1,406 \$ 229 \$ 1,176 \$	1,319 16,280 1,362 223 1,139	\$ 950 \$ 44 \$ 3	0 4 6 8	\$ 0.380 \$ 0.370 \$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	;	2
City City Village Village Village	52,300 24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	59,844 28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	58,505 28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	56,722 27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	1,339 321 40 281 (53) (4)	1,783 898 233 665	MPO CORPO	\$ \$ \$ \$	17,229 \$ 1,406 \$ 229 \$ 1,176 \$	16,280 1,362 223 1,139	\$ 950 \$ 4 \$ \$ 3	0 4 6 8	\$ 0.575 \$ 0.565	;	2
City Village Village Village	24,460 2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	28,495 3,990 24,505 4,120 249	28,174 3,950 24,224 4,172 253	27,276 3,717 23,559 4,149 253	321 40 281 (53) (4)	898 233 665	CORPO	\$ \$ \$	1,406 \$ 229 \$ 1,176 \$	1,362 223 1,139	\$ 4 \$ \$ 3	4 6 8		,	2
City Village Village Village	2,366 22,094 4,350 268 792	3,990 24,505 4,120 249	3,950 24,224 4,172 253	3,717 23,559 4,149 253	40 281 (53) (4)	233 665	CORPO	\$ \$	229 \$ 1,176 \$	223 3 1,139	\$ \$ 3	6 8			
City Village Village Village	22,094 4,350 268 792	24,505 4,120 249	24,224 4,172 253	23,559 4,149 253	281 (53) (4)	665	CORPO	\$	1,176	1,139	\$ 3	8			
Village Village Village	4,350 268 792	4,120 249	4,172 253	4,149 253	(53) (4)			•	·	·			\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Village Village	268 792	249	253	253	(4)	23   1		\$	1,977	1,961	\$ 1	0			
Village Village	792					1		Φ	400	110	Φ	4	Ф 0.400 Ф 0.470		
Village		754	/ n4		(0)	0	CORPO	\$	120 \$			์ I	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
•		770			(9)	8	CORPO	<b>\$</b>	362			3	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
1 (.11		778	787	783	(10)	5	CORPO	<b>\$</b>	373		\$	პ ი	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Village	2,229	2,122	2,148	=	(26)	9	CORPO	\$	1,018	•		9	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Village	233	217	220		(3)	060	CORPO	\$	104 \$			1	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Township	23,490	27,229	26,159	25,297	1,070	862	CORRO	\$	13,846				\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Township	2,263	2,355	2,360	2,311	(5)	49	CORPO	\$	1,130			1 7			
Township	1,290	1,258 1,955	1,270 1,950	1,258 1,920	(12) 4	12	CORPO CORPO	\$	604 \$ 938 \$		\$	<i>1</i>	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470 \$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
Township	1,810	•	•	=	•	31		φ			\$ 27				
•		•						Φ				6 U			
•						•		φ φ			*	0 1			
					1,202	_		φ φ	•	•	· ·				
•					(2)	-		Ф Ф							
•						JJ 1		φ ¢				3			
•						12		Ф Ф				ა ი			
•		•				7		φ Φ							
•						11		φ ¢				<i>0</i>			
•								φ Φ				<del>1</del> 2			
Township	1,334	1,316	1,337	1,333	(21)	4	CORPO	\$				3	\$ 0.480 \$ 0.470		
T T T T T T T	Township	Township       966         Township       4,347         Township       1,146         Township       1,948         Township       1,299         Township       1,574         Township       1,560         Township       971         Township       824	Township         966         938           Township         4,347         8,173           Township         1,146         1,137           Township         1,948         1,956           Township         1,299         1,258           Township         1,574         1,546           Township         1,560         1,501           Township         971         936           Township         824         798	Township         966         938         945           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278           Township         1,574         1,546         1,557           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511           Township         971         936         948           Township         824         798         811	Township         966         938         945         937           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274           Township         1,574         1,546         1,557         1,544           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504           Township         971         936         948         937           Township         824         798         811         806	Fownship         966         938         945         937         (7)           Fownship         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202           Fownship         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0           Fownship         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)           Fownship         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)           Fownship         1,574         1,546         1,557         1,544         (11)           Fownship         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504         (10)           Fownship         971         936         948         937         (12)           Fownship         824         798         811         806         (13)	Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4           Township         1,574         1,546         1,557         1,544         (11)         13           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504         (10)         7           Township         971         936         948         937         (12)         11           Township         824         798         811         806         (13)         5           Township         1,334         1,316         1,337         1,333         (21)         4	Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9         CORPO           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6         CORPO           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35         CORPO           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4         CORPO           Township         1,574         1,546         1,557         1,544         (11)         13         CORPO           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504         (10)         7         CORPO           Township         971         936         948         937         (12)         11         CORPO           Township         824         798         811         806         (13)         5         CORPO           Township         1,334         1,316         1,337         1,333         (21)         4         CORPO	Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9         CORPO         \$           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO         \$           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6         CORPO         \$           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35         CORPO         \$           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4         CORPO         \$           Township         1,574         1,546         1,557         1,544         (11)         13         CORPO         \$           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504         (10)         7         CORPO         \$           Township         971         936         948         937         (12)         11         CORPO         \$           Township         824         798         811         806         (13)         5         CORPO         \$           Township         1,334         1,316	Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9         CORPO         \$ 450         \$ 50           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO         \$ 4,699         \$ 4,699         \$ 50         \$ 546         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50         \$ 50 <td>Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9         CORPO         \$ 450         \$ 444           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO         \$ 4,699         \$ 3,938           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6 CORPO         \$ 546         \$ 534           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35 CORPO         \$ 939         \$ 921           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4 CORPO         \$ 604         601           Township         1,574         1,546         1,557         1,544         (11)         13 CORPO         \$ 742         \$ 732           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504         (10)         7 CORPO         \$ 720         \$ 710           Township         971         936         948         937         (12)         11 CORPO         449         \$ 446           Township         1,334         1,316         1,337         1,333         (21)         4 CORPO         6</td> <td>Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9 CORPO         \$ 450         \$ 444         \$ 60           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO         \$ 4,699         \$ 3,938         \$ 760           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6 CORPO         \$ 546         \$ 534         \$ 12           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35 CORPO         \$ 939         \$ 921         \$ 12           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4 CORPO         \$ 604         \$ 601         \$ 601         \$ 600</td> <td>Township 966 938 945 937 (7) 9 CORPO \$ 450 \$ 444 \$ 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7</td> <td>Township 966 938 945 937 (7) 9 CORPO \$ 450 \$ 444 \$ 6 \$ 0.480 \$ 0.470 \$ 0.480 \$</td> <td>Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9         CORPO         \$ 450         \$ 444         \$ 6         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO         \$ 4,699         \$ 3,938         \$ 761         \$ 0.575         \$ 0.565           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6 CORPO         \$ 546         \$ 534         12         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35         CORPO         \$ 939         \$ 921         \$ 19         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4 CORPO         \$ 604         \$ 601         \$ 3         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         1,574         1,544         (11)         13         CORPO         \$ 742         \$ 732         \$ 10         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504         (10)         7 CORPO         720         710</td>	Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9         CORPO         \$ 450         \$ 444           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO         \$ 4,699         \$ 3,938           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6 CORPO         \$ 546         \$ 534           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35 CORPO         \$ 939         \$ 921           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4 CORPO         \$ 604         601           Township         1,574         1,546         1,557         1,544         (11)         13 CORPO         \$ 742         \$ 732           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504         (10)         7 CORPO         \$ 720         \$ 710           Township         971         936         948         937         (12)         11 CORPO         449         \$ 446           Township         1,334         1,316         1,337         1,333         (21)         4 CORPO         6	Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9 CORPO         \$ 450         \$ 444         \$ 60           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO         \$ 4,699         \$ 3,938         \$ 760           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6 CORPO         \$ 546         \$ 534         \$ 12           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35 CORPO         \$ 939         \$ 921         \$ 12           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4 CORPO         \$ 604         \$ 601         \$ 601         \$ 600	Township 966 938 945 937 (7) 9 CORPO \$ 450 \$ 444 \$ 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Township 966 938 945 937 (7) 9 CORPO \$ 450 \$ 444 \$ 6 \$ 0.480 \$ 0.470 \$ 0.480 \$	Township         966         938         945         937         (7)         9         CORPO         \$ 450         \$ 444         \$ 6         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         4,347         8,173         6,970         6,309         1,202         661         MPO         \$ 4,699         \$ 3,938         \$ 761         \$ 0.575         \$ 0.565           Township         1,146         1,137         1,136         1,130         0         6 CORPO         \$ 546         \$ 534         12         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         1,948         1,956         1,959         1,923         (2)         35         CORPO         \$ 939         \$ 921         \$ 19         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         1,299         1,258         1,278         1,274         (20)         4 CORPO         \$ 604         \$ 601         \$ 3         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         1,574         1,544         (11)         13         CORPO         \$ 742         \$ 732         \$ 10         \$ 0.480         \$ 0.470           Township         1,560         1,501         1,511         1,504         (10)         7 CORPO         720         710

a - Population estimates were calculated by Delaware County Regional Planning Commission. Assumptions for housing units, occupancy rates, and household size are unknown.

b - New member this year. Previous dues calculations are not available.

c - Previous year calculated as City of Logan and Hocking County remainder.

d - Upon review of new information, the 2019 population estimate for Fairfield County was found to be too high. A retroactive adjustment to the 2019 estimate was made to places in Fairfield County that were MORPC members last year to more accurately reflect growth over time.

e - Changes in dues may appear \$1 high or low due to rounding.

f - Member totals represent the total for all MORPC members in the listed year. Change from year to year includes growth from new members as well as overall population/dues change.

g - A calculation error was found in the population estimates for Hocking, Knox, and Logan counties, the correction of which resulted in slight population increases for each. The dues for those counties are being assessed at the lower amount initially approved by Commission in Resolution 16-19.