## **STAFF SCORE SHEET - ROUND 17**

## SECTION 1 - PROJECT EMPHASIS (ORC 164.24(A)(1), (A)(7))

The District 3 NRAC has prioritized the project emphasis into three categories: Low; Medium and High. A project type that is of High interest will have a weight of 10; Medium interest a weight of 6; and Low interest a weight of 2. All answers can receive a raw score of between 0 and 5 points. Applicant must provide documentation from appropriate authority to receive full credit.

| X 2                | <ul> <li>(1) The support of comprehensive open space planning and incorporation of aesthetically<br/>pleasing and ecologically informed design (Low interest - weight=2)</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| X 2                | (2) The enhancement of economic development that relies on recreation and eco-tourism in areas with relatively high unemployment and lower incomes (Low interest - weight=2)   |  |  |  |
| X 10               | (3) The protection or increase of protection for rare, threatened, and endangered species or the preservation of high-quality, viable habitat for plant and animal species (High interest - weight=10)   |  |  |  |
| X 10               | (4) The preservation of existing high-quality wetlands or other scarce natural resources within the geographical jurisdiction of the council (High interest – weight = 10)   |  |  |  |
| X 10               | ( 5 ) The enhancement of educational opportunities and provision of physical links to schools and after-school centers (High interest – weight = 10)   |  |  |  |
| X 6                | ( 6 ) The preservation or restoration of water quality, natural stream channels, functioning floodplains, wetlands, streamside forests, or other natural features that contribute to the quality of life in this state and to the state's natural heritage (Medium interest – weight =6) |  |  |  |
| X 6                | (7) The reduction or elimination of non-native, invasive species of plants or animals (Medium interest – weight = 6)   |  |  |  |
| X 2                | (8) The proper management of areas where fishing may take place in a manner that will preserve a balanced natural ecosystem (Low interest – weight = 2)  |  |  |  |
| X 10               | (9) Inclusion as part of a stream corridor-wide or watershed-wide plan (High interest-weight=10)   |  |  |  |
| X 2                | (10) The provision of multiple recreational, economic, and aesthetic preservation benefits (Low interest – weight = 2)   |  |  |  |
| X 6                | (11) Protection and enhancement of riparian corridors or watersheds by fee simple acquisition of lands OR acquisition of conservation easements. (Medium interest – weight = 6)  |  |  |  |
| X 6<br>weight = 6) | (12) The restoration or preservation of headwater streams or vernal pools (Medium interest –   |  |  |  |
| X 6                | ( 13 ) The restoration and preservation of aquatic biological communities (Medium interest – weight = 6)   |  |  |  |
|                    | WEIGHTED TOTAL  (390 maximum points)  SCORE – Section 1 (78 max. pts)  (Weighted Total divided by 5)   |  |  |  |

| 14 | 4.  | Matching Funds: include in-kind of (One point for each whole percent a  |   | or<br>ximum)                          | _%           |  |
|----|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| 1  | 5.  | <u>Coordination:</u> Document how project carries out goals of multiple agencies, organizations.  |   |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | (1 point each – maximum points =  | = 6)                                    |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | local political subdivisions  | state agencies                          | federal agencies                      |              |  |
|    |   | community organizations _c  | onservation organizations               | other (<br>(private, local business g | )<br>roups)  |  |
| 10 | 6.  | Level of <u>conservation coordination</u> this project provides to another related project or how it ties areas together with other open space, riparian corridor, trails, farmland protection or urban revitalization projects. (maximum points = 3) $$ all that apply |   |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | fits into another related project o   | or links an area together (2 point      | ts)                                   |              |  |
|    |   | carries out an adopted communit   | ty, watershed or other plan over        | lapping another distric               | ct (1 point  |  |
| 17 | 7.  | Community benefits: - √ all that apprendix economic, social/recreation bring to the geographical area repre   | nal and environmental benefits t        |                                       | <i>ı</i> ill |  |
|    |   | economic<br>(1 point)   | social/recreational<br>(up to 3 points) | environment<br>(up to 5 poin          |              |  |
| 18 | 8.  | Extent of public access once proj   | ect is completed: (maximum              | points = 5) √ one                     |              |  |
|    |   | open week days and weekends (   | (5 points)                              |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | open limited, but regular hours (3  | 3 points)                               |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | open by appointment or with writ  | ten permission (1 point)                |                                       |              |  |
| 19 | 19. How organization/agency will operate and maintain this project once (maximum points = 10) |   |   | t once it is complete                 | d.           |  |
|    |   | operation plan and/or maintenand plan (up to 10 points)   | ce plan in place including an inv       | asive species manag                   | ement        |  |
|    |   | operation plan and/or maintenand  | ce plan (up to 5 points)                |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | no operation plan and/or mainter  | nance plan (0 points)                   |                                       |              |  |
| 20 | 0.  | Unit cost of project. Cost per acre OR cost per linear foot of restoration of Clean Ohio Conservation Funds. \$(maximum point s= 6) $$ one  |   |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | (Cost per acre/cost per linear feet is<br>divided by the number of acres/linear<br>high costs each round based on all p   | ar feet restored. The NRAC will         |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | low cost per acre or linear feet of Cle   | ean Ohio Funds (6 points)               |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | medium cost per acre or linear feet o   | of Clean Ohio Funds (3 points)          |                                       |              |  |
|    |   | high cost per acre or linear feet of Cl   |   | ection 2 (69 max. p                   | ts)          |  |

SECTION 2 - LOCAL COORDINATION & SUPPORT (ORC 164.24(A)(3), (4), (5), (6), (8))

## SECTION 3 - ADDITIONAL CRITERIA (ORC 164.24(A)(11))

negate Parts I-II, which carry out ORC Sec. 164.20-164.27. Riparian Buffer: Project provides an adequate width of riparian buffer. (maximum points =12) 21. Over 300 feet from top of bank per side (up to 12 points) 150-300 feet from top of bank per side (up to 8 points) 50-149 feet from top of bank per side (up to 4 points) **Enhancement Project:** Number of linear feet of stream or acres of habitat to be restored. 22. Regional Significance: What is the impact on the geographic area or watershed? Is it regionally significant because of its impact on passive recreation or its impact on a natural area in terms of geography and watershed or both? Please explain. (maximum points =12) **Passive Recreation** --- project will have a major impact on passive recreation (up to 4 points) project will have a medium impact on passive recreation (up to 2 points) project will have a low impact on the passive recreation (0 points) **Natural Area or Watershed** \_\_\_ project will have a major impact on the natural area or watershed (up to 8 points) \_\_\_ project will have a medium impact on the natural area or watershed (up to 5 points) project will have a low impact on the natural area or watershed (up to 2 points) Natural Resource Viability: How important is the project to the viability of the natural 23. resources affected by the project? (maximum points = 15) Supportive documentation must be provided by ODNR or other qualified entity protects a globally endangered species or biological community (0-3 points) protects 1 or more state Natural Heritage Inventory (NHI) endangered species (0-3 points) protects 1 or more state NHI ranked rare, threatened or otherwise listed species (0-3 points) protects a high-quality example of a regionally endangered biological community (0-3 points) protects a threatened biological community or important example of Ohio's natural heritage (0-3 points) If Enhancement project: Pre-Construction QHEI \_\_\_\_\_ ORAM \_\_\_\_\_ Post-Construction QHEI: ORAM: Name: Qualifications/Training or Certifications

The NRAC may adopt additional criteria that reflect local priorities as long as the criteria complement and do not

| Sı | upporting docu | ments and cond | cept plan inclu | ded |  |  |
|----|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|--|--|
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |
|    |                |                |                 |     |  |  |

|       | 24.      | <u>Urban Environment:</u> Is this project located within an urban area inside or contiguous to the 270 outer belt? (up to 5 points)  |
|-------|----------|--|
|       |          | Describe the unique benefits as related to preserving natural resources in an urban environment.   |
|       | 25.      | Other Relevant Factors: Other factors include the total amount of funding necessary to complete the project (ORC 164.24(A)(2)) (maximum points = 20) (Note reasoning)  |
|       | 26.      | Economic condition of community where project is located:  (Based on 2010 per capita income for political jurisdiction/community where project is located – see chart attached) (maximum points = 10)  SCORE – Section 3 (74 max. pts) |
| SECTI | ON 4 –   | COMMUNICATIONS WITH LAND OWNER (ORC 164.24(A)(10))   |
|       | 27.      | Applicant has: (Select one) (maximum points =20) had some communications by phone, person or email with land owner(s) (5 points)   |
|       |          | a letter of intent to purchase from the land owner(s) (10 points)  |
|       |          | a signed purchase agreement with the land owner(s) or ownership of the land (20 points)  |
|       |          | SCORE - Section 4 (20 max. pts)  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          |  |
|       |          | TOTAL SCORE:   |
| NRAC  | - Reviev | wed & Scored by:   |
|       |          | RANK:  |

Criterion 26 – Economic Condition of Community Where Project Is Located

| Community              | 2020 Per Capita<br>Income | Score     |
|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| <u>Urbancrest</u>      | <u>\$12,079</u>           | <u>10</u> |
| Whitehall              | \$21,938                  | 8         |
| Lockbourne             | \$22,557                  | 8         |
| Franklin Township      | \$22,587                  | 8         |
| Valleyview             | \$26,589                  | 8         |
| Brice                  | \$26,916                  | 8         |
| Hamilton Township      | \$27,127                  | 8         |
| Clinton                | \$27,257                  | 8         |
| Obetz                  | \$28,262                  | 8         |
| Truro                  | \$28,820                  | 8         |
| Harrisburg             | \$29,013                  | 8         |
| <u>Columbus</u>        | <u>\$30,056</u>           | <u>8</u>  |
| Groveport              | \$31,464                  | 6         |
| Reynoldsburg           | \$31,626                  | 6         |
| Prairie Township       | \$31,683                  | 6         |
| Blendon Township       | \$32,231                  | 6         |
| Madison Township       | \$32,283                  | 6         |
| Pleasant Township      | \$35,758                  | 6         |
| Jackson Township       | \$37,150                  | 6         |
| Grove City             | \$37,557                  | 6         |
| Minerva Park           | \$38,227                  | 6         |
| Mifflin Township       | \$41,233                  | 6         |
| Westerville            | \$41,397                  | 6         |
| Gahanna                | \$42,660                  | 6         |
| Canal Winchester       | <u>\$42,841</u>           | <u>6</u>  |
| Hilliard               | \$46,037                  | 4         |
| Norwich Township       | \$46,088                  | 4         |
| Jefferson Township     | \$48,864                  | 4         |
| Sharon Township        | \$53,656                  | 4         |
| Worthington            | \$54,057                  | 4         |
| Brown Township         | \$56,538                  | 4         |
| Washington<br>Township | \$57,297                  | 4         |
| Dublin                 | \$60,152                  | 4         |
| Bexley                 | \$60,387                  | 4         |
| Perry Township         | \$61,951                  | 4         |
| Upper Arlington        | \$68,163                  | 4         |
| Riverlea               | \$69,098                  | 4         |

| Grandview Heights | <u>\$72,534</u> | <u>4</u> |
|-------------------|-----------------|----------|
| Plain Township    | \$76,519        | 2        |
| New Albany        | \$78,957        | 2        |
| Marble Cliff      | <u>\$81,922</u> | <u>2</u> |

Source: 2020 American Community Survey